

## CITY OF CAIMBRIDGE

BOARD OF ZONING APPEAL
831 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge MA 02139
617-349-6100

## BZA Application Form

BZA Number: 250764

## General Information

The undersigned hereby petitions the Board of Zoning Appeal for the following: Special Permit: $\qquad$ X

Variance: $\qquad$ Appeal: $\qquad$

PETITIONER: 33 Hurlbut LLC (Scott Zink) C/O Adam Glassman / GCD Architects
PETITIONER'S ADDRESS: 17 Brown St, Cambridge, MA 02138
LOCATION OF PROPERTY: 33 Hurlbut St, Cambridge, MA
TYPE OF OCCUPANCY: Single Family. ZONING DISTRICT: Residence B Zone

## REASON FOR PETITION:

/Additions/

## DESCRIPTION OF PETITIONER'S PROPOSAL:

New 2-story rear addition, new stair and window wells

## SECTIONS OF ZONING ORDINANCE CITED:

Article: 5.000 Section: 5.31 (Table of Dimensional Requirements).
Article: 8.000 Section: 8.22.2.c \& 8.22.2.d (Non-Conforming Structure).
Article: 10.000
Section: 10.40 (Special Permit).

Original
Signature(s):
Signature(s):
$\frac{\text { Addam Glassman }}{\text { (Petitioner (s) / Owner) }}$

$\frac{\text { Adam Glassman }}{\text { (Print Name) }}$| 17 Brown St \#2 Cambridge MA 02138 |
| :--- |
| 617-412-8450 |
| AJGLASSMAN@HOTMAIL.COM |

## BRA APPLICATION FORM - OWNERSHIP INFORMATION

To be completed by OWNER, signed before a notary and returned to The Secretary of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

*Pursuant to a deed of duly recorded in the date $11 / 01 / 2023$, Middlesex South County Registry of Deeds at Book 82162 ; Page 384 or

Middlesex Registry District of Land Court, Certificate No. $\qquad$
Book $\qquad$ Page $\qquad$ .

*Written evidence of Agent's standing to represent petitioner may be requested.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts, County of
Middlesex
The above-name Scott Link personally appeared before me, this 29 of Vower, 2023 , and made oath that the above statement is true.


My commission expires $\qquad$ (Notary


ROBERT A. BRABANT, JR Notary Public COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS My Commission Expires October 2, 2026

- If ownership is not shown in recorded deed, e.g. if by court order, recent deed, or inheritance, please include documentation.


## BZA Application Form

## SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR A SPECIAL PERMIT

Please describe in complete detail how you meet each of the following criteria referring to the property and proposed changes or uses which are requested in your application. Attach sheets with additional information for special permits which have additional criteria, e.g.; fast food permits, comprehensive permits, etc., which must be met.

## Granting the Special Permit requested for 33 Hurlbut St, Cambridge, MA (location) would not be a detriment to the public interest because:

A) Requirements of the Ordinance can or will be met for the following reasons:

The modest increase in the non-conforming FAR per the proposed rear addition will have no negative impact on the abutters for whom there will be no loss of privacy, no increase in noise or light pollution, and no impact on neighborhood density. The addition itself will be conforming relative to open space, all set backs and buidling height requirements.

The proposed new left side stairwell and front window well will technically increase the existing non-conforming building height relative to the average grade, but the actual building height will remain the same.
B) Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character for the following reasons:

The proposed work will have no impact on the existing traffic patterns or on street parking.
The continued operation of or the development of adjacent uses as permitted in the Zoning
C) Ordinance would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use for the following reasons:

The proposed work will have no adverse affect on the development of the adjacent uses.
D) Nuisance or hazard would not be created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use or the citizens of the City for the following reasons:

The proposed work will cause no detriment to the citizens of the city, their safety or their welfare.
E) For other reasons, the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district or otherwise derogate from the intent or purpose of this ordinance for the following reasons:

The proposed work will maintain the scale and character of the the house and the neighborhood and will not be visibile from the street.
*If you have any questions as to whether you can establish all of the applicable legal requirements, you should consult with an attorney.

## BZA Application Form

## DIMENSIONAL INFORMATION

Applicant: 33 Hurlbut LLC (Scott Zink).
Location: $\quad 33$ Hurlbut St, Cambridge, MA
Phone: 617-412-8450

Present Use/Occupancy: Single Family.
Zone: Residence B Zone
Requested Use/Occupancy: No Change


Describe where applicable, other occupancies on the same lot, the size of adjacent buildings on same lot, and type of construction proposed, e.g; wood frame, concrete, brick, steel, etc.:

Existing house and proposed addition are wood frame.

1. SEE CAMBRIDGE ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 5.000 , SECTION 5.30 (DISTRICT OF DIMENSIONAL REGULATIONS).
2. TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (INCLUDING BASEMENT 7'-0" IN HEIGHT AND ATTIC AREAS GREATER THAN 5') DIVIDED BY LOT AREA.
3. OPEN SPACE SHALL NOT INCLUDE PARKING AREAS, WALKWAYS OR DRIVEWAYS AND SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM DIMENSION OF ${ }^{15}$ '.


33 HURLBUT EXISTNG STREET VIEW


33 HURLBUT LOCUS MAP

## SPECIAL PERMIT REQUIRED PER:

1) PROPOSED REAR 2-STORY ADDITION PER INCREASE OF EXISTNG NON-CONFORMING FAR.
2) PROPOSED LEFT SIDE BELOW GRADE STAIRWELL AND FRONT WINDOW WELL PER INCREASE OF EXISTING NON-CONFORMING BUILDING HEIGHT
3) INCREASE IN EXISTING NON-CONFORMING RIGHT SIDE SETBACK PER PROPOSED REAR ADDITION.




33 HURLBUT VIEW OF FRONT AND EXISTING DRIVEWAY


33 HURLBUT VIEW OF FRONT

33 HURLBUT EXISTING PHOTOS

| PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAW |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 Hurlbut Street | PHOTOS |  | C3 |
| Cambridge, MA |  |  |  |



EXISTING LEFT SIDE ELEVATION


EXISTING REAR ELEVATION

33 HURLBUT EXISTING PHOTOS




| Dimension Regulation - ZONE RESIDENCE B |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EXISTING | PROPOSED | REQUIRED | CONFORMING |
| MAX FAR | 0.53 | 0.63 | 0.5 | EXISTING NON CONFORMING |
| MIN. LOT SIZE | 5,785 sq. ft. | 5,785 sq. ft. | 5,000 sq. ft. | YES |
| MIN. LOT AREA PER DWELLING UNIT | 2,500 sq. ft. | 2,500 sq. ft. | 2,500 sq. ft. | YES |
| MIN. LOT WIDTH | $65^{\prime}$ | $65^{\prime}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | YES |
| MIN. FRONT SETBACK | 9.8' | 9.8' | 15' | EXISTING NON CONFORMING |
| MIN. LEFT SIDE SETBACK | 30.2' | 30.2' | 12.5' (sum of 20') | YES |
| MIN. RIGHT SIDE SETBACK | $3.4{ }^{\prime}$ | $3.4{ }^{\prime}$ | 7.5' (sum of 20') | EXISTING NON CONFORMING |
| MIN. REAR SETBACK | $31.7{ }^{\prime}$ | $25.4{ }^{\prime}$ | 25' | YES |
| MAXIMUM HEIGHT | 37.5' | 37.5' | 35' | EXISTING NON CONFORMING |
| TOT RATIO OF PRIVATE OPEN SPACE TO LOT AREA | 71\% | 59\% | 40\% | YES |
| PRIVATE OPEN SPACE (15'x15') as per 5.22.3 | 61\% | 42\% | 20\% | YES |

## REVISIONS MADE AND CONDITONS ADDED TO ORIGINAL SUBMISSION PER ABUTTER CONCERNS:

1. ORIGINALLY PROPOSED 26' X 10' REAR DECK AT FIRST FLOOR REDUCED TO 5' X 10' LANDING WITH SMALL SUNSHADE PER ABUTTER CONCERNS OVER LOSS OF PRIVACY.
2. ALL EXISTING TREES AND SHRUBS ALONG REAR LOT LINE TO BE PROTECTED AND MAINTAINED PER ABUTTER CONCERNS ABOUT THE MAINTENANCE OF THE LANDSCAPE BUFFER.
3. NEW EVERGREEN SHRUBS TO BE ADDED TO REAR AND RIGHT SIDE LOT LINES ALONG NEW FENCE TO INCREASE LANDSCAPE BUFFER PER ABUTTER REQUEST.
4. NEW WOOD FENCE MIN 6'-0" TALL TO REPLACE EXISTING REAR AND RIGHT SIDE FENCES PER ABUTTER CONCERNS ABOUT LOSS OF PRIVACY AND CORRECTING THE EXISTING EYESORES.
5. NO NEW FENCE ALONG THE RIGHT SIDE ALLEY TO MAINTAIN EXISTING YARD ACCESS PER ABUTTER REQUEST.
6. ALL EXTERIOR LIGHTING TO BE SHIELDED TO KEEP ALL DIRECT ILLUMINATION WITHIN THE CONFINES OF THE LOT WITH NO EXPOSED BULBS VISIBLE TO THE NAKED EYE PER ABUTTER CONCERNS ABOUT ADDED LIGHT POLLUTION.
7. NEW SUBSTANTIAL EVERGREEN TREE PLANTED ON LEFT SIDE TO REPLACE EXISTING HEMLOCK TREE TO BE REMOVED PER ABUTTER CONCERNS ABOUT LOSS OF EXISTING TREE CANOPY.
8. EXTENDED DRIVEWAY TO BE BRICK PAVERS TO MATCH EXISTING PER ABUTTER CONCERNS ABOUT ADDING ASHPALT.
9. NO ROOF DECK OVER THE NEW ADDITION PER ABUTTER CONCERNS ABOUT PRIVACY AND NOISE.
10. AMOUNT OF GLAZING IN THE REAR ELEVATION INCREASED ONLY 8.0 SF BEYOND EXISTING GLAZING PER ABUTTER CONCERNS ABOUT LOSS OF PRIVACY AND LIGHT POLLUTION.
11. EXISTING 65' TALL REAR TREE 1'-0" AWAY FROM THE REAR ELEVATION MUST BE REMOVED PER ENGINEER'S INSPECTION AND REPORT, IT CANNOT BE SAVED DESPITE ABUTTER REQUEST TO KEEP IT.
12. APPLICANT HAS OFFERED TO PLANT ADDITIONAL SHRUBS ALONG THE REAR FENCE ON THE ABUUTERS SIDE TO ADD MORE LANDSCAPE BUFFER AS THAT SIDE OF THE FENCE CURRENTLY HAS NO TALL PLANTINGS.



33 HURLBUT EXISTNG VIEW OF REAR YARD

|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS <br> 2 WORTHINGTON STREET CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138 617-412-8450 | 11/16/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street <br> Cambridge, MA | PHOTOS |  | $7.3$ |



33 HURLBUT EXISTING REAR YARD LANDSCAPE BUFFER

|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138 617-412-8450 | 11/16/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street <br> Cambridge, MA | PHOTOS |  | $\text { Z. } 4$ |



APPLICANT HAS OFFERED TO PLANT SHRUBS ALONG THE REAR FENCE ON THE ABUTTERS' SIDE WHICH CURRENTLY HAS NO TALL PLANTINGS.

## EXISTING PHOTOS OF REAR ABUTTERS' BACK YARD






OPEN SPACE $=3,147$ S.F. (54\%)GREEN BUFFER $=267$ S.F. (5\%)LANDING = 50 S.F.PROP. PRIVATE OPEN SPACE $15^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ (including Landing) $=$ 2,446 S.F. (42\%)PROPOSED
LANDSCAPE, T.B.D
BY LANDSCAPE BY LANDSCAPE DESIGNEREXTG. LANDSCAPE TO REMAIN, APPROX. LOCATION


(1) Extg. Rear Fenestration


|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS <br> 2 WORTHINGTON STREET CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138 617-412-8450 $\qquad$ | 01/02/24 | 33 Hurlbut Street <br> Cambridge, MA | Extg. and Proposed Rear Fenestration | 1/8" = 1'-0" | $\text { Z. } 10$ |



(1) Extg. Rear Eelevation w/approx. trees $\qquad$ (2) Prop. Rear Eelevation w/approx. trees
$1 / 8 "=1$ '-0"
1/8" = 1'-0"
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

(1) Section w/rear neighbors 3/32" = 1'-0"

ARCHITECT



(1) $\frac{3 r d}{}$ Floor

1) $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$

(2) Section GFA
$1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1$ '-0"

|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS <br> 2 WORTHINGTON STREET CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138 <br>  $\qquad$ | 12/18/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street <br> Cambridge, MA | Zoning - EXTG. GFA <br> - FAR Analysis | 1/8" = 1'-0" | $7.14$ |



(1) 3rd Floor $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$

(2) Section PROP. GFA $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1$ '-0"

$|$| PROPOSED GFA - FAR CALCULATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name |  |  |  |  | GFA | Lot Area | FAR |
| (GFA/LOT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1st Floor | 1572 SF | 5785 SF |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd Floor | 1404 SF | 5785 SF |  |  |  |
| 3rd Floor | 708 SF | 5785 SF |  |  |  |
| 3681687 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3683 SF |  |  |  |  | 0.636669 |


|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS <br>  617.412.8.450 | 12/18/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street Cambridge, MA | Zoning - PROP. GFA \& FAR Analysis | 1/8" = 1'-0" | Z. 16 |


| DEMO PERCENTAGE CALCULATION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S.F. | L | VOLUME |
| EXTG. MAIN HOUSE | 864.00 | 40.10 | 34,646.40 |
| EXTG. BAY WINDOW | 47.00 | 3.50 | 164.50 |
| EXTG. REAR BUMP | 43.00 | 3.64 | 156.52 |
| TOT EXTG. |  |  | 34,967.42 |
| DEMO REAR BUMP | 43.00 | 3.64 | 156.52 |
| TOT DEMO |  |  | 156.52 |
| CALCS PERCENTAGE | 0.45\% |  |  |



(1) Front/Left side view EXTG
(2) Front/Right side view EXTG


ARCHITECT
GCD ARCHITECTS
$\substack{2 \text { WORTHINGTON SRET } \\ \text { CAMBRIIGE, MA } 22138}$



GCD ARCHITECTS
2 WORTHINGTON STREET
2 WORTHINGTON STREET
CAMBRIDGE. MA 02138
12/18/2023
617-412-8450
www.glassmanchungdesign.co
33 Hurlbut Street
Cambridge, MA
Extg. Demo Plans


GCD ARCHITECTS
2 WORTHNGTON STREET
2WORTHINGTON STRET
CAMBRIDGE, MA 22138



| ITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Extg. Demo | $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0 "$ | $D 2.2$ |



[^0](2) Front/Right side view PROPOSED

ARCHITECT
GCD ARCHITECTS
$\substack{\text { 2 WORTHINGTON STREET } \\ \text { CAMBRICEE MA } 02138}$ 12/18/2023 33 Hurlbut Street

Proposed 3D Views

(1) Rear/ Right side view PROPOSED

(2) Rear Left View PROPOSED



(1) $\frac{\text { ueld } 100 \mathrm{y}}{n 0-1}=\ldots 8 / \mathrm{L}$

(1) Front Elevation
(2) Right Side Elevation

1/8" = 1'-0"

ARCHITECT
GCD ARCHITECTS
$\substack{\text { 2 WORTHINGTON STREET } \\ \text { CAMBRIDGE, MA } 02138}$
DATE




(1) Front/Left side view-EXTG


2 Front/Left side view- PROPOSED

(1) Front Right View - EXTG

(2) Front Right View - PROPOSED

ARCHITECT
GCD ARCHITECTS
2 WORTHNGTON STREET



| Cambridge, MA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


(1) Rear Right View - EXTG $\qquad$ (2) Rear Right View - PROP.

|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS 2 CORORHMGTON STREET $617-4128450$ | 12/18/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street Cambridge, MA | 3D Views COMPARISON |  | A4.3 |



SPECIAL PERMIT REQUIRED PER:

1) PROPOSED REAR 2-STORY ADDITION PER INCREASE OF EXISTNG NON-CONFORMING FAR.
2) PROPOSED LEFT SIDE BELOW GRADE STAIRWELL AND FRONT WINDOW WELL PER INCREASE OF EXISTING NON-CONFORMING BUILDING HEIGHT
3) INCREASE IN EXISTING NON-CONFORMING RIGHT SIDE SETBACK PER PROPOSED REAR ADDITION.

|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS <br> CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138 <br> 617-412-8450 | 11/16/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street <br> Cambridge, MA | COVER |  | ;1 |



33 HURLBUT EXISTNG VIEW FROM REAR


33 HURLBUT VIEW FROM LEFT SIDE

|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS <br>  <br>  | 11/16/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street Cambridge, MA | PHOTOS |  | $\mathrm{C} 2$ |



| Dimension Regulation - ZONE RESIDENCE B |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EXISTING | PROPOSED | REQUIRED | CONFORMING |
| MAX FAR | 0.53 | 0.63 | 0.5 | EXISTING NON CONFORMING |
| MIN. LOT SIZE | 5,785 sq. ft. | 5,785 sq. ft. | 5,000 sq. ft. | YES |
| MIN. LOT AREA PER DWELLING UNIT | 2,500 sq. ft. | 2,500 sq. ft. | 2,500 sq. ft. | YES |
| MIN. LOT WIDTH | $65^{\prime}$ | $65^{\prime}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | YES |
| MIN. FRONT SETBACK | 9.8' | 9.8' | 15' | EXISTING NON CONFORMING |
| MIN. LEFT SIDE SETBACK | 30.2' | 30.2' | 12.5' (sum of 20') | YES |
| MIN. RIGHT SIDE SETBACK | 3.4' | 3.4' | 7.5' (sum of 20') | EXISTING NON CONFORMING |
| MIN. REAR SETBACK | 31.7' | 25.4' | 25' | YES |
| MAXIMUM HEIGHT | 37.5' | 39.5' | $35^{\prime}$ | EXISTING NON CONFORMING |
| TOT RATIO OF PRIVATE OPEN SPACE TO LOT AREA | 71\% | 58\% | 40\% | YES |
| PRIVATE OPEN SPACE (15'x15') as per 5.22 .3 | 61\% | 41\% | 20\% | YES |






(1) $\frac{3 r d}{}$ Floor

1) $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$

(2) Section GFA
$1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1$ '-0"


(1) 3rd Floor $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$

(2) Section PROP. GFA $1 / 8$ " = 1'-0"

| PROPOSED GFA - FAR CALCULATION |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Name |  |  |  |
|  | GFA | Lot Area | FAR <br> (GFA/LOT) |
| 1st Floor 1572 SF 5785 SF <br> 2nd Floor 1404 SF 5785 SF 0.271687 |  |  |  |
| 3rd Floor | 708 SF | 5785 SF | 0.1223433 |
| 3683 SF |  |  |  | 0.636669


|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS <br> 2 WORTHINGTON STREET CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138 617-412-8450 <br> w.glassmanchungdesign.com | 11/16/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street <br> Cambridge, MA | Zoning - PROP. GFA \& FAR Analysis | 1/8" = 1'-0" | $Z .7$ |


| DEMO PERCENTAGE CALCULATION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S.F. | L | VOLUME |
| EXTG. MAIN HOUSE | 864.00 | 40.10 | 34,646.40 |
| EXTG. BAY WINDOW | 47.00 | 3.50 | 164.50 |
| EXTG. REAR BUMP | 43.00 | 3.64 | 156.52 |
| TOT EXTG. |  |  | 34,967.42 |
| DEMO REAR BUMP | 43.00 | 3.64 | 156.52 |
| TOT DEMO |  |  | 156.52 |
| CALCS PERCENTAGE | 0.45\% |  |  |



(1) Front/Left side view EXTG

2 Front/Right side view EXTG



$617-412-8450$
www.glassmanchungdesign.co


|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS <br> 2 Worthington street CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138 617-4 12-8450 $\qquad$ | 11/16/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street <br> Cambridge, MA | Extg. Demo Elevations | 1/8" = 1'-0" | D2.1 |




[^1](2) Front/Right side view PROPOSED

|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS <br>  <br>  | 11/16/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street <br> Cambridge, MA | Proposed 3D Views |  | 0.1 |


(1) Rear/ Right side view PROPOSED

(2) Rear Left View PROPOSED


33 Hurlbut Street


(1) $\frac{\text { ueld fooy }}{n 0-, L=. .8 / L}$

(1) Front Elevation

2 Right Side Elevation
1/8" = 1'-0"




(1) Front/Left side view-EXTG


2 Front/Left side view- PROPOSED

(1) Front Right View - EXTG

(2) Front Right View - PROPOSED

ARCHITECT

(1)Rear Right View - EXTG $\qquad$ (2) Rear Right View - PROP.

|  | ARCHITECT | DATE | PROJECT | TITLE | SCALE | DRAWING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD ARCHITECTS | GCD ARCHITECTS <br>  <br>  | 11/16/2023 | 33 Hurlbut Street Cambridge, MA | 3D Views COMPARISON |  | A4.3 |

## 33 thulbut st.



|  |  | Cll |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 175-30

CHAMBERLAIN-VANDERMARK, CONSTANCE L.
42-44 BOWDOIN ST., UNIT \#42
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138-1602

## TO: THE CAMBRIDGE BZA <br> RE: BZA APPLICATION FOR 33 HURLBUT STREET

FROM: ADAM AND YOO JIN GLASSMAN, 27 HURLBUT STREET

To The Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals,
My family and I are the residents at 27 Hurlbut Street, direct left-side abutters to 33 Hurlbut Street, writing to offer our support for this application for modest alterations and additions to the existing non-conforming structure.

I agreed to work as the applicant's architect when he expressed a commitment to consulting directly with all of his direct abutters, and to do everything he could to make this project as minimal impact as possible for all of us.

To that end, Mr. Zink has done the following at the requests of his various abutters:

- Revised the plans to reduce a proposed large rear deck to a modest landing with steps down to a patio at the request of his rear abutters on Bowdoin Street.
- Made a commitment to protect and enhance the existing rear landscape buffer and add new landscape buffers at both side yards at the request of all his abutters.
- Committed to install new $6^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ tall ( min ) wood fencing along the rear lot line and part of the right-side lot line where there already existing a decrepit wood fence. This would enhance both the existing privacy all the lots currently enjoy and greatly enhance the aesthetics of the abutting rear yards with attractive and uniform wood fencing.
- Removed a new roof deck from the original design concept to protect privacy and prevent noise pollution at the request of his left-side abutter.
- Provided a new landscape buffer along the left-side yard at the request of his left-side abutter.
- Committed that all new exterior lighting will be low to the ground or shielded to prevent light pollution at the request of all his abutters.
- Committed to working with a landscape designer to properly size and plant new trees to replace existing trees which are badly located on the lot, one of which is detrimental to the structure of his house.
- Used only a modest number of windows in the proposed rear elevation to address the rear abutters' concerns over privacy. The amount of glazing is slightly more than the existing window pattern. The proposed rear elevation respects the $25^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ rear setback as does the rear elevation of his direct rear abutters on Bowdoin St, maintaining a separation between the structures of at least $50^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$.
- Removed several existing right side window openings to enhance the privacy between the two houses.
- Committed to keeping the narrow right-side yard open and without a fence to maintain the access for maintenance requested by the right-side abutters.
- Offered to plant new landscaping on the abutters' side of the fence to further enhance the landscape buffer and privacy.
- Offered to maintain the 'woodsy' character of the existing yard, especially the grove of old hemlock trees along the rear lot line and the mature rhododenrons.

On account of these commitments and the spirit in which Mr. Zink has approached this project and its potential impacts to his abutters, we fully support this project. We also support this project because I am very familiar with the exceptional quality of Mr. Zink's development work throughout Cambridge. Frankly, many other developers would approach this project to maximize their profit by cutting corners on exterior cladding, window products and landscaping without any respect for the original vintage character of this house or the uniformly in-tact historic architecture of the neighborhood. More worrisome, a different developer might completely disfigure this house, or even worse, wait out the demo delay and simply demolish the entire structure as-of-right and build a generic 2 family house that has no connection whatsoever to the history or fabric of this unique neighborhood context.

Mr. Zink is committed to properly restoring the exterior of this once beautiful Victorian house which has been badly neglected through abandonment for over 10 years. The structure requires a total overhaul to its interior and exterior and landscape due to these years of neglect. The proposed changes requiring zoning relief are the definition of modest, they are consistent with the scale and character of the neighborhood, they are thoughtful and low impact. Mr. Zink will leave this property much better than he found it, which is not only not a detriment to our neighborhood, but a profound benefit to all of us who live on Hurlbut Steet or abut this property, and for these reasons, this application warrants a Special Permit.

Sincerely,

## Adam Glassman

27 Hurlbut Street
T: 617-412-8450
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Case $\mathbb{N o}^{2}$.


Hearing Date:


Thank you, Bra Members

December 12, 2023
Scott Zinc
33 Hurlbut Street
Cambridge, MA
RE: Tree Inspection
33 Hurlbut Street
Cambridge, MA

Dear Scott,
This letter summarizes my observations and recommendations based on a structural inspection that we performed at the above-mentioned address.

## Observations:

There is a large ash tree approximately 1 foot away from the existing foundation wall.

## Analysis:

Given the proximity of the tree to the foundation, it is clear that the root system is pushing on the existing foundation wall. The foundation wall is showing indications of failure due to this pressure. Foundation walls of this construction are not adequate to support the thrust from the tree, and damage has, and will continue to occur due to the tree's presence.

## Recommendations:

We recommend removing the tree immediately. In addition, we recommend carefully removing the stump and backfilling behind the foundation with a well-draining material.

Regards,


Michael Davidson, P.E.



Adam Glassman [ajglassman.ra@gmail.com](mailto:ajglassman.ra@gmail.com)

## Neighbors' Comments on 33 Hurlbut

Adam Glassman [ajglassman.ra@gmail.com](mailto:ajglassman.ra@gmail.com)
Tue, Jan 2, 2024 at 6:22 PM
To: Augie Ros [augieros@yahoo.com](mailto:augieros@yahoo.com), "Pacheco, Maria" [mpacheco@cambridgema.gov](mailto:mpacheco@cambridgema.gov)
Cc: Ann Barnes [abarnes02138@hotmail.com](mailto:abarnes02138@hotmail.com), Peter Kroon [pbkroon@yahoo.com](mailto:pbkroon@yahoo.com), "cchamberlain1@icloud.com"
[cchamberlain1@icloud.com](mailto:cchamberlain1@icloud.com), "ctan.writer@gmail.com" [ctan.writer@gmail.com](mailto:ctan.writer@gmail.com), "nicole.caplan@gmail.com"
[nicole.caplan@gmail.com](mailto:nicole.caplan@gmail.com), "scott@zredevelopment.com" [scott@zredevelopment.com](mailto:scott@zredevelopment.com)

All,
Happy new year, and thank you abutters of 33 Hurlbut St for your initial feedback, for meeting with us, and for your last round of feedback.

At this time the applicant feels he has offered nearly everything he can in terms of reductions and conditions to address your concerns and to obtain your support in a way that makes sense for his project.
Unfortunately he is in no way able to oblige your requests to save the 65' tall rear tree which is growing into the foundation wall, so reducing the width of what is already a very modest addition to preserve that tree does not make sense for the project. However, he shares your concern about the loss of the tree and will work with a landscaper to plant additional trees in the yard
to provide future canopy but at a safe distance from the foundation wall.
We appreciate your idea to widen the driveway rather than to lengthen it to accomodate 2 cars, however parking spaces over both sides of the big pine tree's root system will compact and do long term damage to the root system of that tree which we will protect and preserve.

A recap of the list of conditions we have offered to date for your support are as follows with one last last idea to address your concerns:

1) Proposed Rear 26' x 10' deck revised to be a 10' x 5' landing. Rear yard outdoor space will be a stone patio at grade.
2) Enhanced wood fencing and landscaping on both sides and the rear.
3) New left side tree 10'-0" tall min will be provided to replace the existing hemlock tree to be removed. It should be noted that an arborist advised us that the existing front pine tree, approx 50' tall, will benefit greatly and thrive when the hemlock canopy and the associated competition for sun and nutrients is removed.

Unfortunately, as we have described, the existing 60' tall rear yard tree is 12 " off the face of the house and must be removed to maintain the structural integrity of the house.
That tree should never have been allowed to grow for so long so close to the house.
4) Any exterior lighting to be low to the ground or shielded so no direct light projects onto our yards.

As you know, the house was essentially abandoned for 10 years by the previous owner, and apparently at some point a bright second floor light was left on which was understandably a major annoyance.
I think we can all safely rest assured that that sort of thing will not happen again when the home is renovated and lived in, as in any normal situation interior lights are not left on 24/7 and shades or blinds are typically used in the evenings.

## 5) No roof deck over the addition.

6) No asphalt added to the yard.
7) The amount of glazing in the rear elevation is minimal and only 8 SF greater than the existing amount and the number of actual openings has decreased.
8) No fence along the right side alley to maintain access for both properties.
9) The final condition we can add would be to plant shrubs on your side of the rear fence as well, to provide a substantial landscape buffer where there appears to be none at all.

Conditions 1 thru 8 are commitments made as part of our revised application, to be enforced by the building department, but this condition for substantial new landscaping on your side would be contingent on your support of the project.

Attached again here are the final plans which we have uploaded to the portal for review by the zoning board. Please note that the footprint of the rear addition is only a very modest 211.00 SF which aligns with the back of the houses on each of its sides.

Thanks for all the feedback to date, we are confident that the proposed addition will cause you no detriment, and will even offer the benefits of new landscaping, new fencing, and a commitment to controlled exterior lighting.

We would welcome your support for the proposed work.
Sincerely,
Adam

Adam J. Glassman, R.A.
Cambridge, MA
C: 617.412.8450
www.glassmanchungdesign.com
[Quoted text hidden]
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## Neighbors' Comments on 33 Hurlbut

Augie Ros [augieros@yahoo.com](mailto:augieros@yahoo.com)
Fri, Dec 29, 2023 at 8:26 AM
To: Ann Barnes [abarnes02138@hotmail.com](mailto:abarnes02138@hotmail.com), Adam Glassman [ajglassman.ra@gmail.com](mailto:ajglassman.ra@gmail.com)
Cc: Peter Kroon [pbkroon@yahoo.com](mailto:pbkroon@yahoo.com), "cchamberlain1@icloud.com" [cchamberlain1@icloud.com](mailto:cchamberlain1@icloud.com), "ctan.writer@gmail.com" [ctan.writer@gmail.com](mailto:ctan.writer@gmail.com), "nicole.caplan@gmail.com" [nicole.caplan@gmail.com](mailto:nicole.caplan@gmail.com), "scott@zredevelopment.com" [scott@zredevelopment.com](mailto:scott@zredevelopment.com)

## Dear Adam and Scott

We have had the opportunity to discuss now that the holidays are half over. Here are our collective thoughts on where things stand.

On Friday December 22nd we abutters to 33 Hurlbut St all received the notice of the BZA meeting on January 11th, and were in turn able to study the actual BZA Special Permit application, the one time-stamped December 8th. Since this is what the BZA will be considering on January 11th, our comments refer to the application as filed.

First off, we note that the house is currently 3066 sf or $53 \%$ of the 5784 sf lot area so it is already slightly above the Res B limit. Our starting point of view is that there is sufficient existing floor space to gut and re-build a perfectly acceptable residence, especially since the basement space can now (as of 2023) be finished space without adding to GFA.

Here are our concerns with the project as it currently stands which would adversely affect us. We don't like that you propose to extend the entire back of the house 10' rear-ward, to the limit of the setback envelope adding to the non-conforming GFA, including adding to the non-conforming toosmall north-side side setback, since this is a very congested area where five back yards come together and would adversely affect our use. We don't like that you propose a slider door and a deck (of any size) at the back of the house, since this poses privacy issues for all five of us abutters that would adversely affect us. We don't like that the primary bedroom windows as drawn face to the rear, since we have had light pollution and privacy issues in the past. We don't like that you propose to chop down a mature high canopy Exceptional Tree at the rear foundation of the house, since this shade tree is essentially irreplaceable in any of our lifetimes. And we don't like that you propose to chop down another mature tree to put in a new tandem parking space. In view of the foregoing we don't support any of your proposed Special Permit requests, including the proposed window well, basement egress stairs and porch enclosure.

We would prospectively be open to supporting a revised Special Permit plan if the following conditions were met: Reduce the rear bump-out to $\sim 15$ ' wide, starting from the north corner and ending at the current bump-out foundation wall, AND thus keep the irreplaceable mature shade tree; AND put only a picture window or possibly a bay window at the rear ground floor bump-out; AND put the deck (if you build one) and/or patio and its door on the south side of the new bumpout, facing the side yard and within the setback envelope; AND reorient the primary bedroom so its windows face the side yard and the windowless WIC occupies the rear bump-out, AND keep the evergreen and install two side by side parking spaces between the wood fence of 29 Hurlbut and the other tree by the entry walk. If those changes were made we would then prospectively also support the proposed window well, basement egress stairs, porch enclosure and extended nonconforming north-side side setback.

We would ask you to keep the rear fences at 6' height, and to put no fence in the alley between 33 and 39 Hurlbut, keeping the alley open for HVAC compressors, access to service them, and storage. The front fence can be 4 ' as you indicate.

We would ask you to commit to protecting all of the other existing trees as much as possible as they provide privacy and weather protection and are effectively irreplaceable in our lifetimes.

With respect,
Abutters (Augie, Ann, Connie, Cecilia, Peter and Nikki)
[Quoted text hidden]

## Neighbors' Comments on 33 Hurlbut

Adam Glassman [ajglassman.ra@gmail.com](mailto:ajglassman.ra@gmail.com)
Mon, Dec 18, 2023 at 7:59 PM
To: Ann Barnes [abarnes02138@hotmail.com](mailto:abarnes02138@hotmail.com)
Cc: Augie Ros [augieros@yahoo.com](mailto:augieros@yahoo.com), Peter Kroon [pbkroon@yahoo.com](mailto:pbkroon@yahoo.com), "cchamberlain1@icloud.com" [cchamberlain1@icloud.com](mailto:cchamberlain1@icloud.com), "ctan.writer@gmail.com" [ctan.writer@gmail.com](mailto:ctan.writer@gmail.com), "nicole.caplan@gmail.com" [nicole.caplan@gmail.com](mailto:nicole.caplan@gmail.com), "scott@zredevelopment.com" [scott@zredevelopment.com](mailto:scott@zredevelopment.com)

Good evening neighbors,
Please see the attached revised application plans which contain the following:

1) Small rear landing instead of the previously proposed larger deck.
2) Sculpted rear patio
3) All rear trees to remain with supplemental additional shrubs per consultation with a landscape designer.
4) Rear wood fence to be either 6 ' or 7 ' tall, to be determined per abutter preferences which can be finalized later. (As one the abutters, I favor a 7 ' tall fence for uniformity across the back, but it will be your call)
5) 6 ' tall fence on the right side where there is already an existing fence, plus new plantings.
6) Alley to remain as is, no new fence between the houses.
7) front 35 ' tall white pine to remain.
8) Additional left side tree to be planted per consultation with the landscape designer.
9) Additional plantings in the front.

If the plans are acceptable I will upload them to the file and forward you a formal list of all the conditions we discussed for you to approve.
When you approve I will also submit that list to the BZA file as part of our application which will be read into the recond by the board at the hearing.

Please let us know if this is all acceptable to you.
THANK YOU,
Adam

Adam J. Glassman, R.A.
Cambridge, MA
C: 617.412.8450
www.glassmanchungdesign.com
[Quoted text hidden]

## Neighbors' Comments on 33 Hurlbut

Adam Glassman [ajglassman.ra@gmail.com](mailto:ajglassman.ra@gmail.com)
Fri, Dec 15, 2023 at 2:19 PM
To: Ann Barnes [abarnes02138@hotmail.com](mailto:abarnes02138@hotmail.com)
Cc: Augie Ros [augieros@yahoo.com](mailto:augieros@yahoo.com), Peter Kroon [pbkroon@yahoo.com](mailto:pbkroon@yahoo.com), "cchamberlain1@icloud.com" [cchamberlain1@icloud.com](mailto:cchamberlain1@icloud.com), "ctan.writer@gmail.com" [ctan.writer@gmail.com](mailto:ctan.writer@gmail.com), "nicole.caplan@gmail.com"
[nicole.caplan@gmail.com](mailto:nicole.caplan@gmail.com), "scott@zredevelopment.com" [scott@zredevelopment.com](mailto:scott@zredevelopment.com)
Hi All, thank you for the correspondence.
My responses are below in RED.
On Fri, Dec 15, 2023 at 9:14 AM Ann Barnes [abarnes02138@hotmail.com](mailto:abarnes02138@hotmail.com) wrote:
Hi Adam:
Here are some quick responses to your email:
Some of us think that a $7^{\prime}$ fence in rear will be overpowering or confining to our yards. Others wonder how the fence corner transition will be handled (assuming it transitions from $7^{\prime}$ to $6^{\prime}$ ) so it looks ok from our side. It may look funny having such a jagged fence line in such a small space. A normal height fence of 6 ft . shouldn't be an issue since you've agreed to not build a deck large enough to entertain on. Can you please show us your drawings with the patio at grade level and just a regular door leading to the landing?

1) The fence behind my house is $7^{\prime}-0$ ", I thought it would really look best to keep that rear height uniform all the way across the back, and then step down to 6' at the side, exactly what I did at my yard (you are welcome to go check it out). If $7^{\prime}-0$ " is objectionable to you, we can do the $6^{\prime}-0$ " tall. I do think a uniformly 7 ' fence along the rear is best. But we will proceed per your preference.
2) I will send you revised drawings with the landing as I already sketched, and a sliding patio door.

We understand you met with Cecilia last Friday and that you agreed on no fence in the "alley" and on updating the fencing and plantings in the back between \#33 and \#39. If we could see that updated on the next round of plans that would be great.
OK, will do.
We are happy to hear about the new sewer lines. We'll let you know if any unexpected sewer back-ups happen as you start your project.
ok.
In response to the parking, a house on Bowdoin has two single parking spaces separated by a huge shade tree. This format hasn't hurt the value of that house. On the contrary, that tree is an asset to the house and the neighborhood. Some of us would like to hear if you've considered having two parking spaces separated by a tree as a way to keep the mature tree at the end of the driveway.

Personally, I favor your suggestion, However that would require a second curb cut that the city would not allow, or a much wider curb cut which the city would not allow. It would also remove a city parking space on a street that is already very stressed for parking. It would also put a parking space directly on top of the walkeay to the porch entry. But we are planning on planting new trees and will add those to the plans.

The tall healthy shade tree by the foundation is irreplaceable in a city backyard. We would like to have more serious consideration given to preserving it. If it is an Ash tree, the City of Cambridge may have a program to save these trees. Do you have documentation regarding foundation stress that necessitates the removal of this tree?

We will have an engineer on site soon to evaluate. Having gone through this at our own house, I can tell you that the root system is enormous and that it and along with that massive trunk are far too close to the structure. Leaving that tree in place would be terrible for the long term structure of the house. It is unfortunate that the tree was not moved to a suitable location when it was young. As a condition for your support, Scott will be happy to plant new trees. Whatever happens with the zoning relief application, that tree in all likelihood will need to be removed, I am sorry to say.

We like your proposal to call out keeping rear-facing lighting low to the ground and low voltage. Thank you. We're still unclear on the potential for rear upper story light pollution.

There will be no post or wall mounted lights in the rear, no light will be projected toward the sky or toward any of the abutting lots.

In your last email, you mentioned that you have already submitted an application to the BZA. We would like to see the revised application including the new drawings before we submit a letter of support.

I will send you the new drawings. The application itself will not change (and I will send that to you as well), but I will generate a list of conditions you can attach to a letter of support (if you are willing to write one), which I will enter into the file. These conditions are taken very seriously by the board and by the building department.

We hope this is helpful. THANK YOU
[Quoted text hidden]

## Neighbors' Comments on 33 Hurlbut

## Augie Ros [augieros@yahoo.com](mailto:augieros@yahoo.com)

Wed, Dec 6, 2023 at 12:34 PM
To: "scott@zredevelopment.com" [scott@zredevelopment.com](mailto:scott@zredevelopment.com), "ajglassman.ra@gmail.com" [ajglassman.ra@gmail.com](mailto:ajglassman.ra@gmail.com) Cc: "ctan.writer@gmail.com" [ctan.writer@gmail.com](mailto:ctan.writer@gmail.com), "cchamberlain1@icloud.com" [cchamberlain1@icloud.com](mailto:cchamberlain1@icloud.com), Peter Kroon [pbkroon@yahoo.com](mailto:pbkroon@yahoo.com), "nicole.caplan@gmail.com" [nicole.caplan@gmail.com](mailto:nicole.caplan@gmail.com), Ann Barnes
[abarnes02138@hotmail.com](mailto:abarnes02138@hotmail.com)

## Dear Scott and Adam -

Thank you for sharing your plans for 33 Hurlbut with us. We, the abutters, have all met and we can simplify your process by offering these joint initial comments on behalf of the entire group (Ann and Augie, Cecilia and Corwin, Connie, and Peter and Nikki).

In general, we are pleased that the house will be fully renovated by a capable team and look forward to welcoming a new family in our midst. That said, we have have the following input to give, including several requests, relative to your proposed plans as we understand them:

## Protect our respective backyard sanctuaries

Your drawings propose a 26' wide by 10' deep deck across the back of the house, at the height of the first floor, which means approximately 3' above grade. This is not a good idea. People standing on that deck would be able to look over and down at neighbors in their backyards of all the adjacent homes, which would be intrusive. It also doesn't make sense to cram so much activity into the smallest corner of the yard. The deVore's had a large patio in the center of their yard at grade level and we suggest that you build something similar to that, but much nicer, obviously, in keeping with your overall vision, with a small covered landing and stair to access it from the living room and kitchen. This way all of the neighbors, including your buyer, will continue to be able to use their backyards for entertaining without feeling directly watched by nor feeling like they are watching their abutters.

Further to that, we support your suggestion of a new 6' fence across the back of 33 Hurlbut. That fence should carry 3' beyond Augie and Ann's far right corner to the end of the fence behind Cecilia's property, then turn and run toward Hurlbut Street in the same location as the current fence, which Irv DeVore always told Cecilia was his fence on his land, even though the "good side" is facing 33 Hurlbut not the other way around. If it isn't in fact on your land, she supports your building a fence on your land to your spec (i.e. matching the ones at the rear and other side).

Cecilia further requests, since the two houses are so close, that you install arbor vitae along that short rear section of the side fence for privacy, same as on the other side. Cecilia recommends that the alley be kept as a combined alley, as it has been in the past, with a single access gate on the street side, and put your AC compressors in that area. This will save you the cost of installing your proposed fence in that area and it will make HVAC maintenance and house painting between the two houses much easier/feasible.

## Protect against unintended invasion of privacy and light pollution

For the last several years a second floor light in the deVore house has forced Ann and Augie to lower their shades at night. We request that you consider the lines of sight to make sure this will not be a problem in the newly renovated home. In other words, the bathroom lights should not line
up with the master bedroom windows. Even better would be if your bedroom windows would not point directly at another house. That would give your new homeowner automatic line-of-sight privacy and vice versa. They could leave their shades up most of the time and enjoy the parklike setting without sacrificing their privacy.

## Preserve neighborhood zoning standards

All of the homes in this area are non-conforming pre-existing. 33 Hurlbut is no exception. All of us were and are constrained in what we can do. The side setback on the north side (by the blue house) of your house is well below the required $7.5^{\prime}$ minimum. Obviously the existing home is preexisting, but new construction is not. Any and all new construction should conform to the 7.5' minimum side setback, partly for privacy reasons but also for fire safety reasons. There is no hardship to justify doing otherwise.

## Protect the mature tree canopy

We notice that your drawing does not show which trees you propose to remove. This is a glaring omission and we ask you to be transparent and forthcoming. One of the qualities that make this neighborhood desirable is the mature tree canopy. Unfortunately, the sad reality is that old trees have been dying and new ones are effectively not replacing them, resulting in a slow decline in the park-like quality that makes the neighborhood extra nice. We collectively need to be very careful and conservative in this area.

We see a tandem driveway on the drawing so we presume you want to remove the evergreen at the top of the current driveway. Have you considered putting two side-by-side parking spaces, as long as it would not require a curb cut and negatively affecting already limited on-street parking? There are about 16' of available width for two cars. Could that work? This solution would preserve the evergreen and make the driveways easier (than tandem) for the new owner to use. You could repurpose the arbor vitae no longer needed there to the right rear corner of the property.

Another tree that you propose to remove is at the existing rear of the house. This is a big, healthy 70 tall tree. We would prefer that you not remove this tree since there is no hardship that would justify it. We realize that this is not what you have planned, but ask you to consider the benefits of keeping that tree. We believe the interiors could be reconfigured to be no less attractive and we ask you to see if you can find a way to keep both the tree and your beautiful vision.

## Possible sewer anomaly in the area

There is some weirdness in the local sewer lines. We have no way to prove it, but we think the homes on Hurlbut may tie into the sewer lines in Bowdoin. We know that when renovations started on the Gottschalk house there were sewer back-ups on the Bowdoin house behind it. We ask you to be alert to this possibility, and co-ordinate with us (especially Ann and Augie and Connie) to mitigate any construction-related problems.

These are the issues that have come up for us so far. We all want to see a good project and will be happy to support your Special Permit application if and when the overall project makes sense to us. We are available to discuss these items with you.

Kind regards,
Ann and Augie, Cecilia and Corwin, Connie, and Peter and Nikki

## Pacheco, Maria

| From: | Adam Glassman [ajglassman.ra@gmail.com](mailto:ajglassman.ra@gmail.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, January 5, 2024 9:00 PM |
| To: | Ann Barnes; Scott Zink |
| Cc: | Augie Ros; Pacheco, Maria; Peter Kroon; cchamberlain1@icloud.com; |
| ctan.writer@gmail.com; nicole.caplan@gmail.com |  |
| Subject: | Re: Neighbors' Comments on 33 Hurlbut |

## Augie,

I was at the site today, and this giant healthy yew bush to remain is directly between the proposed patio door and the back of the your house.

It is a substantial 4 season barrier to any possible light from the living room and is taller than the fence.
I really don't see how a typical back door could be a detriment in this situation.

Adam



[^0]:    (1)

    Front/Left side view PROPOSED

[^1]:    (1) Front/Left side view PROPOSED

