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\text { June 11, } 2014
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## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Before we get started, if anyone has a phone on we would appreciate it if you would turn it off. Okay, good evening. This is the License Commission Decision-Making Hearing, Wednesday, June 11, 2014. It is 5:11 p.m. We are in the Michael J. Lombardi Building, 831 Mass. Ave, Basement Conference Room.
Before you are the Commissioners Chair

Andrea Jackson, Chief Gerald Reardon, Commissioner Robert Haas.

And we would like to make you all aware
that the proceedings tonight are being audio
taped.

## REVOCATION: BERTUCCI'S RESTAURANT

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: The first matter is revocation. Bertucci's Restaurant Group d/b/a

Bertucci's Brick Oven Ristorante, Diane LaMonica, Manager, holder of a wine and malt beverages restaurant license at 21 Brattle Street due to

Mass. General Law Chapter 138, Section 77. The licensing authorities may, after hearing or reasonable opportunity therefore, cancel any license issued under this chapter if the licensee ceases to conduct the licensed business. CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good evening. ATTY JOE DEVLIN: Good evening. Joe Devlin, again, for the licensee. And also with me this time is Sandra

Woodin, who is the licensing and compliance person at Bertucci's.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Could you spell
the last name, please.

ATTY JOE DEVLIN: D-E-V, as in Victor,

L-I-N. Woodin, W-O-O-D-I-N.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

So we continued this matter to determine
whether or not there was, in fact, if $I$ remember correctly, a purchase and sale agreement for the license.

ATTY JOE DEVLIN: Yes. And we do have a
signed P\&S. The $P \& S$, just as a reminder, without a lease contingency, we have a lease at -- I
think it is in the same general vicinity that
this license was operated at before.

It's 16-18 Eliot Street.

And we have the second deposit payment,
so there's a total of $\$ 25,000$ being held.

And there's a 20-day deadline. The last
time I was here, the offer said 14. The only
change that the buyer had asked of us in the $P \& S$
was an additional six days to prepare the license and submit the license application.

We had a discussion about it. I had
expressed, when $I$ was here, my doubts or
suspicions that something could get done in 14
days. Anyway, I usually ask for 21 to 28 days.

So we figured six days. I would have asked for
it if $I$ was a buyer's attorney, and we figured six days now that neither one of them were going
to get an application before today, I think 14
days would've taken us to tomorrow at the time
and 20 days takes us to some point next week.

But we have 25 -- they have $\$ 25,000$ at stake.

I sent all this to Attorney Kevin Crane
to keep him apprised. I gave him the buyer's
information, the buyer's attorney information,
and I'm going to let him know when the buyer is
getting ready submit the application.

I got an update today that they are

```
working on the application. The buyer is
traveling and will be back in the country on
Friday.
    So they will have another conversation
about the application and Attorney Fogelman needs
a little more information. But I expressed to
him that we wanted him to do it within the 20
days.
                    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And the 20 days
expires when?
    ATTY JOE DEVLIN: It is either --
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Roughly.
    ATTY JOE DEVLIN: We received -- it is
either the 18th or the 23rd.
    We received the P&S back on the 3rd. It
was signed prior to that. That's overdue, I
guess, in terms of a couple days.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay.
    Any questions?
```

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: No questions.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Any questions?

Ms. Lint, when is our next

Decision-Making Hearing?

Regular hearing, I believe is July 8.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Regular hearing is next Tuesday.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: We have one the 17 th and one the 8 th.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: The $26 t h$.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do you expect this to be completed by the 8th?

AtTY JOE DEVLIN: Of July?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yes.

ATTY JOE DEVLIN: Yes. We were having --
perhaps $I$ don't want to say this on the record,
for the benefit of the buyer but, you know, 20
days is -- but all you can do after 20 days is
say, Fine, we defaulted you. We're gonna take your $\$ 25,000$. But that doesn't get us what we want either. But $I$ feel they are going to file.

I have worked with this attorney before and he knows what he was doing, so, yes.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Ms. Lint, can we continue this matter to another hearing date that is not a Decision Hearing?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Of
course.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I make a motion we continue this matter to July 8th. And if for some reason the deal is completed before then, that is wonderful, we don't need to see you again. But until then, $I$ make a motion we continue this matter to July 8th.

Is there a second?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in favor

```
signify by saying aye.
```

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: July 8th. Nothing
personal, hope we don't see you. Hope it's done.
But thank you. Thank you for coming in.

## APPLICATION: WASABI AT PORTER, LLC

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Application: Wasabi at Porter, LLC, Xi Li, manager, has applied for a new wine and malt beverages as a restaurant license at 2088 Massachusetts Avenue.

$$
\text { Applicant is seeking operating hours of } 8
$$

a.m. to 1 a.m. Monday through Saturday and 12
p.m. to 1 a.m. on Sunday. The proposed seating
capacity of 38 inside and 11 non-alcohol outdoor seasonal patio seats.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good evening.

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: Good evening.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Could you both please state your name for the record spelling your last names, please.

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: Sure. Lauren Boice, $B-O-I-C-E$.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And your client.

XI LI: Xi Li, X-I L-I.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you. Can
you tell me again your relationship to the applicant?

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: The attorney for

Wasabi, LLC.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If I recall, we continued this matter because we were looking for, I believe, it was more abutter support.

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: Overwhelming support.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: May I see, please.

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: Certainly.

```
I would be happy to summarize our
```

success.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: That would be great.

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: We have gotten 366
signatures in the span of 21 days.

I would like to note that when we arrived
on May 29 for the Decision Hearing that was originally scheduled, we had almost 200 at that time, which was in an eight-day period and with that over a major holiday.

Since then, we continued to get more signatures and we got a personal unsolicited letter of support.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: May I ask where
the signatures came from?

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: They came from
passers by in front of the restaurant and from
individuals who work in and around Cambridge.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And $I$ simply ask
because $I$ note that many of the addresses listed are not in the general vicinity of the restaurant.

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: I understand.

These were people who were walking by the restaurant in many cases and that was then how -Mr. Li was out there soliciting signatures, and even though they weren't all from Cambridge, they were coming from Somerville or from Porter Square and walking literally by where his restaurant location would be.

Many others were coming from businesses
in the area. And we were able to get a petition circulated around over at the Smithsonian Observatory and that's within walking distance of the restaurant itself and other people were from the general community working and/or passing through Cambridge and Porter Square area.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Not to belabor the point in terms of signatures, but can you tell me about the signatures that you have for 555

Technology Square?

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: That's Draper Labs. CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And the ones for 780 Memorial Drive.

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: Sure. That was

Draper Labs. Again, we had a petition and an individual who was willing to circulate that around the office there.

Again, it was done on short notice because of the anticipation of the May $29 t h$ hearing so we didn't get more done there, but they all came from there.

And the other location is from a law firm
that was, again, willing to circulate the
petition around their law firm that is located in

Cambridge.

```
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Any additional
```

meeting been held with any of the direct
abutters?

I remember the last hearing there was
concern in terms of it related to really trash
control and rats or any of that.

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: I thought we
addressed -- I don't remember her last name, but

I believe her first name was Nancy, who had
issues about the trash and the potential for the rat issues with the garbage collection would definitely happen twice a week and she appeared to be satisfied with that. She didn't express anything further to us or to Mr. Li when he was out soliciting signatures. And $I$ thought that was it.

Ms. Fung, when she came, she was only concerned about that hours of operation, which we also addressed at the last hearing.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: You are

```
still planning on closing at 11 p.m.?
```

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: That's what my client has indicated.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: And the
noise issue, the entertainment issue, what did you decide on that? I know they raised issues about noise.

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: I thought her only
concern was about the late hours of operation which when my client had suggested 11:00, and I believe the committee told her about it before she left, she seemed to be satisfied with that. CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So are the hours 8 a.m. to 11 p.m. or 1 a.m.?

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: 11 p.m.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: That
was amended at the original hearing. If I
remember correctly, the entertainment was below

```
conversation level.
```

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: At or below?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Is that just TVs
or music or --

XI LI: TV and music.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: TV and music?

XI LI: Yes.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Any
issues with the Disabilities Commission?

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: I wanted to report
that we have contacted two contractors to give bids to put in an automatic door, which is what Mr. Muehe had indicated would be an acceptable accommodation in his letter.

So we are just waiting to get that cost analysis on that, and Mr. Li has spoken with the landlord who has agreed to contribute, at least at this point, half of the money, but of course

```
if that gets to be a substantial amount of money,
I'm sure the landlord will probably revisit that.
```

But Mr. Li will make every effort to put in the
automatic door.
For what it is worth, I did call Mr.
Muehe, but he didn't return my call. And I
figure if we put in the door, he should be happy.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: And, again,
so we're just making sure we cover it again, the
interior renovation and cooking and stuff is just
basically bringing it up to present code? As far
as interior renovations, you are just gonna keep
the format?
ATtY LAUREN BOICE: The back half being
the restaurant. The front half being the seating
area, there will be renovation putting in a sushi
counter that was not in the old restaurant and
then changing the table configuration to include
booths.

```
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: But the
kitchen and equipment and all is staying the
same?
    ATTY LAUREN BOICE: Yes.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And 21 Proof, you
know that 21 Proof is required?
    ATTY LAUREN BOICE: We had called Mr.
Green before the hearing to get on his list for
the classes.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And you were able
to make contact?
    ATTY LAUREN BOICE: No. But we know that
we need to do it.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay. If you have
difficulty making contact, please feel free to
send me an email.
    ATTY LAUREN BOICE: Very good.
    Thank you.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Again, for
```

the record, the seating capacity is the same as the previous licensee?

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: No. Actually, it has
gone down by a few seats because of the space being taken away for the sushi counter.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Sushi bar?

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: That's correct.

And the outside seating, $I$ believe that
the previous restaurant had 16 . We are not
requesting $16 . \quad$ I believe we are only requesting 11.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: And all of
those are non-alcohol?

ATTY LAUREN BOICE: Absolutely.

I've already spoken with Inspector Best
about the sidewalk and he did the sidewalk
inspection, and I've already talked with him
about the issues outside.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: We'll

```
need a copy of the sidewalk obstruction permit.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay. Do you have
```

that?
ATTY LAUREN BOICE: (Forwarding.)
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
That's the application to the City Council.
We need the actual copy of the actual
permit from Public Works.
ATTY LAUREN BOICE: Oh, sorry. I don't
have that yet then.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: All set.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Any additional
questions?
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: All
set.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I make a motion
that we approve the application for Wasabi at
Porter for the amended hours as stated with the
proposed seating capacity as stated for both
inside and outside with a contingency that we need the outside sidewalk obstruction permit and completion of 21 Proof.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in favor
signify by saying aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You are all set.

Good luck to you.

## REVOCATION: F\&D RESTAURANT $d / b / a$ CAMPUS MANRAY

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Revocation matter continued from March 27, 2014,

F\&D Restaurant Corp., d/b/a as Campus/Manray,

Donald Holland, manager, holder of an all
alcoholic beverages restaurant license and 21

Brookline Street and pending a change of location
address, 541-565 Massachusetts Avenue, pursuant
to Mass. General Laws Chapter 138, Section 77.

The licensing may, after hearing or reasonable opportunity therefore, cancel any license issued under this chapter if the licensee ceases to conduct the licensed business.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good evening.

ATtY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening,

Madam Chair and Members of the Commission.

For the record, James Rafferty on behalf
of the applicant $F \& D$ Restaurant Corp.

Seated to my right is Donald Holland,

H-O-L-L-A-N-D. Mr. Holland is the president of the corporation and manager of record.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do you have
anything you need to report to us, counsel?

No sense in going through the long litany of history.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: No.

I recall that the Chair asked a very

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pertinent question last time if the matter -- if } \\
& \text { additional time was provided what would be } \\
& \text { different, so a few relevant actions have taken } \\
& \text { place. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Mr. Holland has increased his efforts to
try to find an opportunity here for this license.

He spent a fair bit of time exploring a location at the Metropolitan Storage Building owned by MIT, $I$ believe, and after some back and forth, that appeared not to be productive.
Within the past week, Mr. Holland
submitted an offer to purchase an existing
business in Central Square.
I've shared the details of the offer with
the Executive Director, and Mr. Holland did sign
a confidentiality agreement with the seller, but

I can report that this is -- it is a license in

Central Square that would -- the transaction
would involve obviously acquiring that license

```
and Mr. Holland would make arrangements. He's
already spoken to a broker to sell his license.
```

To be candid, I've received several phone calls since we were last here in March by prospective purchasers of the license.

And it has not been Mr. Holland's first
preference to sell the license. But be very
mindful of the fact that as time goes by, he may have no other alternative if he wishes to recoup some return on his investment.

So he has identified a broker that he would list this license with. He submitted a significant offer, close to, but not at the asking price. I shared the details with Ms. Lint so she could see that it's a good faith transaction and he's very eager to continue to do that.
I'm mindful of the fact that the

Commission issued a decision last meeting
indicating an intention at this meeting, if we
did not have a transfer, or a sale, in fact, $I$
wasn't quiet sure whether that represented a
final decision or not. So erring on the side of caution, as the Commission may be aware, $I$ know

Ms. Lint is, I did file an appeal of that
decision.

The statutory appeal period is extremely
limited, it is five days, so we did do that, if
that was the Commission's intent.

I would once again ask the Commission to
give consideration to some additional time that
would allow Mr. Holland to pursue this new opportunity.

When we were here last, we asked if the

Commission would consider the end of the calendar
year or November 30 when the renewal affidavits
come up. It's a relevant date I'm sure the

Commission realizes.

```
        As you saw in the transaction earlier
with Mr. Devlin, it does take a little bit of
time, and I think if it is likely that if he's
not able to conclude this transaction, he
probably will need to become an active seller of
the license, which, as I noted candidly, is not
his posture at the moment.
            But he has explored nearly every location
in Central Square repeatedly. He continues to
believe strongly Central Square is the right
location for his business and he's looking for
some time to allow him to exhaust all
possibilities.
    And I say that fully mindful of the
tolerances that have been provided in the past by
the Commission, yourselves and your predecessors,
and with a full understanding of the urgency of
this matter. I think Mr. Holland knows, in
perhaps ways that were not apparent in the past,
```

that the Commission's window here is narrowing. That's why I shared with Ms. Lint what had been going on since we were here two months ago.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So you are saying that he has, and $I$ don't mean to speak as if you are not sitting here, that he has made an offer on a location that has an existing alcohol
license?

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So he's decided
now then to list his license with a broker?

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: If the offer were
to be accepted.

I mean, you know, of course, he's been
looking for locations for a long time and
locations -- a lot of locations already have
licenses, so their interest in selling the
business involves the license. The last thing he needs is another license.

But because of the limited opportunities, he faced the realty and has made an offer to acquire a business that has a license.

And we discussed, and $I$ discussed with him and the brokers, the fact that it would be, in my assessment, impractical for him to think he could then transfer this license to that
location. He would have to wait for the existing licensee to transfer and we find ourselves in the same boat perhaps we're in now.

So I said I would advise him to make a full offer for the value of the business and the license, and immediately upon acceptance of the offer, market his license.

The broker assures him that he's dealing with, that there are buyers out there for his
license. And so he would do that immediately upon the acceptance of an offer.

He has taken a step which he has not

```
previously done, which is submitted an offer and
prepared to purchase an existing restaurant with
a license.
```

As you probably can appreciate, that had
not been part of his effort to date -- because he
was looking for a location or a business without
a license, because he didn't want to have to pay
for a license while he owned a license.
And in this scenario he will be paying
more because it involves a license, but hopefully
at the time of the transfer, the application of
that, he could ask the Commission for --
admittedly, we would be asking for a few more
months to sell that license but he would be in a
very different posture if he was filing an
application to transfer an existing license to
his name.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So my
question is: Does Mr. Holland understand that
the clock is ticking and we are well past the eleventh hour there. Why wouldn't he sell his license currently with the offer he's put in with this broker for purchasing another establishment as opposed to doing it sequentially, which then obviously drags out this period probably closer to the date you originally were asking for. Atty. JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, I think because if this offer were not to be accepted, I think --

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I
understand that.

Atty. JAMES RAFFERTY: But it's a valid
way to look at it. I suppose he could list it and then -- because if this didn't happen, he
would still think -- there are two, frankly,
there are two other locations, if you walk
through Central Square today, they have signs in
the window, retail spaces available, he's been in
lengthy conversations, in one case directly with
the owner and another with the broker.

Neither has been particularly interested
in his operation, but the concern was that
business terms could be agreed to. The thinking was, Well, that would be plan B.

But it was a significant shift about 30
days ago when Mr. Holland explored buying this restaurant that is -- that $I$ think is being quietly marketed and $I$ would say it came as news to me that it was even listed with a broker, but

I was able to -- I have spoken, been in
communications with the listing broker. I have spoken directly with Mr. Holland's broker and that's when this new opportunity presented itself.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: My
question still is: Why wouldn't he list his
license now just to make sure that he safeguards
the value of his current license even though he's got this other prospect on the horizon?

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, I suppose
that might make sense to do that.

The reason he hadn't is because up until
about three or four weeks ago he was still
pursuing a nonlicensed location and then this
opportunity presented itself.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: But
it's changed a little bit, and like I said, I
forget what the date was that we set for a final decision on this matter.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: It was at this -EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: May
29.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: May 29.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So we
are at that point. And $I$ would think that to
safeguard his investment that he would probably

```
want to take advantage of getting some value or
return for his license that he's currently
holding in an opportunity to pursue this other
venture.
```

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: I think that's a very sound piece of advice and $I$ would pass it along to Mr. Holland.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So if this deal
was to fall through, is he then going to find another place to possibly open using the same current license that he has that is not listing with a broker?

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, that's been
his longstanding objective. As you well know, it has not proven to be --

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: It's been a
longstanding flawed approach. It has not been successful for many, many months.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Agreed.

So the point being that with the end of
the road clearly in sight, whether it's this
month or a few months from now, $I$ think it calls for a shift in one's strategy if they wish to recover something.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I understand one hedging one's bets and so forth, but where you are looking at potentially losing the value of that license, that may not be most opportune approach at this particular stage of the game.

No one wants to see Mr. Holland lose any financial --

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: I understand.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: But this has been going on for some time and there has to be some finality to this.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: So I think this
exchange alone would further emphasize the notion
that the listing of the license for sale should
perhaps not be conditioned upon an acceptance of his offer.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I only
thing I'd say is that you really run substantial risk that you can come back in a month or two and say, The deal fell through, now we're looking for more time to find another location for this
license and the Commission will turn around and say, That's not going to happen.

Again, $I$ don't think we want to see Mr.

Holland lose his investment.
DONALD HOLLAND: I'm still talking to

Mass and Vassar, except that they're just taking
their time getting back to you. It is a
beautiful location. They want to give me a
million dollars for a build-out. They just --

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: And to be
clear, that location has no license attached to
it?

DONALD HOLLAND: No.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: So if that was your option, just trying to be clear, then you would need to use the present license that you hold in order to use that opportunity?

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Correct. I would say if $I$ were to apportion the efforts associated with the two steps, I would say after the March hearing, just by the volume of phone calls and visits I had Mr. Holland, I would say he's spent nearly the entire month of April trying to consummate the deal at Mass and Vassar.

And the reaction he got was not a no, but
just very slow and it became apparent that his
timeline and their timeline were different.

I first heard, I would say, at some point
in mid-May, I was contacted by Mr. Holland who was informed by a broker that another location, which would easily accommodate the type of
enterprise he desires to operate, was available. And that led to a shift in his focus.

And he has toured the facility and he has submitted an offer, a significant offer, without a financing contingency, $I$ would note. So it would be something that could proceed quickly. But that's probably why he has not -- he didn't leave the last hearing and go list the license with the broker.

But his interaction with this current
broker in this transaction has led him to explore that possibility, if necessary. He continues -- if this deal were
accepted and he couldn't resurrect or consummate anything in very short order at the other
location, $I$ mean $I$ don't know why he wouldn't do that because, you know, I had made the suggestion or request of the Commission that if the Commission saw fit to allow him to have through
the November date, that he would waive any rights on that. You would not see Mr. Holland back. He would have to -- you could make a determination that he would be the master of his own destiny at that point because he would not have an opportunity.

As it is now, we continue -- we continue
to face the issue, which I'm sure we can deal with at the appeal, that there's an open space with a landlord who every once in an awhile holds out just -- whether he was being polite or not, but the pending transfer application to that location, that location continues to be vacant, and I know Mr. Holland continues to talk with him.

I personally believe that opportunity is
never going to come to fruition because $I$ think
when a landlord is that reluctant, there's
probably not a strong enough desire to make a
transaction. But every so often when he checks
in with that landlord, he's given a little reason to think maybe.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: SO
wouldn't the better response be to hedge his bets
and put his license on the market, and if this
other deal comes to fruition, he can always pull
his license off the market.

I'm just afraid what will happen, and I
don't want to be a naysayer, I'm afraid what will
happen is if this venture falls through, that you
will be back here saying, There's this other
negotiation going on, and I'm not sure how long
it's gonna go on for.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: No, no.

I wouldn't criticize that assessment as
being that of a pessimist. I think there might be more realism in that than pessimism.

I think we are probably at that point
that if he doesn't have a clear direction in a
few days -- his offer, like most offers, has a date which it needs to be accepted. It is not that far out from now.

```
                    Either that offer gets a counter or there
```

has to be another offer.

```
If that can't be arrived at, I would
```

think, Commissioner, your suggestion -- and we are not talking weeks either, we are talking
literally about this time it next week, I think it would be -- put it this way, it seems to me that he would be an unlikely candidate to request consideration for time to sell if he did not
avail himself of the present time to explore a buyer.
I would fully anticipate a reaction of
that type if he lets whatever time might remain available to him without actively marketing the license.

He did get a very low-ball offer from an applicant who was here looking to get a license in Harvard Square.

It was not an offer of interest to him.

It was significantly below market based on my
experience in the sale of licenses.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Ms. Lint, if this
board was to decide to revoke the license, and

Mr. Holland three months from now, finds a new
location, is there anything that precludes him from coming back to this board to ask for a free license?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: No.

Anyone can always apply.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Right. It doesn't
mean it would be granted, but there's nothing that precludes him?

```
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
```

Exactly.

```
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Counsel, you've
```

made a great argument.

I'm not swayed though.

This license has been out there for close to nine years. I'm just not swayed tonight.

So I make a motion to revoke the license.

Is there a second?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I'm
thinking.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You can think.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: So let me ask
this: Our next meeting is the 17 th?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Next

Tuesday.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Next Tuesday.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Do you think
there's an answer on this by next Tuesday?

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: No.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: On the

```
purchase and sale?
ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Not based on the dates in the offer, no.
Madam Chair, I understand the
Commission's view on this and the length of time involved.
```

I think all we're talking about now is a
state of execution. We recognize revocation is imminent here.
We're at this point looking -- candidly
when I left the meeting in March, I got the sense that we might have a little more time.

You may recall, $I$ was unable to attend
the Decision-Making Hearing. I allowed my junior colleague to show up and it did not go as well as

I had hoped, so I was able to bring -- it was an outcome that was different than what $I$ anticipated.

```
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I'm telling.
```

It's on the record.

ATty. JAMES RAFFERTY: When $I$ called him
and said, How did it go, he gave me a different opinion. But $I$ understood that. And Mr. Holland
-- but you know, there's a certain logic to
certain dates and he has paid the balance of his fee this month.

The Commission accepted his $\$ 1,600$, which he has dutifully paid in six-month increments for many years.
I think all we're asking at this point --

I mean, I don't believe that the stay, if we acknowledge that not a lot happens commercially
in August, but if there was a date certain that would accompany your motion with a decision to make a decision and it was stayed because it's gonna be hard to sell the license, frankly, if it is known to be revoked.

So to go to a listing broker and say,

```
Here's a license and you've got 30 days to sell
it, it become a fire sale quickly.
It is only a question of time and we're
```

talking a period of months here.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: The
alternative is that we take action tonight and he
has no value on that license. So I'm really --
it's been extraordinary. I never seen a license
held in abeyance this along. It is
extraordinary.
ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: I did explain --
it is extraordinary.
It continues to be the licensee's high,
high priority to remain within Central Square and
I think that strategy has had shortcomings as
well because the opportunities and venues are
limited. Larger landlords are controlling the
spaces.
But I would only ask that a few

```
additional months be permitted with the
understanding that revocation is down the road.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: What
are the dates in July?
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: The
8th and the 22nd.
```

    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I made a motion
    there's no second, so I'm going to assume that
motion's failed.
I'll leave it to either one of you to
make a motion.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I support
your motion to revoke the license and with the
stay of the 60 days and it would --
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: The last motion
that we made at the last meeting is that if the
license was not sold by May 29, that we were
going to revoke the license.
ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Madam Chair, I
think it's fair to say that the Commission, based on the notice $I$ received of the Commission's decision, did make a vote in March which placed a contingency that said unless there's a transfer or a sale, the license will be revoked, and it is for that reason that we filed the appeal that we have scheduled next month.
We're asking tonight if the Commission --
that vote, I would agree, has relevance. We are
asking the Commission in light of this most
recent effort would continue a stay of its March

27 vote and to modify the May 29 date to

September 30.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: May?

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: The date of the
vote is May 29. I don't know what date is

September 30 is. I, candidly, don't know how
many meetings you have in August and --

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: It's
a Tuesday.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: It struck me as
the end of the month of September.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: It's
a Tuesday.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: We had this conversation the last hearing where we were afraid that this was going to happen, you come back and ask for more time. And $I$ thought we got some assurances that a decision would be made and we'll move in one direction or the another so we wouldn't --

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: We most certainly did.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: We're doing it again.

Atty. JAMES RAFFERTY: With all due
respect, Commissioner, at that point we were discussing a November 30 date. That's the date $I$
have requested.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I know
you requested it but $I$ wasn't contemplating

November 30 .

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: The
representations were made about us not coming
back, so at least on my part, the date -- and if you read Mr. Levy's account, who was present and posted a story on Cambridge Day, that certainly
is what the story conveyed as well. That was my understanding where we were. That's why it did come as a surprise, May 27. All that is true and I stand by that.

I have now recognized that the

Commission's thinking changed. You hadn't made a decision, but $I$ had an opportunity to observe the dialogue and the discussion and deliberations.

That's why I found the May 27 date -- the

May 27 vote with -- the March 27 vote with the

May 29 date to be somewhat inconsistent with the deliberations that took place at the public
hearing.

So what I'm suggesting today is an even
further window. That's why I offered out the

September 30 date because November 30 seemed to
not have been a date that the Commission was comfortable with.

But all of those characterizations of
note, we will not find ourselves back here prior to November 30. And that date was because, I
would assume, at that point the Commission would be within its authority not to accept the renewal affidavit.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I get
all that.

ATtY. JAMES RAFFERTY: That's why I
selected that date.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: What would 30

```
days get you?
```

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: It would get me
time to do one of two things: Either try to
quickly reach conclusion of this, or to
realistically get a broker to get a buyer and to get something to you in september.

Trying to put the paperwork together in

August, we're closer to July than we might care to admit, and then with vacations and schedules and all that, it just struck me -- so we don't find ourselves coming back here once again having to ask.

And $I$ would also say at that point we
could -- we would be willing to consider waiving or withdrawing our ABCC appeal.

There's a memo that $I$ prepared for Ms.

Lint that requires both parties to prepare, and we could -- if the Commission were so inclined, we could wrap that up into it. Because,

```
candidly, that proceeding is in place. And that
```

will take effort on all of our parts.
And $I$ only offer that as a way of saying
that $I$ think all we have left to provide you is a
commitment and a written assurance that if we
were able to get the September 30 date, we would
withdraw the ABCC appeal.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So
what are you proposing will happen by September
$30 ?$
ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: This decision,
simply have the date that -- the decision that
says that as of May 29, the license will be
revoked unless a transfer of location is
submitted with a signed lease or a completed
application for transfer is submitted.
And I'm asking the Commission to consider
merely modifying or staying that decision, your
March 27 decision, until September 30 . And the

```
applicant would waive rights of appeal around
that determination.
```

    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And then what
    happens to your pending appeal at the ABCC?
ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: We would withdraw
it.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: When?
So if we make a decision this evening, in
my opinion, if my colleagues opt to extend the
deadine, you still have an ace in the pocket
with your appeal pending.
ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Not if $I$
stipulate, Madam Chair, we withdraw the appeal.
The appeal was based on the date.
I mean, $I$ don't think one could credibly
fault the ultimate decision here, given the time
that's involved, and the basis for the appeal was
commercially reasonable to give him only 60 days
to let him do what he was trying to do, so if the

```
date was -- if we were given the September 30
date, then I would say that we -- the issue that
was primary of our concern is the time necessary
to make the change.
    So we could stipulate orally on the
record; my representation; I can get a signed
waiver and we can withdraw the appeal forthwith.
    The appeal is from a decision of the 27th
that says something is going to happen on May 29.
I know this is May 29 for purposes of that
letter, tonight is.
    As I said, I think the Commission -- I
think the Commission could stand by and reaffirm
its prior vote and simply agree to a stay of the
actions spelled out in this vote until september
30.
```

    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I don't
    know if Mr. Holland gets or appreciates that we
are trying to -- we are struggling not to take
away his investment here.

And I just don't get a sense that he understands the urgency of this whole issue.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Oh, I think we do.

You know how dire this situation is?

DONALD HOLLAND: Yeah.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: He's -- I hear
regularly from Mr. Holland. This is a source of great anxiety for him. I can assure you.

I asked him earlier how old he was,
because he's been in Cambridge a long time. He's been in the business a long time. This is not a good situation for him to be in.

He's really at the end of the line here with this license and he knows that.

But he's in Cambridge every day exploring
this trying to make something happen.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I'm not sure

I can go to September 30 with this. Because as

```
    the Commissioner said, we tried and tried and
    tried not to make this happen but it keeps
    moving. It's a moving target. And I might
    entertain 60 days that we revoke the license, and
    after 60 days, if you don't have something in
    here that's concrete, then it just dissolves.
        I think the more time we get, the more
time it slides. We bump every date all the time
and it is a long, long time.
    I make a motion that we revoke the
license predicated on a stay of 60 days if the
applicant provides concrete evidence that a good
faith effort is in motion to (a) transfer a
liquor license to a new location, or (b) to sell
the present license that he holds.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: SO I'd
amend that motion by saying I would rather see
the language in that letter, the 60 days, as
opposed to having a good faith effort.
```

Right now we have a good faith effort for
all intents and purposes, but there's a potential
prospect of establishing -- and we can find
ourselves very much in the same position again
with respect to saying, Well, jeez, I made this
offer, now I'm waiting to hear back. I think the
language in the letter is probably, in my mind,
is probably more appropriate if you want to
extend the 60-day period.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do you accept the
final amendment?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I do.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Motion's been
made. Is there a second?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Based
on my amendment.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Based on your
amendment.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So do

```
you want to read the language from the letter
into the record so we are clear about what the
motion is.
```

    ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Just because
    there's a date in the letter, my math is not
good, is 60 days July 30?
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Longer.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
Longer.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I think
August 11 or August 9 or something like that.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You are saying 60
days from today?
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Yes.
ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Not 60 days from
that date?
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: 60 days from
today?
ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: From today?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So whatever applicable hearing would be held thereafter.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

August 18 .

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Is that a holy day
of obligation?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: No, it's not.

You have to go back to your --

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: My
anniversary.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Wouldn't want to
cancel licenses that day, Commissioner. Labor

Day is a day that all of America acknowledges the hard work of people. It seems like such an appropriate time. I just worry about the month of August, and the lack of -- but $I$ have been around long enough to know when $I$ should just stop talking and that was probably two meetings ago.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Are you okay with
the motion?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I want
that language into the motion.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
have it.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do you want it
read?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I want
that to be the motion with that date.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: So it would be the all alcoholic beverages restaurant license held by $F \& D$ Restaurant Corp., doing business as Mayray, is revoked, and that would be stayed for 60 days, which is August 18, 2014.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Is that
in your letter?

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: It is slightly
different. It says will be revoked on the date.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: But I
thought your motion was --

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I want
to make sure that by August 18 th one of three things potentially are going to happen.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Okay.

Let me -- that it's revoked. Stayed until August 18th unless a transfer of location application is submitted with a signed lease or a completed application with transfer submitted.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Yes.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: One could state
that you could vote to modify your decision of

March 27, as set forth in your decision letter of

April 7, by modifying the date from May 29, 2014
to August 18,2014 , then it is really the same
vote. All you have done is changed the date, but

I may be semantic here.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: All

```
about semantics, though, isn't it?
    ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: In this business
it often comes down to that, yes.
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: But
in that letter, it says it will be revoked as
opposed to your saying it is revoked unless.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: That's
```

what I said.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
That's what I thought.
ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: The distinction
is, as $I$ said, when we call the broker tomorrow
and we say, We have a revoked license, but you're
not going to pull the plug, as opposed to, We
have a license that will be revoked.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Counsel, with
all due respect, anyone in the business brokering
is fully aware of the situation this license is
in.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Understood.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So are you content with the motion as made and as read by Executive Director Lint?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Yes.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Is there a second?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All in favor
significant by saying aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I oppose.

The ayes have it.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: For
those are you here for the 6:00 general License Commission meeting hearing, I'm sorry, we are just finishing up with the decisions from our last hearing, and hopefully will not take long. Thank you for your patience.

## APPLICATION: NEW AGE ASTROLOGY

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Application: Samantha Mitchell doing business as New Age Astrology has applied for a fortune teller's license at 10 Pleasant Street.

SAMANTHA MITCHELL: Hello.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good evening.

Please state your name for the record.

SAMANTHA MITCHELL: Yes. Samantha

Mitchell.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I believe this
matter was continued from our last hearing. We are waiting for a copy of the lease, $\quad$ believe. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: A
copy of a lease, we needed a background check on the co-signer of the lease, and we don't have abutter notifications.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: We are still

```
missing the abutter notifications?
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.
    Did you notify your abutters?
    SAMANTHA MITCHELL: I never received
anything in the mail as far as abutters.
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
That's because the letter came back to us. The
address you provided us, it was sent to, and it
came back.
    SAMANTHA MITCHELL: Okay.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: We can't act on
this if there's no abutter notification, correct?
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
```

That's correct.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Can we hand her
the letter now?
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
surely can.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Note for the

```
record, she's received it.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Can you
take a look at the address and explain why it
would come back to us?
    SAMANTHA MITCHELL: I believe my name
wasn't on the mailbox at that time.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So that
is the correct address?
    SAMANTHA MITCHELL: Yes. 10 Pleasant
Street. I believe that I spoke to the post
office about my mail, and there was no name. It
must've slipped off.
    So what I would need to do with this is
notify the abutters through the mail?
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: That's
a process that you go through, abutter
notification.
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: It
explains in there what needs to be done.
```


## SAMANTHA MITCHELL: Another question is:

What happens if there's no abutters?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: You
would have to provide us with documentation that there are no abutters. But $I$ know there are abutters at that location.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yes, there are
abutters.

SAMANTHA MITCHELL: Okay.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So I make a
motion --

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So the
lease is correct to your satisfaction right?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.

And you can obtain the abutters list from the Assessors Office.

SAMANTHA MITCHELL: Where is that
located?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: City
hall.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I make a motion
that we continue this matter until we receive abutter notifications and then we'll reschedule.

Is there a second?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All in favor
signify by saying aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You are all set.

Thank you.

## RATIFICATION: GRANGUSTO, LLC

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Ratification: Grangusto, LLC, d/b/a Grangusto at
the Brickyard. Marilena Locilento, manager,
holder of an all alcohol beverages restaurant
license at 90 Sherman Street. The board will
ratify the vote of May 6, 2014 which treated this license as a new applicant instead of an applicant for a change of manager, change of members, and transfer of stock.

I'm not sure if you recall the
conversation.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I do, as my fellow

Commissioners do.

Are you all set?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Uh-huh.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Uh-huh.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I make a motion
that we ratify.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All in favor
signify by saying aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.

## RATIFICATION: ADOPTION OF ATTY GENERAL'S LETTER

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Ratification: The Board of License Commissioners will ratify the adoption of a letter to be sent to the Attorney General's Office.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Motion to approve.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Second.

All in favor signify by saying aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do you want to
bring this one to a close?

So I make a motion we adjourn from our

Decision-Making Hearing.

Is there a second?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All in favor
signify by saying aye.

```
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.
(Meeting adjourned.)
```
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| 67:18, | 4:14, | $47: 5,$ | expires | F\&D [4] - |
| 68:3, | 10:19, | 48:14, | [1] - 7:10 | 22:12, |
| 68:14, | 11:1, | $48: 19$ | explain | 22:15, |
| 68:19, | 23: 6, | $49: 4$ | Exp1ant | 23:10, |
| 69:15, | 23:7, | 49:4, 59:8, | $46: 11$ | 61:13 |
| 71:2 | $54: 8,65: 8$ | $60: 3$ | $67: 3$ | face [1] - |
| email [1] | evidence | 61:5, | explains | 39:8 |


| faced [1] | - 70:7 | 10:3, | firm [2] - | four [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $29: 2$ | few [6] - | 18:8, | 14:16, | 33:6 |
| facility | 20:4, | 19:1, | 14:18 | frankly |
| [1] - 38:3 | 24:3, | 19:19, | first [4] | [2] - |
| fact [5] - | 30:13, | 20:6, | - 4:2, | 31:16, |
| 5:6, 25:8, | 35:3, | 20:12, | 15:9, | 45:17 |
| 25:18, | 41:2, | 21:11, | 25: 6, | free [2] |
| 26:2, 29:5 | 46:19 | 22:4, | 37:16 | 19:15, |
| failed [1] | figure [1] | 22:7, | fit [1] - | 42:11 |
| - 47:9 | - 18:7 | 34:16, | 38:19 | Friday [1] |
| fair [2] - | figured | 35: 6, | five [1] - | - 7:3 |
| 24:7, 48:1 | [2]-6:7, | 35:14, | 26:9 | front [2] |
| faith [4] | 6:8 | 36:17, | flawed [1] | - 12:18, |
| - 25:15, | file [2] - | 37:2, | - 34:17 | 18:15 |
| 57:13, | 9:3, 26:6 | 43:11, | focus [1] | fruition |
| 57:19, | filed [1] | 43:16, | - 38:2 | [2] - |
| 58:1 | - 48:6 | 43:19, | Fogelman | 39:17, |
| fall [1] - | filing [1] | 47:12, | [1] - 7:5 | 40:7 |
| 34:9 | - 30:15 | 51:19, | FOREGOING | full [2] |
| falls [1] | final [3] | 56:18, | [1] - | 27:17, |
| - 40:11 | - 26:4, |  | 73:16 | 29:12 |
| far [3] - | 33:11, |  | forget [1] | fully [3] |
| 18:11, | 58:11 |  | - 33:11 | - 27:14, |
| 41:4, 66:5 | finality | $60: 7,$ | formal [1] | 41:16, |
| fault [1] | [1] - | $63: 16,$ | - 73:9 | 63:18 |
| - 54:16 | 35:16 | 64:7, | format [1] | Fung [1] |
| favor [7] | financial | $64: 11$, | - 18:13 | 15:17 |
| - 9:19, | [1] - | 69:6, | forth [4] |  |
| $22: 5,$ | $35: 12$ | 69:10, | - 24:9, | G |
| 64:8, | financing | 70:11, | 35:7, | game [1] |
| 69:7, | [1] - 38:5 | 70:14, | 62:14, | $35: 10$ |
| 70:15, | Fine [1] - | 70:18, | 73:5 | garbage |
| 71:9, | 9:1 | 71: 6, | forthwith | [1] - |
| 71:18 | finishing | 71:11, | [1] - 55:7 | 15:11 |
| fee [1] - | [1] - | 71:17, | fortune | General |
| 45:7 | 64:17 | 72:2 | [1]-65:5 | [2]-4:8, |
| fell [1] - | FIRE [40] | fire [1] - | forwarding | 23:1 |
| $36: 6$ | $\overline{-1: 8,}$ | 46:2 | [1] - 21:4 | general |


| [4] - | 63:16, | guess [1] | 61:16, | 8:7, 9:7, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:12, | 64:7, | - 7:17 | 62:3, | 14:14, |
| 13:3, | 64:11, |  | 62:11, | 15:4, |
| 13:18, | 69: 6, | H | 62:19, | 15:19, |
| 64:15 | 69:10, | H-O-L-L-A- | 63:7, | 16:18, |
| GENERAL'S | $70: 11$, | $\frac{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{D}}$ [1] - | 64:5, | 19:8, |
| [2] - 2:9, | 70:14, | $\frac{\mathbf{N - D}}{23.12}$ [1] - | 64:10, | 23:2, |
| 71:1 | 70:18, | 23:12 | 67:2, | 37: 9, |
| General's | 71: 6, | Haas [1] | 67:7, | 38:8, |
| [1] - 71:5 | 71:11, | 3:14 | 67:15, | 49:7, |
| GERALD | 71:17, | HAAS [45] | 68:12, | 51:3, |
| [40] - | 72:2 | - 1:7, | 69:9, | 60:2, |
| 1:8, 8:1, | Gerald [1] | 10:2, | 70:10, | 64:16, |
| 9:18, | - 3:13 | 16:1, | 70:17, | 64:18, |
| 10:3, | given [3] | 16:5, | 71:10, | 65:13, |
| 18:8, | - 40:2, | 17:9, | 72:1 | 73: 4 |
| 19:1, | 54:16, | 21:14, | half [3] - | HEARING |
| 19:19, | 55:1 | 22 | 17:19, | [2] - 1:1, |
| 20:6, | gonna [4] | 30:18, | 18:14, | 1:3 |
| 20:12, | - 9:1, | $31: 11$, | 18:15 | Hearing |
| 21:11, | 18:12, | $32: 17$ 33.9 | hall [1] - | [6] - 3:8, |
| 22:4, | 40:14, | 33:9, | 69:1 | 8:4, 9:8, |
| 22:7, | 45:17 | 33:17, | hand [1] - | 12:8, |
| 34:16, | Grangusto | $36: 3$, $40 \cdot 4$, | 66:15 | 44:14, |
| 35: 6, | [2] - | 40:4, | happy [2] | 71:15 |
| 35:14, | 69:16 | 46:5, | - 12:1, | heavy [1] |
| 36:17, | GRANGUSTO | :3, | 18:7 | - 73:6 |
| 37:2, | [2] - 2:8, | 47:3, 49 , | hard [2] - | hedge [1] |
| 43:11, | 69:14 | 49:15, | 45:17, | - 40:5 |
| 43:16, | granted | 50:2, | 60:14 | hedging |
| 43:19, | [1] - | 51:15, | Harvard | [1] - 35:7 |
| 47:12, | 42:16 | 53:8, | [1] - 42:3 | held [5] - |
| 51:19, | great [3] | 55:17, | hear [2] - | 5:16, |
| 56:18, | - 12:4, | 57:16, | 56:7, 58:6 | 15:2, |
| 58:12, | 43:2, $56: 9$ | 58:15, | heard [1] | 46:9, |
| 59:7, | green [1] | 58:19, | - 37:16 | 60:2, |
| 59:10, | - 19:8 | 60:9, | hearing | 61:13 |
| 59:14, | Group [1] | 61:3, | [19] - | hello [1] |
| 60:7, | - 4:4 | 61: 9, | 4:9, 8:5, | - 65:7 |



| 55:2, 56:3 | 15:1, | 64:12, | 46:11, | 8:5, 8:14, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| - 4:11, | 17:2, | 65:12, | 48:15, | 9:16, |
| 23:3, | 17: 4 , | 65:19, | 49:2, | 10:4, |
| 25:19 | 17:7, | 66:11, | 49:13, | 47:4, |
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| itself [3] | 22:5, | 69:11, | 54:12, |  |
| - 13:17, | 22:9, | 70:7, | 56:4, | K |
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| 1:6, 4:13, | 42:15, | JAMES [42] | 62:12, | - 6:14 |
| 4:19, 5:4, | 43:1, | - 23:7, | 63:2, | kitchen |
| $7: 9,7: 12,$ | 43:10, | 23:18, | 63:11, | [1] - 19:2 |
| 7:18, 8:2, | 43:15, | 28:9, | 64:1 | known |
| $8: 8, \quad 8: 12,$ | 44:19, | 28:12, | James [1] | - 45:18 |
| $8: 15,9: 6,$ | 47:7, | 31:8, | - 23:9 | knows [3] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9: 11, \\ & 9: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 47:15, | 31:13, | jeez [1] - | - 9:5, |
| 9:19, | 54:3, | 33:3, | $58: 5$ | $27: 18$ |
| 10:4, | 54:7, | 33:13, | Jill [2] - | 56:15 |
| 10:19, | 58:10, | $34: 5$, | $73: 3$ | Kourafas |
| $11: 2 \text {, }$ $11: 7$ | 58:13, | 34:13, | $73: 14$ | [2] - |
| 11:7, | 58:17, | 34:19, | JOE [7] - | 73:3, |
| $11: 9$, $11: 14$, | 59:12, | $35: 13$, | 4:14, 5:2, | $73: 14$ |
| 11:18, | $59: 17$, $60: 1$, | 35:17, | 5:9, 7:11, | L |
| 12:3, | 60:1, | 37: 6, | 7:13, | $\pm$ |
| $12: 15,$ | 61:1, | 40:15, | 8:14, 8:16 | L-I [1] - |
| $13: 1,$ | 61:7, | 43:18, | Joe [1] - | 11:8 |
| $14: 1,$ | 64:2, | 44:2, | 4:15 | L-I-N [1] |
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| Labor [1] | 47:16, | Laws [1] - | 66:7, | 25:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 60:12 | 49:7, | 23:1 | 66:16, | 25:5, |
| Labs [2] - | 64:18, | lease [8] | 71:4 | 25:7, |
| 14:5, 14:9 | 65:13 | - 5:11, | LETTER [2] | 25:12, |
| lack [1] - | late [1] - | 53:15, | - 2:9, | 27: 6, |
| 60:16 | 16:10 | 62:9, | 71:1 | 28:8, |
| LaMonica | LAUREN | 65:14, | level [1] | 28:11, |
| [1] - 4:5 | [25] - | 65:16, | - 17:1 | 28:18, |
| landlord | 11:1, | 65:17, | levy's [1] | 28:19, |
| [5] - | 11:5, | 68:13 | - 50:8 | 29:3, |
| 17:18, | 11:12, | least [2] | LI [3] - | 29:7, |
| 18:2, | 11:17, | - 17:18, | 11:8, | 29:13, |
| 39:10, | 11:19, | 50:7 | 17:6, 17:8 | 29:14, |
| 39:18, | 12:5, | leave [2] | Li [6] - | 29:17, |
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| last [17] | 19:7, | lengthy | 64:15, | $35: 9$, $35: 19$ |
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| 38:8, | Law [1] - | 62:14, | 24:17, | $43: 6,$ |
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| 46:1, | 4:17, 23:2 | 62: 6, | 32:13, | 53:14, |
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| 46:7, | Licensing | 63: 4 , | 34:11, | 57:14, |
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| 69:19, | $3: 4,4: 2$, | $25: 14,$ | 14:18, | $34: 14,$ |
| 70:2 | 8:6, 8:10, | $26: 6,$ | 68:18 | 34:17 |
| $\frac{\text { licensed }}{\text { [2] - }}$ | 9:9, 10:9, | $28: 2,$ | location | look [2] - |
| [2] - $4: 12,23: 5$ | 16:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 7, \\ & 52 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | [22] - | 31:14, |
| 4:12, 23:5 | 17:3, | 52:17, | 13:12, | 67:3 |
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| 4:11, | 22:13, | - 57:14 | 24:7, | 11:15, |
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| 20:2, | 42:13, | 19:8, | 27:11, | 28:15, |
| 23:4, 29:9 | 42:18, | 25:12, | 28:7, | $30: 6 \text {, }$ |
| licensee's | 43:13, | 28:11, | 29:8, | 35:8, |
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| 60:12 | 61:5, | $32: 11$ | 38:16, | losing [1] |
| licensing | 61:11, | listing | 39:13, | - 35:8 |
| [3] - 4:9, | 62:1, | [4] - | 42:10, | low [1] - |


| 42:1 | $44: 11$, | 9:16, | [1] - 1:5 | MIT [1] |
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| [1] - 42:1 | 48:11 | 22:14 | 52:16 | Mitchell |
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|  |  | $[1]-2: 2$ | $\frac{\text { an }}{24}: 8$ | 65:7, |
| $44: 4,$ | market [4] |  |  |  |
| 47:19, | - 29:14, |  | Michael | $66: 4,$ |
| 54:13 | 40: 6, | - 61:14 | [2] - | 66:10, |
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|  |  | $28: 5$,$28: 14$, | mid [1] - | 67:9, |
| 11, |  |  | 37:17 | 68:1, |
| 67:14 | $32: 10$ | $28: 14$, $38: 16$, | mid-May | 68:9, |
| mailbox | m | 2:16, | [1] - | 68:17 |
| [1]-67:6 | [1] - | $45: 12$, 54.15 | 37:17 | modify [2] |
| major [1] | 41:18Mass [5] _ | 54:15 | might [6] | - 48:12, |
| major [1] |  | meeting | $-33: 4,$ | modifying |
| malt [2] - | $3: 10, \quad 4: 8,$ | [7] - | 40:17, |  |
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| :13 | 1:13, | 47:16,64:16 | 36:16 | Monday [1] |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 21:16, | N | needed [1] | 16:8 | 65:18, |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 68: 11, \\ & 69: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $-15: 9$ narrowing | $\frac{\text { new }}{10}: 11$, | $73: 16$ notary [1] | O |
| 70:12, | [1] - 28:1 | 26:13, | - 73:10 | objective |
| 71:6, | naysayer | 32:15, | note [5] - | [1] - |
| 71:14 | [1] - |  | 12:7, | 34:14 |
| motion's | 40:10 | $70: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 2 \\ & 38: 5 \end{aligned}$ | obligation |


| [1] - 60:6 | 41:5, | 62: 4 , | 33: 8, | [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observator | 41: 6, | 62:12, | 34:3, | 10:17 |
| $\underline{\underline{y}}$ [1] - | 42:1, | 71:13 | 37:5, | outside |
| $\underline{13}$ : 16 | 42: 4 , | one's [2] | 39: 6, | [4] - |
| observe | 44:3, | - 35:4, | 39:16, | 20:8, |
| [1] - | 53:3, 58:6 | 35:7 | 50:16 | 20:18, |
| 50:16 | offered | ones [1] - | oppose [1] | 22:1, 22:2 |
| obstructio | [1] - 51:5 | 14:6 | - 64:12 | Oven [1] - |
| $\underline{n}$ [2] | offers [1] | open [2] - | opposed | 4:5 |
| 21:1, 22:2 | - 41:2 | 34:10, | [4] - | overdue |
| obtain [1] | office [2] | 39:9 | 31:5, | [1] - 7:16 |
| - 68:15 | - 14:11, | operate | 57:19, | overlappin |
| obviously | 67:11 | [1] - 38:1 | 63:6, | $\underline{\underline{g}}$ [1] - |
| [2] - | OFFICE [1] | operated | 63:14 | $73: 6$ |
| 24:19, | - 2:9 | [1] - 5:13 | opt [1] - | overwhelmi |
| 31:6 | Office [2] | operating | 54:9 | ng [1] - |
| OF [6] - | - 68:16, | [1] - | option [1] | 11: 17 |
| 1:2, 2:1, | 71:5 | 10:14 | - 37:3 | own [1] - |
| 71:1, | OFFICIAL | operation | orally [1] | 39:4 |
| 73:16, | [1] - 1:17 | [3] - | - 55:5 | owned [2] |
| 73:16, | often [2] | 15:18, | order [2] | - 24:8, |
| 73:17 | - 40:1, | 16:10, | - 37:5, | 30:8 |
| offer [24] | 63:3 | 32:4 | 38:15 | owner [1] |
| - 5:18, | old [2] - | opinion | original | - 32:2 |
| 24:12, | 18:17, | [2] - | [1] - |  |
| 24:14, | 56:10 | 45:4, 54:9 | 16:18 | P |
| 25:13, | once [3] - | opportune | originally | P\&S [4] |
| 28: 6, | 26:11, | [1] - 35:9 | [2] - | $\frac{\text { P\&S }}{5: 10}$ |
| 28:12, | $39: 10 \text {, }$ | opportunit | 12:9, 31:7 | $5: 19,7: 15$ |
| 29:2, | $52: 11$ | ies [2] - | ourselves |  |
| 29:12, | one [13] - | 29:1, | [4] - | $1 \cdot 15 \cdot 3 \cdot 9$ |
| 29:14, | 6:9, 8:8, | 46:16 | 29:9, |  |
| 29:18, | 8:9, 32:1, | opportunit | 51:10, | 10:16, |
| $30: 1$, | 35:7, | $\underline{\underline{y}}$ [11] - | 52:11, | $16: 2 \text {, }$ |
| 31:3, | 35:11, | $\underline{\underline{y}}$ 4:10, | 58:4 | 16:15, |
| 31: 9, | 47:10, | 23:3, | outcome |  |
| 36:2, | 49:11, | 24:6, | [1] - | $\frac{\text { PAGE }}{2.2}$ |
| 38: 4 , | $52: 3,$ | $26: 14,$ | $44: 17$ | paid [2] - |
| 41:2, | 54:15, | $32: 15,$ | outdoor | paid [2] - |


| 45:6, 45:9 | payment | pessimism | 17:19, | 61: 9, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| paperwork | [1] - 5:15 | [1] - | 33:18, | 61:16, |
| [1] - 52:7 | pending | 40:18 | 35:1 | 62:3, |
| part [2] - | [4] - | pessimist | 37:16, | 62:11, |
| 30:5, 50:7 | 22:18, | [1] - | 39:5, | 62:19, |
| particular | 39:12, | 40:17 | 40:19, | 63:7, |
| [1] - | 54:4, | petition | 44:10, | 64:5, |
| 35:10 | 54:11 | [3] - | 45:11, | 64:10, |
| particular | people [3] | 13:14 | 49:18, | 67:2, |
| ly [1] - | - 13:6, | 14:9, | 51:12, | $67: 7,$ |
| $\frac{17}{32}: 3$ | 13:17, | 14:18 | $52: 13$ | $67: 15 \text {, }$ |
| parties | 60:14 | phone [3] | POLICE | 68:12, |
| [1] - | perhaps | - 3:5, | [45] - | 69:9, |
| 52:17 | [4] - | 25:3, 37:9 | 1:7, 10:2, | $70: 10$, |
| parts [1] | 8:17, | phonetical | 16:1, | 70:17, |
| - 53:2 | 27:19, | ly [1] - | 16:5, | 71:10, |
| pass | 29:10, | $\frac{73}{73}$ : 8 | 17:9, | 72:1 |
| $34: 6$ | 36:1 | piece [1] | 21:14, | polite [1] |
| passers | period [5] | -34:6 | 22:8, | - 39:11 |
| $\frac{\text { passers }}{[1] ~-~}$ | - 12:10, | place [ | 30:18, | Porter [4] |
| $12: 18$ | 26:8, | - ${ }^{\text {place }}$ - 4 , | $31: 11$, | - 10:10, |
|  | 31: 6, | 4:10, | 32:17, | 13:10, |
| passing | 46:4, 58:9 | $1: 2,53$ | 33: 9, | 13:19, |
| $13: 18$ | permit [3] | placed [1] | 33:17, | 21:18 |
| past [4] | - 21:1, | $\underline{-48: 3}$ | 36:3, | PORTER [2] |
| 24:11, | 21:8, 22:2 | plan [1] | 40:4, | - 2:5, |
|  | permitted | $\frac{\text { plan }}{32: 6}$ | 43:8, | 10:8 |
| $2$ | 1] - 47:1 |  | 46:5, | position |
| 27:19, | person [1] | $\frac{\text { planning }}{[1]-16}$ | 47:3, | [1] - 58:4 |
| 31:1 | 4:18 |  | 49:6, | possibilit |
| [1] - | personal | P | 49:15, | ies [1] - |
|  | 2] | 65:6, 67:9 |  | 27:13 |
| patio [1] | 10:5 | plug [1] - |  | possibilit |
| - 10:18 | 12:13 | 63:14 | 55:17, | $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{y}}}$ [1] - |
| pay [1] | personally | pocket [1] | 57:17, | 38:12 |
| 30: 7 | [1] - | - 54:10 | $58: 15,$ | possibly |
| paying [1] | 39:16 | point [13] | 58:19, | [1] - |
| - 30:9 | pertinent | - 6:12, | 60: 9, | 34:10 |
|  | [1] - 24:1 | 14:2, | 61:3, | post [1] - |



| 56:4, | 1:3 | 19:1, | 33:5, | RECORD [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56:7, | reach [1] | 19:19, | 40:2, 48:6 | - 1:17 |
| 59:4, | - 52:4 | 20: 6, | reasonable | recoup [1] |
| 59:15, | reaction | 20:12, | [3] - | - 25 :9 |
| 59:19, | [2] - | 21:11, | 4:10, | recove |
| 60:5, | 37:13, | 22: 4 , | 23:2, | [1] - 35:5 |
| $60: 11$, | 41:16 | 22:7, | 54:18 | regular |
| 61:18, | read [4] - | 34:16, | receive | [2]-8:5, |
| 62:12, | 50:8, | 35:6, | [1] - 69:3 | 8:7 |
| $63: 2,$ | 59:1, | 35:14, | received | regularly |
| $63: 11 \text {, }$ | $61: 8,64: 3$ | 36:17, | [6] - | [1]-56:8 |
| 64:1 | ready [1] | 37:2, | 7:13, | related |
| Rafferty | -6:18 | 43:11, | 7:15, | [1] - 15:5 |
| [1] - 23:9 | reaffirm | 43:16, | 25:3, | relationsh |
| $\underline{\text { raised }}$ [1] | [1] - | $43: 19$, $47: 12$, | 48:2, | ip [1] - |
| $-16: 7$ | $55: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 12, \\ & 51: 19 . \end{aligned}$ | $66: 4, \quad 67: 1$ | $11: 10$ |
| rapid [1] | realism | 51:19, 56:18, | recent [1] | relevance |
| - 73:6 | [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 18, \\ & 58: 12, \end{aligned}$ | - 48:11 | [1] - 48:9 |
| rat [1] - | 40:18 |  | $\underline{\text { recognize }}$ | relevant |
| $15: 11$ | realistica | 59:10, | $[1]-44: 8$ | [2] - |
| rather [1] | lly [1] - | 59:14, | recognized | 24:3, |
| - 57:17 | 52:5 | $59: 14$, $60: 7$, | [1] - | 26:18 |
| ratificati | realizes | $60: 7$, $63: 16$, | 50:14 | reluctant |
| on [3] - | [1] - | $64: 7,$ | record | [1] - |
| 2:8, | 26:19 | 64:11, | [14] - | 39:18 |
| $69: 16 \text {, }$ | really [5] | $69: 6 \text {, }$ | 8:17, | remain [2] |
| $71: 3$ | - 15:5, | 69:10, | 11:3, | - 41:17, |
| RATIFICATI | 36:4, | 70:11, | 20:1, | 46:14 |
| ON [3] - | 46:7, | $70: 14,$ | 23:9, | remember |
| 2:9, | 56:14, | 70:18, | 23:13, | [4]-5:6, |
| 69:14, | 62:16 | 71:6, | 45:1, | 15:4, |
| 71:1 | realty [1] | 71:11 | 55:6, | 15:8, |
| ratify [3] | - 29.2 | 71:17, | 59:2, | 16:19 |
| -70:1, | REARDON | 72:2 | 65:9, | reminder |
| 70:13, | [40] - | Reardon | 67:1, | [1] - 5:10 |
| $71: 4$ | 1:8, 8:1, | [1] - 3:13 | 73:5, | renewal |
| rats [1] - | 9:18, | reason [4] |  | [2] - |
| 15:6 | 10:3, | - 9:13, | 73:9, | 26:17, |
| RE [1] - | 18:8, |  | 73:10 | 51:13 |


| renovation | requested | 69:18 | 53:14, | 53: 8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [2] - | [2] - | RESTAURANT | 61:14, | 55:17, |
| 18:10, | 50:1, 50:3 | [2] - 4:1, | 61:19, | 57:16, |
| 18:16 | requesting | 22:12 | 62:7, | 58:15, |
| renovation | [2] - | Restaurant | 63:5, | 58:19, |
| s [1] - | 20:10 | [4] - 4:4, | 63: 6, | 60:9, |
| 18:12 | required | 22:15, | 63:13, | 61:3, |
| repeatedly | [1] - 19:6 | 23:10, | 63:15 | 61:9, |
| [1] - 27:9 | requires | 61:13 | rights [2] | 61:16, |
| report [3] | [1] - | resurrect | - 39:1, | 62:3, |
| - 17:11, | 52:17 | [1] - | 54:1 | 62:11, |
| 23:15, | reschedule | 38:14 | risk [1] - | 62:19, |
| 24:17 | [1] - 69:4 | retail [1] | 36:5 | 63:7, |
| Reporter | respect | - 31:19 | Ristorante | 64:5, |
| [2] - | [3] - | return [3] | [1] - 4:5 | 64:10, |
| 73:4, | 49:18, | - 18:6, | road [2] - | 67:2, |
| 73:14 | 58:5 | 25:10, | 35:2, 47:2 | 67:7, |
| REPORTER | 63:17 | 34:2 | ROBERT | 67:15, |
| [1] - | RESPECT | revisi | [45] - | 68:12, |
| 73:17 | [1] - | [1] - 18:2 | 1:7, 10:2, | 69:9, |
| REPORTERS | 73:17 | revocation | 16:1, | 70:10, |
| [1] - 1:17 | response | [5] - 2:6, | 16:5, | 70:17, |
| representa | [1] - 40:5 | 4:3, | 17:9, | 72:1 |
| tion [1] - | restaurant | 22:14, | 21:14, | 2:1 |
| 55:6 | [15] - | 44:8, 47:2 | 22:8, | be |
| representa | 4:7, | REVOCATION | 30:18, | - [2] - |
| tions | 10:12, | [3] - 2:4, | $31: 11$, | oom [2] - |
| - 50: | 12:18, | 4:1, $22: 12$ | 32:17, | :12, 3:11 |
| represente | 13:4, | revoke | 33: 9, | Roughly |
| represente |  | - 42:8, | $33: 17$, | [1] - 7:12 |
| d [1] - | 13:11, | $43: 6$ | 36:3, | run [1] - |
| 26:3 | 13:11, | 43:6, | 40:4, | 36:4 |
| REPRODUCTI | 13:17, | 47:13, | $43: 4$ |  |
| ON [1] - | $18: 15$, $18: 17$, | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 18, \\ & 57: 4, \end{aligned}$ | 46:5, | S |
| 73:16 | 20:9, | 57:10 | 47:3, | safeguard |
| request | 22:17, | revoked | 49:6, | [1] - |
| [2] - | 30:2, | [10] - | 49:15, | 33:19 |
| 38:18, | 32:9, | $45: 18 \text {, }$ | 50:2, | safeguards |
| 41:12 | 61:12, | 48:5, | 51:15, | [1] - |


| 32:19 | scenario | Section | 19:16 | Sherman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sale [7] - | [1] - $30: 9$ | [2] - 4:8, | sense [4] | [1] - |
| 5:7, 26:2, | scheduled | 23:1 | - 23:16, | 69:19 |
| 35:19, | [2] - | see [8] - | 33: 4, | shift [3] |
| 42: 6, | 12:9, 48:7 | 9:14, | 44:11, | - 32:7, |
| 44:1, | schedules | 10:5, | 56:2 | 35:4, 38:2 |
| 46:2, 48:5 | [1] - 52:9 | 11:18, | sent [3] - | short [2] |
| Samantha | seasonal | 25:15, | 6:14, | - 14:12, |
| [2] - | [1] - | 35:11, | 66:8, 71:4 | 38:15 |
| 65:4, | 10:18 | 36:10, | September | shortcomin |
| 65:10 | seated [1] | 39:2, | [11] - | gs [1] - |
| SAMANTHA | - 23:11 | 57:17 | 48:13, | 46:15 |
| [9] - | seating | seeking | 48:17, | Shorthand |
| 65:7, | [5] - | [1] - | 49:3, | [2] - |
| 65:10, | 10:16, | 10:14 | 51: 6, | 73:3, |
| 66:4, | 18:15, | selected | 52: 6, | 73:14 |
| 66:10, | 20:1, | [1] - | 53: 6, | show [1] |
| 67: 5, | 20:8, | 51:18 | 53: 9, | 44:15 |
| $67: 9,$ | 21:19 | sell [8] - | 53:19, | side [1] - |
| $68: 1,$ | seats [2] | 25:2, | 55:1, | $\overline{26: 4}$ |
| 68:9, | - 10:18, | 25:7, | 55:15, | sidewalk |
| 68:17 | 20:4 | 30:14, | 56:19 | [4] - |
| SAME [1] - | second | $31: 2$, | sequential | $20: 16,$ |
| $73: 16$ | [15] - | 41:13, | $\frac{1 \mathbf{y}}{31}$ [1] - | $21: 1,22: 2$ |
| $\underline{\text { Sandra [1] }}$ | 5:15, | 45:17, | $31: 5$ | sight [1] |
| $-4: 16$ | 9:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 1, \\ & 57: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\boldsymbol{s e t}}{21.11} \text { [8] - }$ | $-35: 2$ |
| satisfacti | 9:18, | 57:14 | 21:11, | sign [1] - |
| on [1] - | 22:4, | seller [2] | 21:15, | 24:15 |
| 68:13 | 43:7, | $-24: 16$ | $22: 9,$ | signatures |
| satisfied | 47:8, | $27: 5$ | $33: 11 \text {, }$ | [7] - |
| [2] - | 58:14, | selling | $62: 14,$ | 12:6, |
| 15:13, | 64:6, | [1] - | $69: 11,$ | 12:13, |
| $16: 13$ | 64:7, | 28:17 | $70: 9, \quad 73: 5$ | 12:16, |
| Saturday | 69:5, | semantic | several | $13: 8,$ |
| [1] - | 69:6, | [1] - | [1] - 25:3 | 14:2, |
| 10:15 | 70:14, | 62:18 | shared [3] | 14:3, |
| saw [2] - | 71:8, | semantics | - 24:14, | 15:15 |
| 27:1, | 71:16, | [1] - 63:1 | 25:14, | signed [5] |
| 38:19 | 71:17 | send [1] - | 28:2 | - 5:10, |


| 7:16, | slides [1] | spaces [2] | STAFF [1] | - 16:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53:15, | - 57:8 | - 31:19, | - 1:9 | 31:16, |
| 55:6, 62:9 | slightly | 46:18 | stage [1] | 32:18, |
| signer [1] | [1] - | span [1] - | - 35:10 | 33: 6, |
| - 65:17 | 61:18 | 12:6 | stake [1] | 36:12, |
| significan | slipped | speaking | - 6:13 | 54:10, |
| t [4] - | [1] | [3] | stand [2] | 65:19 |
| 25:13, | 67:12 | $73: 6,73: 7$ | - 50:13, | stipulate |
| 32:7, | slow [1] - | spell [1] | 55:13 | [2] - |
| 38:4, 64:9 | 37:14 | - 4:19 | started | 54:13, |
| significan | Smithsonia | spelled | [1] - 3:5 | 55:5 |
| tly [1] - | $\underline{n}$ [1] - | [3] - | state [4] | stock [1] |
| 42:5 | 13:15 | 55:15, | - 11:3, | - 70:4 |
| signify | softly [1] | $73: 8,73: 8$ | $44: 8$, | stop [1] |
| [6] - | -73:7 | spelling | 62:12, | 60:18 |
| 10:1, | sold [1] - | [1] - 11:3 | 65:9 | Storage |
| 22: 6, | 47:17 | spent [2] | statements | [1] - 24:8 |
| 69:8, | solely [1] | - 24:7, | [1] - 73:6 | story [2] |
| 70:16, | - 73:11 | 37:10 | statutory | - 50:9, |
| 71:9, | soliciting | spoken [5] | [1] - 26:8 | 50:10 |
| 71:19 | [2] - | - 17:17, | stay [5] - | strategy |
| signs [1] | 13:8, | 20:15, | 45:12, | [2] - |
| - 31:18 | 15:15 | 25:2, | 47:14, | 35:4, |
| simply [3] | Somerville | 32:12, | 48:11, | 46:15 |
| - 13:1, | [1] | 32:14 | 55:14, | Street [6] |
| 53:12, | 13:10 | Square | 57:11 | - 4:7, |
| 55:14 | somewhat | [10] - | stayed [3] | 5:14, |
| sitting | [1] - 51:1 | 13:10, | - 45:16, | 22:18, |
| $[1]-28: 6$ | sorry [2] | 13:19, | $61: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 6, \\ & 67: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| situation | - 21:9, | $14: 4,$ | $62: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 10, \\ & 69: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| [3] - | 64:16 | 24:13, | staying | 69:19 |
| 56:5, | sound [1] | 24:18, | [2] - | strictly |
| 56:13, | - 34:6 | 27:9, | 19:2, | [1] - |
| 63:18 | source | 27:10, | 53:18 | 73:10 |
| six [4] - | source | $31: 18$, | step [1] - | strong [1] |
| 6:1, 6:7, |  | 42:3, | 29:19 | - 39:19 |
| $6: 9,45: 9$ | $-20: 4$ | 46:14 | steps [1] | strongly |
| six-month | $39: 9$ | ss [1] - | - 37:8 | [1] - |
| [1]-45:9 |  | 73:2 | still [7] | 27:10 |


| struck [2] | [1] - 12:1 | teller's | TO [1] - | 40:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 49:2, | Sunday [1] | [1] - 65:6 | 73:16 | TRANSCRIPT |
| 52:10 | - 10:16 | terms [4] | today [7] | [1] - |
| struggling | support | - 7:17, | - 6:10, | 73:16 |
| [1] - | [4] - | 14:2, | 6:19, | transfer |
| 55:19 | 11:16, | 15:5, 32:5 | 31:18, | [13] - |
| stuff [1] | 11:17, | testimony | 51:4, | 26:2, |
| - 18:10 | 12:14 | 1] - | 59:13, | 29:7, |
| submit [2] | 47:12 | 73:10 | 59:18, | 29:9, |
| - 6:2 | suppose | THE [5] | 59:19 | 30:11, |
| 6:18 | [2] - | 1:17, | together | 30:16, |
| submitted | 31:14, | 73:16, | [1] - 52:7 | 39:12, |
| [8] - | 33:3 | 73:16, | tolerances | 48:4, |
| 24:12, | surely [1] | 73:17, | [1] - | 53:14, |
| 25:12, | - 66:18 | 73:17 | 27:15 | 53:16, |
| 30:1, | surprise | themselves | tomorrow | 57:13, |
| 38:4, | [1] - | [1] - 73:7 | [2] - | 62:8, |
| 53:15, | 50:12 | thereafter | 6:11, | 62:10, |
| 53:16, | sushi [3] | [1] - 60:2 | 63:12 | 70:4 |
| 62:9, | - 18:16, | therefore | tonight | trash [2] |
| $62: 10$ | $20: 5, \quad 20: 6$ | [2] - | [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & -15: 5, \\ & 15: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\frac{\text { substantia }}{1 \quad[2]-}$ | suspicions | $4: 10,23: 3$ | $3: 16 \text {, }$ | 15:10 <br> traveling |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{18: 1,}[2]- \\ & \hline 6: 4 \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 6:5 | thinking | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 5, \\ & 46: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { traveling }}{[1]-7: 2}$ |
| success | - 43:3 | 32:5, | 48:8, | treated |
| $[1]-12: 2$ <br> successful | $43: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 9, \\ & 50: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 11 \\ & \text { took [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-70: 1} \\ & \text { tried [3] } \end{aligned}$ |
| successful | $\frac{\text { sworn }}{-73.1]}$ | $50: 15$ | took [1] - | $\frac{\text { tried }}{-57: 1,}$ |
| $34: 18$ | :1 |  | total [1] | $57: 2$ |
| suggested | T | three [3] | - 5:16 | true [2] |
| $[1]-$ | table [1] | - 33:6, | $\frac{\text { toured }}{-38 \cdot 3}$ [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 12, \\ & 73: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16: 11 \\ & \text { suggesting } \end{aligned}$ | $-18: 18$ | ticking | transactio | try [2] - |
| [1] - 51:4 suggestion | $-3: 17$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1]-31: 1} \\ \text { TIME [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{n}}{24: 18} \text { [6] }$ | 24:6, 52:3 trying [6] |
| [2] - | target [1] | 1:15 | 25:16, | - 37:3, |
| $38: 17,$ |  | timeline | 27:1, | 37:11, |
| 41:8 | Technology | - | 27:4, | 52:7, |
| summarize |  | 37:15 | 38:11, | 54:19, |


| $\begin{aligned} & 55: 19 \\ & 56: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4: 11, \\ & 23: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1]-52: 9} \\ \text { valid [1] } \end{gathered}$ | W | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-3: 8} \\ & \text { week [4] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuesday | 73:11 | - 31:13 | W-O-O-D-I- | 6:12, |
| [6] - 8:7, | UNDER [1] | value [5] | $\underline{N}$ [1] - | 15:12, |
| 43:14, | - 73:17 | - 29:12, | 5:3 | 24:11, |
| 43:15, | understood | 33:1, | wait [1] | 41:10 |
| 43:17, | [2] - | 34:1 | 29:8 | weeks [2] |
| 49:1, 49:5 | 45:4, 64:1 | 35:8, 46:7 | waiting | - 33:6, |
| turn [2] - | unless [4] | Vassar [2] | [3] - | 41:9 |
| 3:6, 36:8 | - 48:4, | - 36:13, | 17:16, | whole [1] |
| TV [2] - | 53:14, | 37:12 | 58: 6, | - 56:3 |
| 17:6, 17:7 | 62:8, 63:6 | venture | 65:14 | willing |
| TVs [1] - | UNLESS [1] | [2] - | waive [2] | [3] - |
| 17:4 | - 73:17 | 34: 4, | - 39:1, | 14:10, |
| twice [1] | unlikely | 40:11 | 54:1 | 14:17, |
| - 15:12 | [1] - | venues [1] | waiver [1] | 52:14 |
| two [8] - | 41:12 | - 46:16 | - 55:7 | window [3] |
| 17:12, | unsolicite | vicinity | waiving | - 28:1, |
| 28:3, | d [1] - | [2] - | [1] - | 31:19, |
| 31:16, | 12:13 | 5:12, 13:3 | 52:14 | 51:5 |
| $31: 17$, | up [6] - | Victor [1] | walk [1] - | wine [2] - |
| 36:5, | 18:11, | - 5: | 31:17 | 4:6, 10:11 |
| 37: 8 , | 26:18, | view [1] - | walking | wish [1] |
| 52:3, | 33:5, | 44:5 | [3] - | 35:4 |
| $60: 18$ | 44:15, | visits [1] | $13: 6,$ | wishes [1] |
| type [2] - | 52:19, | - 37:10 | 13:11, | - 25 :9 |
| 37:19, | 64:17 | volume [1] | 13:16 | withdraw |
| 41:17 | update [1] | - 37:9 | wants [1] | [4] - |
|  | - 6:19 | vote [11] | - 35:11 | 53:7, |
| U | urgency | - 48:3, | Wasabi [3] | 54:5, |
| ultimate | [2] - | 48:9, | - 10:10, | 54:13, |
| ```[1] - 54:16 unableNone``` | 27:17, | 48:12, | 11:13, | $55: 7$ |
|  | 56:3 | 48:16, | 21:17 | withdrawin |
|  |  | 50:19, | WASABI [2] | g [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & -44: 13 \\ & \text { unclear } \end{aligned}$ | V | 55:14, | - 2:5, | 52:15 |
|  | vacant [1] | 55:15, | 10:8 | wonderful |
| [1]-73:6 | 9:13 | 62:13, | ways [1] - | [1] - 9:14 |
| under [3] | vacations | 62:17, | 27:19 | Woodin [2] |
|  |  | $70: 1$ | Wednesday | - 4:17, |



