Comments and Questions

Answers

Park Opening - South Side	
Will you reopen the access from the end of Reed Street that is now	
blocked by a metal fence?	This is addressed later in the presentation.
Dear Charlie,	
If there was an access point at Westley Avenue, then any bicyclists	
would have to enter Westley Avenue from one of three points:	
down Reed Street, down Harvey Street from the Russell Field direction,	
or down Harvey Street from the Cedar Street end.	
Reed Street is one-way going away from Westley, so any bicyclists	
wanting to enter the Park from Westley would have to drive the wrong	
way down Reed.	
Harvey Street is also one-way going towards Russell Field, so anyone	
approaching from the Russell field direction would have to also go the	
wrong way down Harvey Street.	
The only bicyclists who could go the right way would be coming down	
Harvey Street from Cedar Street, and they could just enter the Park at	
Cedar Street.	
Jonathan Slate	Thanks for your thoughts.
I am opposed to a new access point at Westley Ave.	Thank you for your feedback.
Westley Avenue point is a great idea. Would change the way I use	
Linear Park for the better.	Thank you for your feedback.
Too close to Cedar St. Open an access down by the townhouses or	
coop housing.	Thank you for your feedback.
	We are taking all comments and input on this
If residents near a proposed access point oppose opening it at that	possibility into account and discussing it. We are
location, how do you evaluate that opposition vs. the potential benefit	weighing the pros and cons as we determine our
to others who might want to use it?	next steps and draft our recommendation.
I'd love to see the Westley Ave. access re-opened ~ I live a few blocks	
away.	Thank you for your feedback.
I like the addition of another entrance point on Reed Street.	Thank you for your feedback.
Also, bicyclists would not be the only people using the entrance from	
Reed Street.	Thank you for the comment.
Westley Ave is such a small residential street surrounded in all	
directions by one way streets. Opening up a small dead end street to	
pedestrians, bicycles, dogs as well as cars, raises safety concerns for its	
residents.	Thank you for the comment.

	T .
Charles A the stimulation of Cities and Laborate 1. It is a second of the cities and the cities	
Shouldn't the viewpoints of the residents who live most proximate to	
the Westley fence carry the most weight as they are most affected by	
increased traffic and noise that would come from opening the path	
into a residential-only area? The closest main entry point is only a	
block away, better lit, less strictly residential, and doesn't add much	
time-savings for path users in other parts of the neighborhood. Thanks!	Thank you for the comment.
I am against opening the end of Westley Ave. It's a 2 minute walk to	
another entrance and a opening there will be detrimental to our	
community and neighborhood, instead of being beneficial to the	
community. As an abutter, we have had negative experiences with	
people on the bike path and the fence has separated the our children	
from police actions on the other side. The path won't just be open	
during the day, but also at night when we've had issues with inebriated	
people using the path.	Thank you for the comment.
Strongly oppose opening Westley Ave. Safety concerns—crime, drugs,	
noise, late night parties—which we had when it was open before. I	
have had 2 tenants mugged on the path over the years, I don't want	
Westley to be a "get away" path for crime on the path.	Thank you for the comment.
For safety and security, I am opposed to a new access point at Westley	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Ave.	Thank you for the comment.
Opening the end of Westley Ave. will also be the only place along this	Thank you for the comment.
section of the bike path that would dump into residents' front yards	
instead of into parking lots, which has less impact on the people living	
there.	Thank you for the comment.
Please DO NOT reopen the fence at Westley Ave! Multiple adverse	I lank you for the comment.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
impacts will result. That's why the City was forced to install the heavy	The all years for years and another
wrought-iron barrier decades ago.	Thank you for your comment.
I live on Westley Ave as well and oppose an added opening. While I	
understand the desire for more south-facing access points, the	
addition of one on Westley Ave would be a great disruption it would	
open into our front yards on a quiet street where neighborhood kids	
play, compared to other openings that connect to parking lots and	
busy streets. This will make our little street the perfect shortcut for	
someone going from Dunkin Donuts to Rindge Ave, and I dread the	
litter and noise.	Thank you for your comment.
	Impact to residents and potential benefit to greater
Can you at least explain how you compare impact to residents near a	community is something we are continuing to
proposed change vs. potential benefit to the broader community?	evaluate as we study all options.
Will you meet with the residents of Westley Ave? is this a "done deal"	We are still taking input on this. No final decisions
in your minds?	have been made.
	We are not being dismissive to anyone. We are
	inviting all members of the public to participate in
Shame on you for being so dismissive of the residents of Westley Ave.	the ongoing design process and stay involved in this
This is very important to us.	project.
	I

To see what an opening to Reed Street might look like, check out the	
Thorndike Street crossing of the path near Davis Square in Somerville.	Thank you for your comment.
Westley Avenue is the smallest street in Cambridge. When people back	
out of their driveways, they literally must back into the driveway of	
their neighbors across the street. There are elderly and disabled	
homeowners, as well as young children, on Westley. How could they	
be safe with increased traffic, especially bicyclists, going to Linear Park	This is something that we are considering as we look
on this small street?	at all options for park access.

Access: Cottage Park	
	The Cottage Park access point does have a kind of
	sloping ramp to get from the Cottage Park sidewalk
Any preliminary design thoughts or ideas about Cottage Park access?	area to Linear Park. We would like to maintain that
rather steep grade, but preserving some sort of more natural form of	access point. And we would also like to make it ADA
access here would definitely be very desirable.	compliant.

Camp Cameron	
Additional seating with tables and public art would be a good use of	
"Camp Cameron". I've heard that this area including the corner	
building was used for train flagging; interpretation of that history	
through art could be fun and educational.	Thank you for your comment.
Since 'Camp Cameron' was mentioned, some historical marker about	
Civil War history would be appropriate here	Thank you for your comment.
These photos show an empty Blue Bike dock. If it is full, the path will	
be considerably narrower	Thank you for the comment.
How about trying to acquire that little triangular building at Mass. and	
Cameron and removing it (if possible.)	
At the Somerville/Cambridge line, more trees and seating - if they're	
tall trees, there should be good visibility below them (vs. shrubs and	
low trees.)	That is not something that we would generally look
Agreed the MBTA lot — Cambridge should talk with the MBTA about	to do on a project like this unless there were an
acquiring 10' or so of it.	enormous need for taking property.
Moving the Blue Bikes would create more space for seating on Camp	
Cameron section.	Thank you for your comment.

Collaboration & Coordination	
	Yes, we have met with Somerville staff to brainstorm
Can Cambridge & Somerville collaborate on the Municipal Line area?	ideas but please let us know if you have thoughts!
	Yes, we've met with MBTA staff and discussed access
	to emergency egress vaults along the Red Line
	tunnels as well as other items that will require
Has the MBTA been involved in your planning?	further coordination with them.
How much communication is there with this project and the Grace	We have had multiple meetings to coordinate along
project?	this edge of Linear Park

Crossing: Harvey St	
Can the Harvey Street crossing be raised and made smooth? Can bike	
footrests be added on both sides of the Mass Ave crossing?	Yes, a raised crossing is planned.

Dogs	
Human children have exclusive use of the Rindge Field - what about	
the dog owners, who arguably use this linear path more than human	
children?! We walk our dog daily on what we call the "sniff path". Was	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Public feedback we've received to date has not
prioritized?	indicated that a small dog park is a priority.
	<u> </u>
Please consider public dog parks versus private dog park. There is a	
small private dog park near the linear path but the public cannot use it.	Thank you for your comment.
A dog run near Russell Field by the Pool fence is very do-able and	
would not require a big budget to add some fencing.	Thank you for your comment.
	We have not received many requests for a dog
	run/park in Linear Park, but we will consider the
Have you considered a dog run in the Russell Field area?	request. Thank you!
	We have not received many requests for a dog
	run/park in Linear Park, but we will consider the
Is there a dog park/plan area for dogs unleashed? We need that.	request. Thank you!
The open areas just south of Harvey Street are used a lot by folks	
letting their dogs run and play.	Thank you for your comment.
Yes, a dog run is VERY much needed!	Thank you for your comment.
A dog park, even a small one / fenced in area should really be	
considered - many, many dog owners use this path - some with their	
dogs off-leash when it is not busy. There are several nearby	
playgrounds and tot lots for children which are fenced off. Adding play	
structures as proposed would not get as much use as a dog run in my	
opinion.	Thank you for your comment.
There is a 20' x 200' +/- portion of the park beyond the park fence just	We'll take another look, but my understanding is
east of the Whittemore connector that might be a potential dog run	that p[parcel is likely owned by the Linnea apartment
area	building.
Agree with John - if a dog park can't be added right next to the path,	
there are still spaces around Russell Field where one could be sited	Thank you for your comment.
'+1 on the dog run near Russell Field by the pool fence. Great idea!	Thank you for your comment.
Water fountain include a lower dog fountain?	We will look into that. Thank you for your comment.

Edge Treatment & Side Path	
The additions of side paths, natural play areas, and social spaces all	
look like great improvements. I really appreciate the design options	
that you've shared and would be excited to use the path as more than	
just a transit avenue.	Thank you for your comment.

	We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we
There were 4 proposals for side lanes, three were paved, one was	keep two feet on each side open as a clear zone
"grass" or did I get that wrong?	which is a standard for multi-use paths.
The area shown for a parallel path in this presentation would seem to	
be the only portion of the path between Harvey and Mass Ave that	
could accommodate a dual path system.	We will investigate other areas. Stay tuned!
I don't understand what was said about the path edge options. Can	The edge treatment has not been determined yet
people walk/run/bike on those edges? Are they water permeable?	but we would like to hear how people might use it.

Elmwood Ave Connection	
	Yes, those plans were approved before we got
	underway with the Linear Park Redesign Project. I do
	want to point out that on Elmwood there is some
	steep grade between the path surface and the end of
	the street. The proposed connection that is
	underway will connect to the sidewalk and there will
Are you involved in the approved plans for the connection to Elmwood	be a retaining wall to ensure folks are not going
Ave. Will there be changes?	down the non-ADA compliant side.

Fences	
	Abutters were sent a letter in October to
	coordinated about fences and them aware of the
	project. Additional outreach to abutters and others
	in the neighborhood was done through flyering
	properties in the spring. Additional outreach was
	done through social media, city newsletters and
Why were property owners not notified?	other means.
	We are studying fence changes/improvements.
Why not take down the fence that was put up a number of years ago?	Thank you for your comment.
This area has changed a lot over the decades - keeping fences that	
were necessary a long time ago feels out of touch.	Thank you for your comment.

Finances / Budget	
	The total project budget has not been determined
	until we get closer to a full conceptual design. Funds
	have been set aside in the city capital budget for the
	two [the Linear Park Redesign, as well as the Danehy-
	New Street Connector path] path projects in this
	area in the amount of \$10m. Additional funds may
What is the project's overall budget?	be identified if needed.

History	
Would be good to include Indigenous history in any historical	
interpretative. There is little to none in this area. History didn't start	
with the settlers.	Thank you for your comment.
	[Edited] Yes, we are aware of the plaque and will be
[Edited] The gateway to the park on Cedar St has a plaque honoring my	re-setting it on whatever gateway is decided on. It
Great grandfather who was killed there in 1905. Will that be saved?	will be saved and re-set.
Paul Toner - I hope the plaque will stay! Or is replaced with a more	
accessible one.	Thank you for your comment.
Agreed on the indigenous history. I believe the path section just north	
of Mass. Ave. is where "Weir Field" was which led to a fishing weir on	
Alewife Brook. Charlie Sullivan has maps showing this (or I can send	Thank you for your comment. Can you please email
them.)	to us?

MBTA & Structures	
Now that this is no longer a trackless trolley terminal, the city should	
be able to acquire an easement (not necessarily a land purchase) to	
widen the path and park next to it.	Thank you for your comment.
For places where you can't put permanent structures on top of MBTA	
access, you could place moveable seating	Thank you for your comment.

Path Surface / Soil Compaction / Drainage	
Has the soil on the bike path been tested for contaminants, specifically	Since this was an industrial area, we'll have to go out
lead? This had been an industrial area. If it is contaminated, is there	and look as we get into construction. No tests have
any plan for remediation?	been conducted yet.
I would love to see the 2' bordering path areas be soft and permeable surfaces — I run on the current dirt paths on the sides out to the Somerville end and far prefer running on soft surfaces vs. pavement.	Thank you for your comment.
Drainage issues need to be dealt with at Harvey/Mass Ave entrance	
and other places on the path	Yes, all of the drainage will be re-done.
Soft-surface is very appreciated for joggers with bad knees	Thank you for your comment.

Safety for All Path Users	
How will the new designs ensure safety for both bikers and	Increasing the path width, and providing 2' clear
pedestrians? Especially given the many children and strollers. Thank	zones will increase safety for path users travelling at
you.	different speeds.
	There are possible locations where we may have to
	narrow the path a bit. If we encounter an obstacle.
	Or if there is another unforeseen issue. So, there is a
Are there not examples where suggested "optimal" bike lane widths	precedent for doing that. But our goal is to have a 14-
per ASHTO are not always implemented?	foot path width.

wrong way down a one-way street does not solve the problem of danger for those bicyclists going against the flow of traffic, nor for the automobiles, nor pedestrians in general on those streets, if that was		
danger for those bicyclists going against the flow of traffic, nor for the automobiles, nor pedestrians in general on those streets, if that was allowed. Jonathan's concern can be addressed by posting "Except Bicycles" signs under existing "Do Not Enter" signs, as is done on Hancock Street in Somerville. It's not clear who has the "right of way" on the path - bikers seem to feel it is theirs, and pedestrians need to move Not confident just asking bikers to be courteous addresses the safety concerns A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The 2-foot clear zone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	The suggestion that signs could be put up allowing bicycles to go the	
automobiles, nor pedestrians in general on those streets, if that was allowed. Thank you for your comment. A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". Thank you for your comment. The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. Iknow that was my	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
allowed. Jonathan's concern can be addressed by posting "Except Bicycles" signs under existing "Do Not Enter" signs, as is done on Hancock Street in Somerville. Thank you for your comment. It's not clear who has the "right of way" on the path - bikers seem to feel it is theirs, and pedestrians need to move Not confident just asking bikers to be courteous addresses the safety concerns A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. Iknow that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	danger for those bicyclists going against the flow of traffic, nor for the	
Interest in the content can be addressed by posting "Except Bicycles" signs under existing "Do Not Enter" signs, as is done on Hancock Street in Somerville. It's not clear who has the "right of way" on the path - bikers seem to feel it is theirs, and pedestrians need to move Not confident just asking bikers to be courteous addresses the safety concerns Thank you for your comment. A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. What is the two foot clear zone? Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately and be less of a "park". The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	automobiles, nor pedestrians in general on those streets, if that was	
under existing "Do Not Enter" signs, as is done on Hancock Street in Somerville. It's not clear who has the "right of way" on the path - bikers seem to feel it is theirs, and pedestrians need to move Not confident just asking bikers to be courteous addresses the safety concerns A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. Thank you for your comment. The path proposal is 14' plus two 2' side lanes for 18' total, correct? Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. Thank you for your comment. Thank you for your comment. Thank you for your comment. The path proposal is 14' plus two 2' side lanes for 18' total, correct? Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. Thank you for your comment. The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier com	allowed.	Thank you for your comment.
Somerville. It's not clear who has the "right of way" on the path - bikers seem to feel it is theirs, and pedestrians need to move Not confident just asking bikers to be courteous addresses the safety concerns A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seen possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	Jonathan's concern can be addressed by posting "Except Bicycles" signs	
It's not clear who has the "right of way" on the path - bikers seem to feel it is theirs, and pedestrians need to move Thank you for your comment. A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The alle two foot clear zone and how will it increase safety? My biggest concern is around improving safety for both bikers and pedestrians, and increasing path width to help with that safety. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	under existing "Do Not Enter" signs, as is done on Hancock Street in	
feel it is theirs, and pedestrians need to move Not confident just asking bikers to be courteous addresses the safety concerns A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path userscelly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	Somerville.	Thank you for your comment.
Not confident just asking bikers to be courteous addresses the safety concerns Thank you for your comment. A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. Thank you for your comment. The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. Thank you for your comment. The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways.	It's not clear who has the "right of way" on the path - bikers seem to	
Thank you for your comment. A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	feel it is theirs, and pedestrians need to move	Thank you for your comment.
A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	Not confident just asking bikers to be courteous addresses the safety	
put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The path representation of the path seem important. What is the two foot clear zone and how will it increase safety? My biggest concern is around improving safety for both bikers and pedestrians, and increasing path width to help with that safety. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	concerns	Thank you for your comment.
a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and others that the City has taken into our own standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		A zone adjacent to the path surface where we not
what is the two foot clear zone? That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		put signage or water fountains or have benches. It's
What is the two foot clear zone? Standards. That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		a design standard that has been set by ASHTO and
That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		others that the City has taken into our own
a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	What is the two foot clear zone?	standards.
side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for multi-use paths. Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		That is not what we are proposing. We are proposing
The path proposal is 14' plus two 2' side lanes for 18' total, correct? Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		a 14-foot path surface and we keep 2-feet on each
Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		side as a clear zone which is a standard minimum for
safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists. If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	The path proposal is 14' plus two 2' side lanes for 18' total, correct?	multi-use paths.
If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to increase traffic. Other improvements are intended enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	Would love to see meaningfully wider path and focus on increased	
goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes and be less of a "park". The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	safety for both pedestrians and bicyclists.	Thank you for your comment.
and be less of a "park". enhance the park character. The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	If the path is widened and then connected to the path that ultimately	The intent is to make the path feel safer, not to
The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	goes to Lechmere, won't it become more of a thoroughfare for bikes	increase traffic. Other improvements are intended
primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for pedestrians, and increasing path width to help with that safety. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	and be less of a "park".	enhance the park character.
edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		The 2-foot clear zone keeps path users safe in two
the edge of the path surface. It also provides a recovery area for someone who departs the path unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		primary ways. It reduces the "shy distance" from
What is the two foot clear zone and how will it increase safety? My biggest concern is around improving safety for both bikers and pedestrians, and increasing path width to help with that safety. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		edge of path if there were an obstruction directly at
What is the two foot clear zone and how will it increase safety? My biggest concern is around improving safety for both bikers and pedestrians, and increasing path width to help with that safety. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight lines creating a more comfortable experience for folks approaching a turn or bend. Thank you for your comment.		the edge of the path surface. It also provides a
biggest concern is around improving safety for both bikers and pedestrians, and increasing path width to help with that safety. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		recovery area for someone who departs the path
pedestrians, and increasing path width to help with that safety. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	What is the two foot clear zone and how will it increase safety? My	unexpectedly. Additionally, it may help extend sight
pedestrians, and increasing path width to help with that safety. The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	biggest concern is around improving safety for both bikers and	lines creating a more comfortable experience for
The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	pedestrians, and increasing path width to help with that safety.	I
on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		
on peoples' hope that different lanes could be created for different users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	The earlier comments about widening the path, may have been based	
users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		
possible, other options for safely using the path seem important. Thank you for your comment. Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by	users. I know that was my thought. Since widening much doesn't seem	
Just got nearly run over again in this section late this afternoon by		Thank you for your comment.
		Thank you for the feedback.

	har 1 to 100 11 1 to 100 100 1
	We don't utilize speed bumps to control other multi-
	use paths, and we don't see that very often in other
	cities, so that is not something that I think we are
	going to utilize as part of this approach. We are
	looking at ways to have path users be courteous, but
Have you considered speed bumps on the path to control the speed of	it is difficult to do speed bumps because of other
bicyclists? My son and I bike on the path regularly and on every outing	maintenance, snow plowing, and ADA accessibility
there are speeding cyclists not respecting others' space.	requirements.
A speed limit sign that tell someone how fast they're going would be	
helpful for the e-bike era. Some Bikes don't have speedometers but	
they're still dangerous!	Thank you for your comment.
	We don't utilize speed bumps to control other multi-
	use paths, and we don't see that very often in other
	cities, so that is not something that I think we are
	going to utilize as part of this approach. We are
	looking at ways to have path users be courteous, but
	it is difficult to do speed bumps because of other
Can bike speeds be moderated with raised areas occasionally? Speed	maintenance, snow plowing, and ADA accessibility
bumps, however maintaining ADA accessibility?	requirements.
Can there be a way to check the speed of path users, perhaps with	
certain areas that measure the time between points and display an	
"ok," "slow down," or "too fast" message with signage explaining the	
need for lower speeds when pedestrians are around? Alternatively,	
there could be a painted area that users should take more than 2 (or 3)	
seconds to get from end-to-end to indicate the maximum speed.	We'll look at signage and other options to encourage
Many cyclists and e-vehicle users have no idea how fast they are going	slower speeds, but at this point we're not
or how fast they should be going.	considering adding any flashing signs.
I totally second Alex Epstein's comment - too many close calls with	
speeding bikers! Separate lanes/zones very important!!	Thank you for the comment.
Just as with cars, you should consider ways to make various vehicles be	
considerate to others through design. This is a park, not a speedway,	
and should be designed to create that atmosphere. That will make this	
a good place for people to be at all non-internal combustion engine	
speeds.	Thank you for your comment.
On multiple occasions I have seen people drive gas mopeds down the	
path and some of the newer e-bikes fly down the section around	
Russell Field - signage or other traffic calming measures (not sure what	
those would be) should be considered	Thank you for your comment.
Continue to be deeply concerned about balancing safety of all users	
with speeding bikers. Would urge this group to seriously focus on how	
to keep all users safe. Feels like you are still prioritizing the commuter	
aspect of this pathway to other users' detriment, especially walkers,	
children, dogs.	Thank you for your comment.

For widening the path, have you considered separating pedestrians	Creating separate pathways for different users would take a great deal of space that we don't have in many areas, and add a good deal of pavement. We
from cyclists at all in some sections? It's so congested on the	are proposed a 2 foot widening and looking at edge
weekends!	treatments that could work better for some users.
Narrower - but still acceptable - bike lane widths would allow for more	
safe and separated pedestrian zone, which is essential for pedestrian /	
walking safely and comfortably.	Thank you for your comment.
I would like to see the path widened and separate lanes/zones	
provided for different speed users to minimize conflict between people	
biking, pushing strollers, walking dogs etc. Thanks!	Thank you for your comment.

Safety - Security	
I agree that there would be security implications in adding forest-like	
cover areas. I would encourage the project team to consult with the	
police department on how to maintain security, as the path is often	
used at night and can feel (and be) dangerous.	Thank you for the comment.
Highly forested areas feel less safe for folks walking to and from	
Alewife Station in the dark	We will keep this in mind. Thanks.
I also note that there has been no mention about increased security on	
a much more public pathway.	Thank you for your comment.
	Anecdotally my understanding is that if there is no
	police report (which is more common for a motor
Is their data on incidents - crimes - along path/Park?	vehicle crash) then there is less data available.

Street Furniture	
Big Belly bins MUST have the foot step pedals - those were really	
important during the pandemic.	Thank you for the comment.
Are the proposed lights along the bike path designed to reduce light	Yes, they point down towards the path and are dark
pollution?	sky compliant.
Yes to bottle filling station — if you can make sure it doesn't freeze up	Thank you for the comment. Yes, they will be
and get damaged in the winter	winterized.

Traffic, Bicycle, Pedestrian Counts	
When were counts taken	[Edited] May, 2022.
	Counts were done as part of this project,
	unfortunately the design team is still working on
	some issues and finalizing details before we're able
	to publish those counts. We will make those
Any data for current usage of the path and what activity they are	available at some point, but I don't have a definite
doing?	timeline on when.

Trees	
	I don't believe this is the case anywhere along the
If the existing trees are less than 12-14' apart, what will you do?	corridor
There are a lot of beautiful mature trees. I hope that keeping them will	
be a priority in any redesign.	Yes, it is absolutely a priority.
	We can incorporate attention to soil compaction into
	our specifications, work with DPW and the City
	arborist, and make a place to de-compact heavily
The soil under some trees is heavily compacted. Is there a plan to	compacted areas as we undergo pathway surface
address that condition? If so, can you give us some details?	renovation.
Over-mulching around trees is also an issue that should be addressed	
with landscaping contractors who maintain the path. They often	
severely over-mulch, which deprives the upper tree roots of needed air	
exposure.	Thank you for your comment.
What about the part of the path toward Somerville that doesn't allow	We will be looking at this area in greater detail later
trees to grow? The heat at that section in the summer is oppressive.	in the design process. As you said, this is a
What options are there?	challenging area!
Rehabilitation of the park as a growing medium for trees would seem	
to be a high priority given the City's concern for loss of tree canopy	Thank you for your comment.

Zoom Features / General Comments / Other	
	This is a combined park and transportation project
	with staff and a design team that work on both
Why is a park being treated as a transportation project?	disciplines, plus others.
Not in favor of this.	Thank you for the comment.
For what it's worth, this small, older woman feels just fine walking	
through places like this as long as the path is reasonably visible.	Thank you for the comment.
[Edited] Agreed, there are some nice additions, alterations and	
improvements that seem well thought out. Thank you.	Thank you for your comment.
Please post Q&A verbatim. Thanks	Thank you for your comment.
Please just do it exactly as is! (why on earth not?)	Thank you for your comment.
Agreed, thank you for your efforts to improve this well-loved path.	Thank you!
Accessible versions of presentations should be provided ahead of time	
so that people who need them can follow the presentation.	Thank you for your comment.
How many people are on this call?	At this moment, there 55 attendees.
	At the bottom of the screen, above "live transcript"
How can I shut off captioning?	you can click the cc to turn it off.
	There is a CC 'button' at the bottom of your screen
	that says "Live Transcript". If you click on that you
Captions blocking images!	can turn off CC.
How about a few verbal questions - much easier for this member of	
the public.	Yes, answering these live now. Thanks!
Survey way too fast to reflect meaningfully on options.	Thank you for your comment.

Almost impossible to type, read, and look and listen all at the same	
time.	Thank you for your comment.