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            P R O C E E D I N G S
            * * * * *
    (6:00 p.m.)
    Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
        Keesler, William Boehm, and Daniel Hidalgo
        JIM MONTEVERDE: Welcome to the April 25, 2024
    meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is
    Jim Monteverde, and I am the Chair.
    Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the Acts of 2023 adopted
        by the Massachusetts Court, and approved by the Governor,
        the City is authorized to use remote participation at
        meetings of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal.
    This meeting is being video and audio recorded and
is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within
Cambridge.
There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.
All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.
Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for
```

public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that may change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Virginia Keesler?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Steven Ng?
STEVEN NG: Present.

OLIVIA RATAY: Daniel Hidalgo?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Bill Boehm?
BILL BOEHM: Present.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde present.
The first cases tonight are continued cases. They were started previously and not resolved.
(6:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, and Daniel Hidalgo

JIM MONTEVERDE: So Case No. 1 in the continued
cases is BZA-258049 -- 202 Elm Street North. Is there anyone calling in who wishes to speak about this case? This is also a special permit one.

Still there? Do you want to introduce yourself, please, and --

GARY GILBERT: I'm sorry, are you speaking to me? JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, if you're the proponent, or representing --

GARY GILBERT: Oh.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the proponent, and you'd like to present what you have, then please introduce yourself and start the show.

GARY GILBERT: Okay. Because I didn't hear. The Zoom was talking to me that there's a recording going okay. So this is for the case of 202 Elm Street?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.

GARY GILBERT: Gary Gilbert?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
GARY GILBERT: Okay. Sorry. Yeah. My name is
Gary Gilbert. I live in Manchester, Massachusetts.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you hold on? Can you hold on
one second, please?
GARY GILBERT: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, hang on one second. We're having an audio issue on our end. So give us a minute. We'll come back.
[Pause]
[Mic checks, side conversations.]
JIM MONTEVERDE: So 202 is Cases BZA 258049 or 202 Elm Street North, and if you are the proponent or representing them, if you could please introduce yourself?

GARY GILBERT: My name is Gary Gilbert, I'm the owner of the building at 202 Elm Street in Cambridge. And I'm applying for the rear garage-like unit to be approved as an accessory apartment.

I think it meets the definition of the special permit bylaw because it's truly an underutilized structure. It's -- the maximum amount of size for an accessory apartment is 100 square feet and this is about 770 square
feet.
It has basically no impact on the adjacent residential neighborhood. It's in a strange lot where the residential neighborhood just barely touches the commercial street of Elm Street and Davis Square.

And it has a commercial -- and it has a church as one neighbor and a commercial use on the other side as a neighbor. And I do not believe it has any impact on those land uses and, nor does it have impact on the residential neighbors behind it, because the entry to this unit faces Elm Street itself. So most all activity will occur to and from Elm Street in the front door of the unit.

And one of the neighbors $I$ think sent a note in support of it. And I'm not sure what else you'd like to know.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So this is a continued case, meaning you presented all of this -- just what you talked about -- you presented this before in the documentation you had previously.

Is there anything new? And we had some comments for you. And you agreed to continue this. Is there anything new in either what you've submitted, what you're
proposing? Or is it exactly the same as it was before?
GARY GILBERT: It's the same, but I'd say that you -- somebody in your group made a good suggestion that I should expand this application to allow for windows.

And I went -- and you suggested I speak to the Building Inspector, and I did, and I learned something that I wasn't quite aware of: That the wall that $I$ would be sticking windows on do not, are not in the setback. The setback applicable for windows has to do with the property line that the window is facing.

So on the east-facing and north-facing walls where I would put windows, those are quite far back from the property line. Therefore, the setback doesn't affect them at all.

And skylights on the roof are allowed to be done as-of-right. So I learned something in talking to the Building Inspector. He was quite helpful. And those were suggestions you made at the last meeting, so therefore there's no changes to the application.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Are you including windows and skylights? That was a comment we made last time. There didn't seem to be, you know, air and ventilation. We just
didn't see -- there were very few openings on the façade. It was the openings that were there; the door and the window adjacent to it.

So did you address the comment that we made last time? Did you --

GARY GILBERT: Well --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- include any new windows or skylights? I'm not seeing any in what you submitted.

GARY GILBERT: Oh. Well, the Building Inspector said just submit them for the application for the building permit, which I assume I need to do right after I get the special permit. And he said that there -- you'd be allowed to put those windows in as-of-right.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't think it's a point of whether it's as-of-right or not, we just expressed the concern that none were shown. And we just wanted to clarify what your intention was.

So do you know where, like the diagram that's on the -- where we have the elevations I believe on the -- in the submittal -- can you identify where you intend to put either windows or skylights?

GARY GILBERT: Absolutely. The existing window to
the -- the east elevation --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
GARY GILBERT: -- I want to change the door to a full glass door.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
GARY GILBERT: Like we have on the north
elevation.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Uh-huh.

GARY GILBERT: And I want to enlarge the height and size of the window adjacent to the main entry door to be approximately 7' high by 4' wide.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
GARY GILBERT: And I would be putting a similarsized window to the right of the rear -- you could call it the rear-yard door on the north elevation.

In addition, I'd like to put two 4'x 6' skylights in the roof, which are quite large, and which would -- which all added up would get the total amount of windows beyond the minimum required for habitable space.

And a potential third skylight on the roof -- a 4' x 4' one above the bedroom area, the rear area where the bedroom would be.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So just so we address the comments that were made previously, if it's okay with you, one I've noted those new windows and full glass in the door and a note about the two $4^{\prime} x 6^{\prime}$ skylights on the plan. We could also state that as condition if we get to accepting the proposal.

Is that all right?
GARY GILBERT: Absolutely. I'd be happy to do that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Is that the extent of your presentation?

GARY GILBERT: Yes, it is. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from
members of the Board? If not, let me see the correspondence.

BILL BOEHM: Sorry, while you're looking for that, Gary Gilbert can you tell me on the north elevation where the proposed window is going to go?

GARY GILBERT: It would be to the right of the door. It's the only place $I$ can put it there, because there's some equipment on the left. And --

BILL BOEHM: So -- okay. So if you put it on the
right side of the door, I'm looking now at the -- the stair -- can you go to the site plan please? Whosever controlling the -- thanks. Can you maybe just point to where that would be approximately?

GARY GILBERT: Me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. We can point.
BILL BOEHM: Yeah. So that hand, that's where I'm thinking. And you're saying that's not within a setback?

GARY GILBERT: It faces the northern setback, and it's far enough back from that that it's -- it doesn't require a special permit.

BILL BOEHM: Oh, okay.
GARY GILBERT: Let's --
BILL BOEHM: All right. Good to know. And on the front -- oh, so similar on the front one, you're so far back from the front lot that it doesn't -- gotcha. Okay.

GARY GILBERT: Someone from Zoning looked at the it, as well as the Building Inspector.

BILL BOEHM: Okay. Fair enough. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

STEVEN NG: I don't understand why you don't show
the doors in the drawing? It's very confusing, Gary.
GARY GILBERT: In the plan, or the --
STEVEN NG: Yeah. Yeah. I mean --
GARY GILBERT: I think the floor plan should show
the doors.

STEVEN NG: I mean, the doors and the windows, like, I -- I guess --

GARY GILBERT: So --
STEVEN NG: -- I guess you got it.
GARY GILBERT: The windows are not in the plan, but I'm happy to modify it when I submit the building permit application.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Again, we'll make it a condition that those graphics be updated to include the windows and include the skylight, just if we decide to act on this tonight.

Steven, is that okay with you?
STEVEN NG: Yeah, yeah, that's fine.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, we have one piece of correspondence in the file. This is from Barbara GendronGreene. No address. They have no objection to the plan.

They do raise one concern, and this reads, "Sometimes residents of that building use our your yard as a path to Russell Street. I would like it if you could advise your tenants that they are using our private property without permission when they cut through. We would appreciate if this could stop."

So I assume you can manage that, Mr. Gilbert?
GARY GILBERT: I told you I'm going to put a sign on the wall of her building saying this is not a passage.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
GARY GILBERT: Some sort of sign there.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Just let your tenants know.
GARY GILBERT: Absolutely.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, that's all. I'll open it up to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and

Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you'll have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Anybody out there? Nope. No one out there. I will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? If not, I'll make a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 4.22 regarding the accessory apartments and 10.40 for special permits on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Accessory Apartment," prepared by Gary Gilbert and dated January 25, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, that the special permit is granted incorporating the following comments: That the east elevation will include -- will show a full glass door, and the north elevation will show a new window to the -- as you're looking at the outside of the building -- to the right of the door. And that two ' 'x6' skylights will be $^{\prime}$
placed in the roof. And those will be the drawings submitted for a permit.

And also, your neighbor has asked that you will
notify your tenants not to use her property as a pass-
through. Board members let's please take a voice vote on the motion to grant relief.

Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. Relief is granted. Thank you.

GARY GILBERT: Thank you all very much.
(6:18 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, and Daniel Hidalgo JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-257728 -- 30 Shea Road. Do you want to introduce yourself?

JAMIE HOWELL: I can start. I'm Jamie Howell. I'm one of the owners along with my wife Erin Howell, who is also present. We bought the house in 2016 from the original owners, who had been there, we believe, since the turn of the century.

We undertook a renovation on the -- it's a threelevel house, two-family. We undertook a renovation of the top two floors in 2019, including the exterior.

The lower level was left out of scope just for budget reasons and we're looking to renovate that now.

The current -- the original design has a secondary egress down the side of the house, and we have five letters -- I believe letters of support from our neighbors.

There was one letter of opposition, and that was the reason we requested a continuance. And we've -- believe we've done everything we can to address that. The new
design has the most -- has an unobtrusive egress on the side, and I think maybe Sarah can -- our architect can speak more to that.

SARAH ROSZLER: So I'm Sarah Roszler. I'm the architect for Jamie and Erin. And I'd first like to thank the Board for granting the continuance last month.

So this case concerns a special permit, as Jamie said, for a two-family home in North Cambridge at 28-30 Shea. The reason for the special permit request is a proposed new egress door on a nonconforming sidewall.

This is in District -- Residential District B, which requires a $7.5^{\prime}$ side yard. But this wall is approximately 4'8" from the property line. You can see the wall in question and our rendering of the door that's been proposed.

Perhaps before talking about the door, if you could bring up the key plan on page -- on Sheet A1.2, that would be great.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. Repeat that? Which document are you looking for?

SARAH ROSZLER: On Sheet A1.2, that's the key plan. I don't know if the Board has documents. I'm not
sure. Yep. That's great. Mm-hm.
So to explain the location of the door, I'll just run quickly through the plan, the interior plan changes that are leading to this application.

Currently, the Howells live on the second and third floors of the building, and they have a rental unit on the first floor. The rental unit is not rented out. It hasn't been since COVID. So they use it sometimes as office space, or for out-of-town guests or visiting grandparents. But as a result, the downstairs rental is off the market, and it is basically underutilized most of the time.

Meanwhile, upstairs the Howells have two kids entering teenagerhood at the same time, and are having a hard time accommodating the adolescent crowds that are hanging over the house.

So to address the changing needs of their family, we're proposing a renovation of the first floor, which includes separating it into two components. So the first component towards the rear is an annexation -- the rear and along the skinniest part along the side as needed family space -- the idea that could be for a teenager or for visiting grandparents -- but it could also be closed off
from the upstairs as a guest suite.
And on the second part of the ground floor is to preserve the rental unit, only smaller, towards the front and the left-hand side.

And the hope is that we are going to meet the family's needs where they are right now, but also looking ahead towards future multigenerational use where the two first-floor components could be interconnected.

So in the future, their parents could move in, or adult children could stay longer, or they could flip the house so that, you know, if their kids decide to stay in Cambridge, the kids and their families can go upstairs, and the Howells have the [unclear] downstairs.

So, then, to circle back to the special permit, if you wouldn't mind going back to that rendering that you were showing for -- that's Sheet -- yep.

So that proposed plan changes require moving the second egress of the rental unit. Currently, that's at the back of the house into the Howell's back yard. The original application that we submitted in February had the new egress on the same wall where we're showing it, but further towards the back deeper into that alley. And it included an
exterior stair, a portico, and a canopy.
There was a lot of neighborhood support for that plan, but you may have seen there was opposition from one neighbor on the directly abutting side, so from that pink house. That's why we requested the continuance. We wanted additional time to reach out to the neighbors, see how we could address their concerns. And we looked at many other design configurations and locations for that egress.

But we think we're returning now to the BZA with an alternative that responds to the neighbors' concerns and also remains fully within the scope of the original application. From the revised, as I know, we've got the egress elements minimized. We pulled the stair inside of the building.

The egress has been moved closer to the front of the house in response to the neighbors' concerns about sound. But in any case, we do not expect this egress to be noisy or to be used as gathering space.

And we also want to point out that because of the plan reconfiguration, the Howells themselves and their teenagers are going to be able to go through from the front to the back of the house within the side of the house. So
that should also additionally mitigate them against the neighbors' noise concerns.

And then in terms of how this egress looks from the street, the idea is to make it inconspicuous by making it fit in and look like it was always there thoughtfully integrated, we're going to use appropriate detailing to tie the new egress in to the fabric of the house.

And there's additionally a precedent for this on the street, since there are -- it's a short street, but there are three neighboring properties that have a similar configuration, side entrance. I'm not sure those are in the plan or if they've -- I'm sorry, if they're in the presentation. Yeah. There we go.

So there's one example two doors down, 16-18 Shea Road. And there's another one right beside that, and then there's one across the street.

So even though it feels like we're just coming to the BZA with a request for a door and a transit window and a canopy, the idea is that we've thought a lot about how to make this fit in well with the street and make it work well with the building.

The Howells did a renovation of the exterior with
the original bays of their renovation work and the idea is just to fold it in, give it the same detailing as the existing porch canopy that you see towards the left of the image.

And the -- but the plan changes, I think, are going to, like, change the way that the Powells live in the house and give them a lot of flexibility to allow them to live there for many, many years.

Jamie, you're in. I don't know if you have any other thoughts. But $I$ think that wraps it up for me.

JAMIE HOWELL: Do you have any thoughts? Anything to add?

ERIN HOWELL: No, I think that was --
JAMIE HOWELL: That was said really well. Thanks, Sarah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Just so we understand: you're proposing the door as an egress only. Is that correct?

SARAH ROSZLER: Correct. I mean, the front -- the -- there's probably an image there of the front of the house, which is going to be unchanged. And that door will remain the primary entrance for that unit. There's two
doors right side-by-side, like -- you know, many twofamilies you've seen in Cambridge.

And --

JIM MONTEVERDE: The entry is off of the street
off of the front porch --
SARAH ROSZLER: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- for that unit, and the
secondary egress and emergency egress is what you're proposing the door for, correct?

SARAH ROSZLER: Correct. And because we're
putting in a new door, we would put in -- we would -- that would give us the opportunity to put in the required -- an egress of the whole width currently required by code.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And in the -- we had -- in the file we have two views of the new door. We have the -SARAH ROSZLER: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- new egress street view, and then we have an alternate.

SARAH ROSZLER: Alternate. That's right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So do we get to choose, or are you proposing one or the other?

SARAH ROSZLER: Well, we're proposing the one that
has more detailing, because we think it looks nicer. But -and I think that's the one that looks like it belongs with the house.

And because there's more details, I -- I mean, the intent is that it looks like it was more intentional. But I guess there's a hedging of bets here in case the BZA prefers an option which is more amenable.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Okay. Thanks. Any questions from members of the Board? If not --

BILL BOEHM: I know which I'll vote for.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. We'll get to that under
"commentary" later.
BILL BOEHM: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And discuss. Any other questions from members of the Board?

So -- okay, moving on to public comment. I did count as of Wednesday five pieces of correspondence in favor; one against. Let me just run through those and summarize those.

The one against is I believe your next-door neighbor who you share this passageway with, where the property line bisects this passageway. That's Guy Fish.

And the correspondence is dated March 28. I'll just pick out key phrases here.

That "this egress would invite a radical increase in the concentration of activity and traffic to the side of the house." It's a narrow driveway. [And I wouldn't call it a driveway, but a passageway] directly outside their windows.

They've been living next door for the past 30 years and they say they experience every sound that happens through that narrow driveway. And the egress would be situated exactly across from the windows of their bedrooms; was two in the first, two in the second.

So they're concerned about a concentration of increased noise, disturbance, and lack of privacy. That's the one against.

Then we have Greg Highland, March 28, 31 Shea Road, in support. Kevin Leahy and Angela Ronberg, who live a 27-29 Locke Street. They're -- your back garage abuts. And there is support from Jill and Charlie Bent, who live on Shea Road in support. Karen Dempsey, John Commisso in support, they're at 16 Shea Road.

And then Fish has an older commentary against.

We have Pat, Mike, and Erin Malone, 17 Woodbridge, in support. And that is the sum of the correspondence the file.

With that, I'll open it up to public commentary. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

OLIVIA RATAY: Shawn McCormack?
SHAWN MCCORMACK: I'm going to start by video if I can figure it out.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, we can hear you.
SHAWN MCCORMACK: Well, okay. I'll just hit -- so
I represent the neighbor next door, who is really still uncomfortable with the proposition, the new door within that setback. I think the Chair did a very nice job of
summarizing some of the issues that are concerning Dr. Fish.
I want to focus the Board in on one issue, which is that it appears that the renovated space on the first floor would be adding a third kitchen to the building.

Now, my read of the Ordinance is that a threefamily home would not be an allowed use by right in this zoning district, and that the addition of the third kitchen would constitute a third dwelling unit.

Now I understand there may be, you know, provisions to authorize something like that. But my understanding is that is not the relief that is being sought.

And I am concerned that the application with the third -- the additional dwelling unit -- is not something that the Board could authorize based on how the hearing was noticed and published.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Let me ask one question, then, before you go any further.

So from the proponents, or proponent's architect: I'm looking at the dimensional form that was submitted. And it says the existing condition is two dwelling units. And the requested condition is two dwelling units. Is that
correct?

SARAH ROSZLER: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So in fact it isn't three units in a two-family zone, it's two units. Correct?

SARAH ROSZLER: Correct. Yeah. There's no unit separation between Jamie and Erin's unit upstairs and the -and the space on the first floor. The idea is that that's --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
SARAH ROSZLER: -- a kitchenette?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Regardless of how many
kitchens are in the place, it's still two units.
SARAH ROSZLER: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Would the speaker care to continue?

SARAH ROSZLER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd actually like to push back on that notion a little bit. The -- you know, the Zoning Ordinance defines a dwelling unit as a space that is capable of being occupied. It doesn't require, you know, an actual intent -- it doesn't look to the intent of the owner.

The definition in the Ordinance talks about
whether it is capable of being occupied separate from any other room or group of rooms, and equipped with cooking and sanitary faculties for the exclusive use.

The way this is designed is that it could very easily be occupied separately from the rest of existing Unit 2. And that is the concern here.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Is that your commentary? SHAWN MCCORMACK: Yes, thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Thank you for calling in.

Anyone else? No? That's it. Okay.
Discussion among members of the Board? Do we have a choice for preference of entry articulation?

STEVEN NG: So is this considered in the between the two properties a shared driveway? Or is it just a property line that goes between the two?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Looking at the survey that's submitted with the documentation, I don't -- there's no verbiage on it about shared anything.

STEVEN NG: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: A rite of passage, shared drive, shared -- it's not a drive, shared passageway --

STEVEN NG: There was an easement. We talked about something else, but it's --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
STEVEN NG: Right. Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The survey here, it's the -- you know, property line on the left-hand side. It's just there. And as it happens, it's been paved for however long between o the without adjacent houses. So that's what I see from the survey.

STEVEN NG: Great.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any discussion?
BILL BOEHM: Can the architect --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I --
BILL BOEHM: Sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, go ahead.
BILL BOEHM: Can the architect or owner speak to that very question about the ownership of that zone? I'm, you, looking at the street view and it's a paved area that gives access to the back. Okay, now I'm seeing the -- and is the neighboring house right on that property line, or is that -- or do you sort of have half of that?

SARAH ROSZLER: No. If you look at the
architectural site plan, which is on A1.0, that approximately shows the configuration.

BILL BOEHM: Okay. So it is split down the middle of that. Okay.

And I guess the other question is the neighbor's letter spoke to the new entrance being directly across from their bedroom. But it doesn't appear that way to me. But I can't tell for sure, from perspective.

JAMIE HOWELL: We moved it towards the front to address that concern.

BILL BOEHM: So is that letter written before or after?

JAMIE HOWELL: Before the revised designs.
BILL BOEHM: Okay. Okay.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And to just a point that Sarah made before --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hold on, hold on.
ERIN HOWELL: All right. Sorry, sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Please introduce yourself.
ERIN HOWELL: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm Erin Howell.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And direct your comments to the Chair.

ERIN HOWELL: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't realize. So how do I address my questions to the Chair? I'm sorry, is there, like, an official way to say that? JIM MONTEVERDE: No, just go ahead. ERIN HOWELL: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: Introduce yourself. ERIN HOWELL: So I'm Erin Howell, also one of the owners of the home, and I just wanted to reflect a point that Sarah had also made in reference to their first letter that the new plan allows us to enter the front door and essentially never actually have to go down the shared -it's not -- I mean the shared property line now. Because we can get to the back yard from our unit.

So if anything, I think this plan creates several ways that that shared property line won't be -- won't have people in it. I just wanted to make sure that that was clear.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any other discussion among members of the Board? Let me offer my two cents. I think -- and I don't know whether it should be a condition or what, but if $I$ read the documentation, it says that door is an egress door. And if in fact that's an egress door as
in emergency egress, you would hope to God it never gets used.

So the concern about the traffic, the noise in the shared passageway should not be an issue. Because if someone's -- in my opinion -- because if someone's using that emergency egress for an emergency purpose, there's probably going to be a lot more noise, for whatever the reason is that they need to use that as a second means of egress.

So I'm sensitive to the neighbors' concerns, but I don't see that as being restricted to an egress-only; that really the traffic is going to come and go from the front door.

And as you just said, the owner's unit on the other side of the first floor can now access the back yard without having to go down the passageway. So that's positive.

And I favor it. To talk to the two proposed treatments of the door, I can go either way. But it's dangerous to ask an architect what they think.

But if you want to portray it as strictly an egress, then the undecorated one says that most clearly.

But I'll leave that up to the other members of the Board, or you the owners if you're -- if you want to tell us that you're actually proposing one or the other, and not leaving the alternate up to us. That's my two cents.

Anything else from any other members of the Board?
No? Okay. From the owners, the proponents? Which scheme elevation wise are you proposing, are you preferring?

SARAH ROSZLER: Well, we prefer the proposed, but take --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
SARAH ROSZLER: -- the point.
JIM MONTEVERDE: As opposed to the alternate?
SARAH ROSZLER: Correct. But I don't think --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
SARAH ROSZLER: -- we feel strong enough to -- if the Board felt differently, we --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SARAH ROSZLER: -- we -- that would be okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. But I'm not hearing any
other comments or discussions from members of the Board. So I will present it as if it's that scheme that's up on the
screen now, the proposed view with the canopy above it, and trim. Okay.

Any other discussion from members of the Board or are we ready for a vote or a motion? This is a special permit.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.21. That's the new opening in the side yard setback 8.22.2.c, the Nonconforming Structure, and the conditions of 10.40 for a special permit on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "30 Shea, Level 1," prepared by Sarah Roszler and dated April 22, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, that the special permit is granted incorporating the following conditions: And that we are accepting the exterior view that's on Sheet A.3.2, and not the alternate. And that this new doorway will be used strictly for emergency egress of that new ground-floor unit.

Members of the Board, by voice vote please?

Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in
favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative. The relief is granted. Thank you.

SARAH ROSZLER: Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
JAMIE HOWELL: Thank you.
ERIN HOWELL: Thank you.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Is that the end of the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: No. We have one more continued.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Oh, oops. Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: One more continued. Hang on for
one moment.
(6:45 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, and Daniel Hidalgo JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-212734 -- 5 Foch Street. I hope that's how you pronounce it. And the proponent is asking for an extension for the variance "due to unforeseen circumstances with my contractor not being licensed properly."

So we will do a motion to continue. Oh, no motion, we just accept it? All right. Members of the Board, still take a vote, correct?

OLIVIA RATAY: You don't -- it's your choice to accept it. You're not continuing it. You're just --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, sorry. If I said, "continuing," my bad. It's an extension. Right. So on the Six Month Extension by a voice vote, Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Okay.
(6:46 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, Carol Agate, Zarya Miranda, and William Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Now for the Regular Agenda, we have Virginia, Carol, Zarya, Bill, and myself. So Daniel, you're excused. And Steven, you're excused.

STEVEN NG: Thank you. Goodnight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your help.
CAROL AGATE: Hi.

JIM MONTEVERDE: One moment, please. Okay. Next case is BZA-261798 -- 29 Ash Street. This one is both a variance and a special permit. Is there anyone calling in who wishes to speak to this case?

DAVID FREED: Yes, Mr. Chair. My name is David Freed. I'm the architect for this project, and I'm here to answer any questions and present.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. If you would go ahead and tell us what's being proposed and what relief is required?

DAVID FREED: Yeah. Actually, it's a very modest proposal. We're proposing adding a window in the façade
facing the right-side yard, right at the bottom of the first-floor stairs. And we are seeking to enclose the rear -- existing rear deck -- which has a canopy, and turn that into a garden shed.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And that garden shed by your calculation is how far from the property line?

DAVID FREED: Oh, it's -JIM MONTEVERDE: Approximately?

DAVID FREED: It looks like it's like two -JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, there it is. DAVID FREED: -- something. It's probably one and a half feet existing.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay. Thank you. So you're seeking a variance to convert the deck into the covered garden shed and the special permit is to create the window opening within the right-side setback? Is that correct?

DAVID FREED: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anything else?

DAVID FREED: No, that's it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

DAVID FREED: Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? If not, we have four pieces of correspondence in favor and none against. We have some from the Conservation Commission.

So we have a letter from Douglas Okun giving his approval. We have Anne Gergen and David Gergen, undated, writing to support the application. James Woodman, 27 Ash, in support. Marta Rivas and George Langdon in support.

And none against.
And then we have two pieces of correspondence in the file from the Half Crown-Marsh Neighborhood Conservation District Commission -- this one dated April 2. This is about enclosing the rear porch and converting the rear window to a door and removing the window at the rear.
"This activity does not involve any activity requiring issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness or hardship. All improvements shall be carried out as shown in the plans and specifications submitted by the applicant."

And, "This certificate is granted upon the condition that the work authorized herein is commenced within six months after the date of issuance."

And then there's a second piece of correspondence
from the same Commission dated April 1. This is the Certificate of Appropriateness, and this is to alter the fenestration on the north side elevation.
"Approval was granted with the following conditions and comments:

The new window and enclosure of two existing window openings with shutters is appropriate and approved without conditions.

That the proposed alterations to the dormer windows to be reviewed and approved by Staff following an updated drawing.

Staff has approved the submitted dormer window elevation dated April 1, 2024 showing three six-pane windows and --

The windows ought to be wood or clad-wood windows with simulated, divided lights with either no screens or half-screens if operable."

And as, similar to the other one, "This certificate is granted upon the condition that the work authorized herein is commenced within six months after the date of issuance."

That's all the correspondence we have in the file.

Anyone calling in?
Sorry, yes. That's the end of the correspondence in the file. We'll open it to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should
now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Nope. No one there. I will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board?

I have one comment to offer discussion.

Can you bring up the plan, Olivia?
My concern -- and where I'm not comfortable -- is where the shed winds up a foot and a half from the rear property line. And I just -- I never like to see the back of the building in one way trapped.

In other words, you'd go down -- if you had some
emergency, you'd go down the right-side setback and you can't get around to the other side, you're stuck. I don't know about you, but $I$ don't fit in ${ }^{\prime} 1.5^{\prime}$ wide zone anymore.

So any other members have any concern about that, or are we all okay with it?

CAROL AGATE: Well, I wondered about that, but the -- is that footprint exactly the same as the porch already was, or is this new construction?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, it looks it. It's -- and it reads -- the note says, "new 2'x 4' painted partition wall on existing deck." So the existing -- they just built on top of the existing deck. So it's exactly --

CAROL AGATE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- where the deck is now.

CAROL AGATE: Okay. I mean, that was one thing that didn't bother me, and also the person right behind the one that perfectly abuts up to is a supporter.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CAROL AGATE: So --
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, no, I understand that.
CAROL AGATE: So have no objection.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So you're okay with it, Carol?

OLIVIA RATAY: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: On that basis? Okay.
CAROL AGATE: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other?
BILL BOEHM: Yeah. I share your concern, Jim, as a former volunteer firefighter. I don't like when you can't move around a building for that purpose also. So I'm -that concerns me as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: It says on the Dimensional
Table that the rear setback is 4.5' I believe?
DAVID FREED: I think we --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, that's from the survey.
And that's to the building -- the existing building wall, not including the porch. Once you enclose --

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Oh, I see.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the porch, you're now, you know, at that -- whatever that dimension is.

BILL BOEHM: Is there a chance that shed could be built, say, around the corner where you have more substantial yard space and keep that back part accessible?

DAVID FREED: I'll have to talk to the owner about
that. I really think she was hoping just to reuse what was already existing, rather than go to the expense of building something somewhere else.

Would it -- can we put a door on the other side, so that it can be a pass-through?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, if it's a shed, any shed
I've seen is probably lawnmower, snowblower, four bikes, you know, one canoe. You're not going to open the door and just zip right through.

DAVID FREED: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I would suspect not. So --
CAROL AGATE: I Googled this. And it looks as though the part that is closest to the other building is just a jut out. It's not the entire side. But the -- about only one-third of that side is what sticks out from it. Is that a step, or is that just an old Google Map?

DAVID FREED: Can we go to the rear elevation, please? Or even the side elevation. So the roof here was existing, and then deck was existing.

CAROL AGATE: Oh. So those are the stairs? DAVID FREED: Oh, to their right? Yes. Yes. CAROL AGATE: Okay. So it's just the stairs that
are sticking out that far?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, if $I$ read the tea leaves, that's possibly two of us not in favor. And this is a variance. We haven't even gotten to the discussion of how it's a hardship.

But with two of us expressing some concern with the enclosure being so close to the property line, if you go forward with a vote, you may not get this, in which case you can't come back with the same scheme unless it's a dramatically different scheme, for two years.

You can either withdraw -- and this is on the variance only. Special permit is a whole nother ball of wax, and personally $I$ don't have any issues with that one.

Mr. Freed, how would you like to do this? Would you like us to go forward with a vote? Take the chance you'll pass? Or would you like to get a continuance and come back, reconsider enclosing the deck?

DAVID FREED: Yeah. I think if I could do a continuance, $I$ don't -- I don't think I really am authorized to withdraw. So if we could do a continuance, that would give me some time to speak with the owner.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Check the schedules.

Okay. How is May 9?
DAVID FREED: Yep. Thank you. Members of the Board, does that work for you?

BILL BOEHM: Works for me.
CAROL AGATE: Yes. I'm okay.
DAVID FREED: May I ask one question before it
finalizes?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
DAVID FREED: Would it be possible to just reduce the size of the garden shed in the discussion here? Would that be something that would sort of at least provide some amount of -- you know, pass through into the side yard, into the rear yard?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well --

CAROL AGATE: I wonder whether --
JIM MONTEVERDE: One second.

CAROL AGATE: -- it would make a difference if the stairs were turned to the side?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

CAROL AGATE: Rather than being in the back.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm trying not to design this by committee or have us all -- you know, the blind men describe
the elephant.
DAVID FREED: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think you'd have to come up with a proposal, Mr. Freed --

DAVID FREED: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and submit it. Quickly, I
don't see how that -- what you're suggesting would work. Because if you basically have, what is it 4.5' from the back of the existing building to the property line? and that gives you you said a foot and a half -- that means the shed is 3' deep. If you would reverse it and give them a 3' passage across the back, you'd have a foot and a half shed, that's a closet.

DAVID FREED: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think it's something you and the owner have to decide. I think the owner is on the line. Do they want to speak at all?

CATHERINE HAYDEN: I don't know if my -- I don't know if my -- I don't know how to get my -- baby, how do I get my --

JIM MONTEVERDE: We can hear you.
CATHERINE HAYDEN: Oh, you can hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Can you introduce yourself, please?

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Catherine Hayden.

JIM MONTEVERDE: We could -- so your concern is if we had to do maintenance on the back of the shed, we'd only have a foot and a half? That's what the committee's concern is?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Well, firstly, I'm concerned if there's a -- if a first responder has to come to your -this location for some emergency, they can't -- you can't get around the house, around the back with a passageway that's only a foot and a half wide. I'm not concerned about maintaining the --

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Oh, but wouldn't they go on the other side, where the -- on the neighbor's pro?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I have no idea what's over there. CATHERINE HAYDEN: Oh.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All $I$ can do is limit myself to your property.

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Oh, I see. Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's the concern. Just you're dead-ending the access, you know, on down either side of
your house. You can't get across the back.
CATHERINE HAYDEN: I understand. Okay. So if I
could get another foot -- I'm just trying to make it so I can get in there -- if I made it like 2.5' or 2'deep --

DAVID FREED: Yeah.
CATHERINE HAYDEN: 2.5' deep, and that would add
--

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hey, I don't -- we can negotiate. Again, that's kind of designed by committee.

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Oh, okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We need you to -- and I'm sure your architect can propose a solution. So shall we go ahead with the motion to continue?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: All right I'm wondering, though, if it would be appropriate for us to provide any guidance on, like, the minimum amount of space you would want to see between the lot line and the house?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, technically it would be the required setback. Right?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Fair enough.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I can't suggest something that's
less than that. So that has to be their proposal, I think.

Right? I can't make a deal and suggest a number that reduces it from the requirements.

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Yes, I understand that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Motion to continue?
Motion to continue. We said May 9? Okay. Let me make a --
CATHERINE HAYDEN: Can $I$ ask one more question?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Yes.
CATHERINE HAYDEN: Is the window okay? Just the other thing is not? Just the shed --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No.

CATHERINE HAYDEN: -- is not.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We didn't -- we're stuck right now on the Variance Request. We didn't go through and give the special permit, which is the window, its due diligence in review. I don't know that we can split it, approve one and continue the other. You really have to continue them both or come back later.

Personally, though, I have no issue with the window. Okay?

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Motion to continue. Let me make a motion to continue this matter until May 5, 2024,
on the condition that the petitioner change the sign --
CATHERINE HAYDEN: Actually, now that $I$ think
about it, it probably doesn't make any sense. If I just surrender the shed, we can go ahead with the window. Is that right?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Technically, yes.
CATHERINE HAYDEN: Oh, okay. So why don't I do that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. So you'll --
CATHERINE HAYDEN: Because $I$ can't think of how we
could come up -- I can't think of how I could come up with a solution to the shed -JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. CATHERINE HAYDEN: -- where I don't understand. I mean, there's a lot of houses in Cambridge that are very close together.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
CATHERINE HAYDEN: And -JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Stop. Hold on for a second. CATHERINE HAYDEN: Yep. JIM MONTEVERDE: So you'll withdraw the Variance

Request --
CATHERINE HAYDEN: For the shed.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- which was the shed, and we'll then discuss the Special Permit Request, which was to create a window opening in the -- right at the right-side setback.

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct?
CATHERINE HAYDEN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Do you have that elevation that describes that? All right, Board. We didn't look at that before, so let's get it out. So can you walk us through that one elevation that has the proposed changes?

DAVID FREED: Yes. The existing elevation is on the bottom of the page, and the proposed is the colored elevation above that.

So we're seeking to add a window right, to the right of the porch at the front entry. And we're looking to close up the other two windows that are shown with the shutters closed in.

And those are two existing windows that we're removing.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm. And what about the dormer
up above?
DAVID FREED: We changed the fenestration of the dormer that had been approved last time. It was five windows, and we've changed the fenestration to it to three windows. But it was still the same area.

JIM MONTEVERDE: But it's a new dormer?

DAVID FREED: Yes, but the dormer was approved on existing previously.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, it was a previous submittal for the dormer?

DAVID FREED: Yes, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
DAVID FREED: I think back in October.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So this is specifically those three windows, the two with the shutters closed and the one to the drawing left side, correct?

DAVID FREED: Correct, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any questions from members of the Board? We have -- like I said, there were four -I'll not go back over the correspondence for and against, because they were addressing earlier for and against, because they were addressing really the shed.

I'll open it up to public commentary.
Any member of the public who wish to speak should
now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Anybody out there?
[Pause]
No one calling in. I will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? Can we move to a motion?
[Pause]
Hearing no comments, we'll go to discussion. And this is for the special permit only, for the window opening on the right-side setback.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections -- and this is
for the special permit only -- 8.22.2.c for a Nonconforming Structure -- and 10.40.

And this is specifically to create a new window opening that's shown within the right-side setback. Two other windows will be enclosed with the material identified as shutter.

The Variance Request has been withdrawn.
On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled -- it says, "Extension of Living Space into Basement and Dormer Addition." That's the wrong one, isn't it?

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Oh, can I ask -JIM MONTEVERDE: Hold on, hold on. Hold on. CATHERINE HAYDEN: Sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: For 29 Ash Street, prepared by Choo \& Company, dated April 1, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, that the special permit is granted incorporating the following conditions:

And the conditions are that the Variance Request for enclosing the rear deck has been withdrawn. And the Half Crown-Marsh Neighborhood Conservation District

Commission conditions contained on the correspondence dated April 1, 2024 that I read previously are compliant with.

Members of the Board, on a voice vote, please?
Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Carol?
CAROL AGATE: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And then we'll take a second vote to approve the withdrawal of the Variance Request.

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Can I say something before that?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, we're done. We did a motion;
we're rolling right through. Let us finish our work, and then tell us what you --

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Okay. Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- just give us one more moment.
CATHERINE HAYDEN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: On the motion to withdraw? Do we
have a withdrawal here? Nope, by a voice vote? Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?
CAROL AGATE: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The variance is withdrawn.
Did you have another question?
CATHERINE HAYDEN: I just had a question for the
Board.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
CATHERINE HAYDEN: Based on what Carol said, it
occurs to me we could leave the steps and put another door on the other side, so that they could enter from -- do you see what I mean? The same way it is sort of now. Would that satisfy the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I guess you can -- I would
encourage you to think about it and draw it and see if you want to resubmit it.

CATHERINE HAYDEN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay? Thank you.
(7:13 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, Carol Agate, Zarya Miranda, and William Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Next case is BZA262879 -- 72 and 72.5 Inman Street. Is there anyone here wishing to speak on that proposal?

JOSEPH ARTLEY: I'm Joe Artley. I'm the owner. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: And I'm also the architect. And it's a house that -- the combination of the houses that I bought with my wife in 1991, the front part is an attached single-family, which was built in 1843.

And then in 1870 there was a structure in the back 15' $x 28^{\prime}$ with a $20^{\prime} x 10^{\prime}$ ell. And that was in the 1870 s. And at some point after that, the two houses were joined together. And it's technically a two-family that we own. And in the 1880 s --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Joe, we're going to bring up a -JOSEPH ARTLEY: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- drawing here. A picture's worth a thousand words, so.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: How about this plan?
JIM MONTEVERDE: So describe this to us again, the
lay of the land?
JOSEPH ARTLEY: Okay. All right, this is
reversed. But the -- my -- the property I live in right now is No. 72. No. 72.5 was built in the 1870s. And the link between the two -- it was built sometime after that. I don't know exactly when, but it was -- it appeared on one of the older maps.

And in the 1880s, 72A was constructed. And in 1990, the property was subdivided. And 72A was subdivided from 72. So I own 72 and 72.5. I've lived there for I guess it's 33 years. And I -- my wife died last summer. And I want to renovate the back house and live in it myself and rent the front house.

And so, I've redesigned it, and the things that I need a variance for on the first floor are to rebuild that connector between 72 and 72.5 , which is $I$ believe originally connected to 72 , because $I$-- it's at the same height as my house. And I want to rebuild it so that it's down on the same level as the 72.5.

And on the second floor, let's see -- Olivia,
could you get the plans again?
JOSEPH ARTLEY: The existing second floor is at the bottom, and then up above it is the proposed. And what I'd like to do is bring that ell -- the 10' $\mathrm{x} 15^{\prime}$ or $10{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{x}$ 10' ell -- out 3 -- an additional $3^{\prime}$. Because the $10^{\prime}$ sort of adds up -- it's 9'2" on the inside.

And it's really a hard-to-use space. And giving three extra feet on that, makes it sort of room size. And it works. All these things that I'm talking about doing sort of makes things -- makes the house more livable. And it's kind of minimum in terms of what it's asking for.

And then on the top floor, I would like to have a stair and stair enclosure going up to the roof. It's a flat roof. And I'd like to have a small roof deck up there, because the house back there doesn't really have much open space. And so, that would be another sort of addition to what $I$ can live with. It would be kind of nice.

And then as well as that, I'd like to have a dormer on the 72 -- my portion right now. On the third floor, $I$ 'm right in my office right now in the front. And the back room is kind of compromised by a sloping roofline.

And so, I'd like to add a 14' dormer to that room
so that I can have possibly the -- possible bathroom up there, and then two good-sized windows that would really expand the existing space.

And I'm not sure whether I'd make that a fourth bedroom, but I'd like that option, anyway. Because I have -- I have in the past run eating and bathroom supplies and waste pipe up to that area.

So anyhow, what I'm proposing is to make the back house 72.5 more livable for me, and really make it more comfortable for me. I've had a lot of setbacks the last year, and this would be something that would really help me out.

And what $I$ 'm asking for $I$ think is pretty minimal. There's not a lot to it. I'm not adding a lot of floor area. I'm rebuilding something that's already there. I'm not changing the footprint. So I hope you'll grant me what I'm looking for.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Just if I can read off -- again, this is a Variance Request. We'll get to those conditions in a minute. The description that's on the application form -- although Joe, I was following your
descriptions, but -- that 72.5 Inman building in the back, you want the extend the second-floor ell by 3' x 10', right? JOSEPH ARTLEY: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Adding 60 square feet? JOSEPH ARTLEY: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: You also want to construct a stairwell above the second floor, as an extension of the existing rear wall of the structure within the setback? JOSEPH ARTLEY: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So within the rear yard setback? No additional gross floor area. How does that happen? JOSEPH ARTLEY: Well, it's not -- it's a stairway that's not going to any place you can count as gross floor area.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So you didn't count -- you don't count that as --

JOSEPH ARTLEY: You don't count that as floor area.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. JOSEPH ARTLEY: And rebuild the existing one-story link between 72 and 72.5, that's approximately 194 square feet. And then at 72 Inman, construct a 14'-long dormer.

## Correct?

JOSEPH ARTLEY: Correct. Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's it. Okay. All right.
Any questions from members of the Board?
BILL BOEHM: I have a question. Can we see a
location of the roof deck on one of the plans? There. I see it.

Just out of curiosity, why is -- oh, I see. So
the roof deck -- I guess I misunderstood another diagram. It is facing into your property, right? Kind of on the side towards the home?

JOSEPH ARTLEY: It's facing --
BILL BOEHM: I saw another diagram which made it look like it was sort of on the back of that, but it's -- it looks like it's facing the front towards your home?

JOSEPH ARTLEY: It's facing the street.
BILL BOEHM: Mm-hm. Okay. That was my first question.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Could you speak a bit about the
downvote by the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission? And just --

JOSEPH ARTLEY: Sure.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: -- talk about that decision. Yes.

JOSEPH ARTLEY: That was disappointing to me. But the main body of the house, the one that's 15 ' $\mathrm{x} 28^{\prime}$, it's got -- I mean it's a balloon-framed structure, and there's a doesn't -- it's not a tilted wall mansard, but there's kind of a tacked-on mansard at the front of it.

And it was that kind of swayed four members of the Board, even though the fact is it's hard to see. But just the fact that it was not a 1900 building, but it was an 1870s something building, they thought that was important enough to vote it down on $a$-- on a nonbinding sort of vote. I think that was probably one of the reasons that they may have voted that.

And I can't say, but I'm -- like I said, I was disappointed, but it's nonbinding, and I think what I would have done here is actually pretty good.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members
of the Board? If not, let me ask one.

This is a Variance Request. And as such, you've got to give us some description of the hardship. That's always the toughest part.

So as the Ordinance reads, we have to find that all of the following conditions are met:

1. A literal enforcement of the provisions of this Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, financial or otherwise, to the petitioner or appellant.
b. The hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil condition, shapes or topography of such land or structures, and specially affecting such land or structure but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it's located.

And lastly, that desirable relief may be granted without either substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the Ordinance.

So really the question here is the -- can you talk about the hardship?

JOSEPH ARTLEY: The hardship is primarily the fact that it's an old structure that was built very close to the
property lines. And as such, I mean it just violates every Dimensional Requirement that exists, the 531. I'd just doesn't comply with anything.

And so, the hardship is that means you can't do anything with it. And it sort of hamstrings you from doing changes whatsoever.

And the changes I'm proposing here are pretty much to make it more livable. I mean, it's -- again, the ell, it's only 9' deep inside. It's just -- it makes the space up on the second floor kind of not very usable.

And, you know, rebuilding the first floor room is not going to change anything. It's not going to change the location of the roof; it's just go to change the location of the floor. And so, $I$ have to sort of fill that in.

And I mean the hardship is mostly because the structures just are all nonconforming. And without relief I can't do anything.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Okay. Thank you. I think that's a rationale piece of discussion -- for discussion.

Okay. Any other questions from members of the Board?

I find for public comment that there's no correspondence to the file, either for or against. There is a piece of correspondence from -- that Virginia was talking about from the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission, which does read that a motion to approve the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness was voted down 4:2.

The Commission agreed that the proposed scope of work is minimally visible from a public way, but several commissioners found the slate mansard roof front door with brackets and chimney on the 1873 structure as contributing to the character of the neighborhood, and should be preserved.

A proposed dormer on the front 1843 building, that's 72 Inman, and alterations to the existing connection between the two buildings are not visible at all from the public way, and therefore not under the Commission's jurisdiction.

With that, Joe, I'll just ask you a question. Did you look to modify any of your scheme to be able to maintain the slate mansard roof or the front door with brackets, or the chimney on the back structure?

JOSEPH ARTLEY: I really couldn't see it, Mr. Chairman. I just couldn't. I mean, again, it was a tackedon mansard in very poor repair. It wouldn't be replaced with slate, and it's -- the front wall is $80 '$ from the sidewalk. I'm, it just -- it -- well, I'm going to stop.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. No. All right. Thank you. You've said it.

Okay. let me open it up to public commentary. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

OLIVIA RATAY: Angela?
ANGELA JAIMES: Hi. I'm Angela Jaimes. Oh, let me try and get my video on. I don't quite see how I can, so they'll be stuck with that picture, but I live at 72A Inman

Street with my husband and two children. We are Joe's direct abutters and on that subdivided plot of land that we saw in the schemes.

I just was calling to support -- provide support for Joe's plans. He's talked about them at length with us. We've been in our home now for a couple of years, and Joe is a wonderful neighbor.

And we are supportive of anything that will allow him to kind of stay a neighbor to us. And I don't that involves renovating the entirety of that home, which is in need.

We also support kind of this contemporary look he's going for and think it'll be valuable to everyone in our little strip of Inman. When we moved in, there were actually trees in the green space behind our house that basically obstructed the entire view of 72.5 .

And I can also kind of support what Joe is saying that the mansard roof is in quite a bit of disrepair. I was a little surprised where the Historic Commission landed, because $I$ think this is a huge improvement and so little is visible from Inman Street itself.
And that's essentially it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Thank you for calling in.

OLIVIA RATAY: Susan Schweitzer?

SUSAN SCHWEITZER: That's me. That's not a picture of me, but I don't know how to make a picture of me. But we totally support what Joe's trying to do. We live on the other side.

And I've been in that building. And it's old and crooked, and the rooms are weird shapes. And it just definitely is not a very nice living space. And I think his changes will make it really nice.

Plus, from the street you can hardly see what the Historical Society was complaining that they wanted it to keep the roof. You can hardly see it from the street. So I thought that was just silly.

And I think his plan to straighten it out makes it -- will make it look nice too, and it'll -- he'll be able to keep it looking like an old house, but a nice old house instead of a -- yeah.

Anything, Tom?
TOM SCHWEITZER: Yeah. I absolutely -- I'm Tom Schweitzer, I'm Susie's husband -- we've shared this
building with Joe for many, many years. He's a great neighbor. He's thought this out very, very carefully. And I think every detail that he's presented makes really good sense in making that unit livable. So we fully support it. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Thank you for calling in. That's it for public commentary. I will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? If not, $I$ will move to a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, the Dimensional Requirements, and specifically around the setbacks, the rear and side, since the property sits hard against the property lines.

Section 8.22.3 for a Nonconforming Structure, and I think the proponent has described the hardship that we need to show for Section 10.31 for a variance.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Alterations to the Artley Residence, 72-72.5 Inman Street," prepared by Joseph Artley and dated March 22, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair. And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the
application.
On a voice vote, please? Carol?
CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The variance is granted. Thank
you.
JOSEPH ARTLEY: My thanks to the Board. I
appreciate it. Thank you very much.
(7:33 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, Carol Agate, Zarya Miranda, and William Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-263317 -- 26 Robinson Street. Is there anyone calling in who wishes to speak about this case?

DIANE GRAY: Good evening. I'm Diane Gray from Harvard University. Tonight, I'm joined by Emily Glavey of Harvard University and Steve Gilbert of Baker Design Group regarding the Botanic Gardens Children's Center stroller canopy. So --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Yep. Go ahead. Tell us what you're desiring to do and what relief you're looking for. And this is a Variance Request.

DIANE GRAY: So what we are -- I'll speak first, and Emily and Steve will speak for a few minutes. So we are trying to construct a 90 square-foot, three-sided detached canopy just at the entrance to the Children's Center to store large buggy strollers in a weather-protected environment.

These strollers hold six children each, and they
would be used by -- this is so staff can conveniently access the strollers to bring children to visit local parks and play spaces, walk around the neighborhood as part of the center's learning and play curriculum.

This is a long-established children's center that has specific operational facility needs that are unique for this zoning district. It's a multi-building institutional educational lot with finding three streets: Robinson Street, Fernald Drive and Garden Street.

And due to the physical characteristics of the lot, the existing FAR exceeds the allowed FAR. The construction of the original Children's Center in 1997 received a variance. So we would be increasing the nonconforming FAR by a very slight amount.

The reason it's close to the building is because we are keeping the accessible parking space in front there. That was also part of that 1997 variance. And so that's why we can't meet the requirements of the building.

The open space and the landscape of Botanic Gardens will not be affected, and planting will be increased. And if a variance was granted, it would be a benefit to the public good creating a safe, weather-
protected space for the children's strollers, and allowing the staff to get them easily.

At this point, I'm going to ask Emily to tell you more about the project, and then Steve will go over the elevations.

Thank you.
EMILY GLAVEY: Good evening, members of the Board. I'm Emily Glavey, the Senior Project Manager and Architect at Harvard University.

I work on all of the childcare capital projects, including this one. As Diane mentioned, the childcare has been there providing wonderful services to Harvard affiliates and the general community since 1997. Part of their exceptional program and curriculum is taking kids out.

I don't know if anyone has been around a childcare center, but the strollers are the -- that we're talking about are the larger I call them buggies. They seat four to six children so that the staff can, you know, take several out to their destinations as needed.

The need is significant, according to the staff. You know, they by law have to be supervising, you know, a certain number of children as allocated to staff, you know,
at a time.

And having the buggies in a location that is accessible is going to enable them to use them. Otherwise, you know, one staff member has to go out and around and back or elsewhere -- you know, would have to give up their supervision to go do that.

This is a need that the center has had for a very long time, really to have easy access for loading and use of the buggies.

I am all set. I think Steve Gilbert is on, the architect preparing the package, I see here. And I'm going to hand it over him next.

STEVEN GILBERT: Good evening, members of the Board. I'm Steven Gilbert, Baker Design Group, Project Architect. And what -- the way we approached this and what we want to do is improve the aesthetic, but also kind of blend into the neighborhood.

So we're tried to do this in a light approach with a wood-slat fence that -- if you actually go back to the rendering, if you wouldn't mind on page 6 -- or this works as well -- we wanted to create a low fence around the entry door so that way parents and children can see where they're
going, but also but on the buggy storage side provide a canopy and the partially enclosed area so that way snow and rain mostly stays off the buggies to keep them clean and dry through the inclement weather.

And like I said, we wanted to do a very light touch, as minimal as possible, and then blend it in.

In addition, we want to add green space to kind of get to blend in some of this to the neighborhood; conceal, you know, what we could. So that way it just felt as it was always there.

I think that's -- that kind of covers it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. is that your presentation?

DIANE GRAY: Yes. I'm happy to answer any questions that you have.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you.
Any questions from members of the Board? If not -

BILL BOEHM: Oh. Sorry. I noticed cars parking in front. Is that -- are you -- are there currently parking spaces in front like that? Is that already a feature of the center?

DIANE GRAY: Yes. The accessible parking space is there today, and it will be improved in the proposed condition. And it was part of the 1997 variance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, we have no correspondence in the file either for or against, so we'll open it up to public commentary.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Is anyone there? Okay, we have no one calling in. I will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? Nope? Let me offer -- and just to confirm, the relief you're requesting under the 5.31, the Table of Dimensional Requirements is first that your FAR, which is
already nonconforming, is going to go up ever so slightly? I think that's going to go from 0.982 to 0.983 . And that brings you here.

And then also 5.13, the General Regulation, which actually would not allow the stroller storage to be cited within 10' of the building, as opposed to up against the building as you have it.

And the Article 4 items have to do with the fact that you're in a C-1 Residential Zone and this is a childcare facility, and those Article 4 Sections basically allow this use by this variance, that's why you're here.

Did I capture that correctly?
DIANE GRAY: The use is allowed in the district.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, good.
DIANE GRAY: The Institutional, Educational Use is
allowed. The three items that we applied for were the FAR, the distance between buildings, and the nonconformity, the worsening of the non -- it's existing nonconformity -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

DIANE GRAY: -- and nonconformity. So those were the items.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And actually, there's no
relief required, then, under the Use Regulations or Institutional Use Regulations?

DIANE GRAY: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Any discussion
from members of the Board or can we move on to a motion? I'll start the motion. This is a Variance Request. The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Table of Dimensional Requirements. That's the added FAR.
5.13, that's the General Requirements with the siting of the stroller shed close up against the building, 8.22.3, Nonconforming Structure; and then 10.30, the items for a variance. I believe those are the ones you're seeking the relief from.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Buggy Storage Canopy" prepared by Baker Design Group, dated March 11, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.
On a voice vote, please, Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?
CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?

ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. Relief is
granted.
(7:45 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, Carol Agate, Zarya Miranda, and William Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-263025 -- 17 Copley Street, Unit 3.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. This is Adam Glassman of GCD Architects at 17 Brown Street. I'm here tonight representing Martin Benoit, his wife Nadja and their two children, who have lived at 17 Copley Street on the thirdfloor unit for 10 years.

And we're here seeking a variance tonight in order for them to utilize their existing attic space, which is unusual for a triple-decker style house. It's actually got a hip roof over it, and they have the rights to the attic.

And their family is growing in the sense that they have two kids; one is a boy, and one is a girl. And we have all the units in the building are two-bedroom units. They need more space to remain here comfortably, so they're seeking to add two $15^{\prime}$ dormers to utilize their attic.

The combined increase of an FAR for both dormers
is 0.05 , which increases the existing nonconforming FAR just very modestly, less than that. And the left side dormer is proposed within the existing left side nonconforming setback, which can't be avoided.

The picture on the left is our locus map.
Highlighted in yellow is 17 Copley Street. It sits toward the middle of a street that is populated mainly by three-and-a half-story structures, most of which are large gambrel roofs.

Their building is unique again in that it has a hip roof, which really isn't visible from most locations on the street. It appears to be a triple-decker.

Next slide?
Just our certified plot plan for the record.
There will be no changes outside of the footprint of the house. No changes to the existing parking or open space. Next slide, please?

Here's an overview of the building. You can see on the upper left the basement, which is all unfinished, exclusive use storage or common areas shared by the owners of the building. Floors two and three are both two-bedroom, two-bathroom open kitchen, living, dining area.

And Martin's unit on the top is also two bedrooms, two bathrooms, open kitchen, living area. Their unit is unique in that they have rights to their attic.

And I think their hope 10 years ago when they moved in here when one of their children was very small, their other child wasn't born yet, was that they're hoping that someday when the time came, they would be granted relief in order to utilize their attic.

Next slide, please?
Some views of the street. You can see the typical three-and-a-half-story gambrel on their left. And on the right image you can see another three-and-a-half-story gambrel beyond that. And then in the center of the photo is 17 Copley, which appears as a triple-decker.

Next slide, please?
Some existing photos and compared -- comparison renderings with the new dormers. However, from this view, the new dormers as well as the existing roof are -- remain invisible from the street.

Next slide, please?
Similar view shows a little bit more context on the left side. Again, the dormers are not -- and the
existing roof are not visible.
Next slide, please?
A view from the left looking towards the right.
You can see on the right side the proposed dormer peeks out a bit, but certainly maintains the scale and character of the house. The building appears -- continues to appear far smaller than their abutting -- the abutting buildings.

Next slide?
And a view from the front right. A little bit more of the dormer is visible there. But again, it's set back. It conforms to the Dormer Guidelines. So it's a modest addition.

Next slide, please?
Another view from further down on the left. And again, the dormer is completely invisible. The hip roof you can start to see peak out from that angle just a bit.

Next slide, please?
Our site plan shows the two proposed dormers relative to the footprint of the house and the setbacks. The dormer on the left is built within the left-side conforming -- nonconforming setback, as is the rest of the building. The right-hand dormer is conforming relative to
the setbacks.

Next slide, please?
Here we have no change to open space. All the site -- existing site conditions remain unchanged.

Next slide, please?
The existing units on the first and second floor are not in scope. This just shows the footprint and the existing. These are our FAR plans.

Next slide, please?
And on the third floor, we have an existing GFA of 13-- 1,316. And in the attic because of the existing space, we have five feet of head height. We have an existing GFA of 217 square feet.

Next slide, please?

So this gives our existing -- our existing FAR is 0.86 in the zone that is a $B$ zone and a 0.5 is required. So this is existing nonconforming.

Next slide, please?
And with the dormer addition, the GFA on the attic level becomes 483 square feet. We'd be adding a net total of only 266 square feet to their unit.

The first level of their third-floor unit remains
mainly unchanged, except for the addition of the stair. And the stair comes out more or less between the two dormers, accommodating two small bedrooms and a bathroom for their kids.

The new FAR, the proposed FAR, is 0.91.
Next slide, please?
Our Zoning Legend: We have an existing
nonconforming lot relative to lot size, relative to setbacks, relative to lot width. So it's a challenging lot, and there's really no way they can utilize their existing attic without a variance.

And unfortunately, without this variance they need to move, and it prices out of their -- they're priced out of the local market. Kids go to public school here; they both work locally, so this would be a big setback for this family without being able to utilize their attic.

Next slide, please?
We can continue to the next slide. There's no work on the first or second floor, just the limited area of demolition in the attic floor for the new stair, and then on the roof plan two new dormers.

The hip roof will remain unchanged. The height
will remain unchanged.
Next slide, please?
And our proposed floor plans. Again, two
bedrooms, a couple of closets and a bathroom. Nothing extravagant.

Next slide, please?
These views are -- they're two-dimensional
elevations, so they're abstract and really nothing that you see here appears this way from the street. That's why I start with the 3 D views. But you can see the existing and the proposed conditions with and without the dormers.

Next slide, please?
Our right-side view, the dormer sits in the middle of the house centered on the roof. We are recessed back from the eaves of the house 18".

Next slide, please?
Next slide, please?
Next slide, please?
The dormers are identical. Our schematic section showing the new stair and the dormer spaces. And we just have enough height to be able to make -- turn these into legal, habitable bedrooms.

Next slide, please?
And here is a final photo of the street. You can see that it's mainly three-and-a-half-story gambrels. The dormer additions will maintain the character and scale of the existing area.

That brings me to the conclusion. I'm happy to take any questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
Any questions from members of the Board? If not, we have two pieces of correspondence in the file, both speaking in favor. I'll summarize.

The first from Kari Kuelzer, no date. Lives next door. Next door neighbor at 17 Copley. Support the relief for the request to use their existing attic.

And speaking to the issue of hardship, which we need to prove for the -- or describe -- for the Variance Request, "I think it would be a real hardship if they were unable to utilize their attic space and they were forced to move out. They live and work in Cambridge, and their kids attend Cambridge schools."

And the second correspondence from Tom and Keya Dannenbaum dated April 17, 2024. They own 204 Fayerweather

Street, immediately abutting the property behind 19 Copley. And they are offering near unequivocal and enthusiastic support.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Jim, you should know that we do have a few more letters of support that we uploaded Monday. I'm not sure if you didn't get them in the file, but we do have additional neighborhood support.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Yep. That's what we have in the file at the moment. I will open it up to public commentary.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Nobody's there. Okay. We'll close public testimony.

Any discussion among members of the Board? If not, we'll move to a motion. Again, this is a variance. The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Dimensional Requirements. That has to do with the additional FAR from the new area created by the two dormers, and also for the intrusion into the left side setback by one of the new dormers, Section 8.22.3 for a Nonconforming Structure.

And I believe we've talked about and read from the correspondence the description of the hardship, and that it's in compliance with Section 10.30 for a variance.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "17 Copley Street," prepared by GCD Architects, dated March 23, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Sorry, this is one condition, I think. I didn't -- this is a condominium, correct?

ADAM GLASSMAN: It is. And we have support
letters from all of the owners.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You do?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. I'm just going to -- since
it's not in the file now, I'm just going to make that a condition that should be a no-brainer.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Further, that the variance is
granted incorporating the following conditions: And that is the submission of correspondence from the Condominium Association approving the proposed renovation. On a voice vote, please? Zarya? ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?

CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. Relief is
granted. Thank you.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members
of the Board. Have a good night.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight.
(7:59 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, Carol Agate, Zarya Miranda, and William Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is BZA-262992 -- 90 Hamilton Street. Is anyone calling in who's wishing to speak about this case?

CHICHI LIAO: ChiChi Liao.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hi. Can you introduce yourself, please?

CHICHI LIAO: Hi, everybody. This is Chichi Liao. I'm here to talk about our application at 90 Hamilton Street, Cambridge.

The second floor currently is an Office Use space, and we'd like to apply for the Educational Use.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And it's about that simple, correct?

CHICHI LIAO: Yes, I have -- okay, yes. Last year, we already applied for a zoning change from Office Use to Educational Use for the first floor, which is the -right now is the Daycare Use for preschool.

And then we are under construction, renovation,
and hopefully we can open the day care preschool this summertime.

And then we are happy and very excited about the future work we are going to -- future service we are going to provide. And then when we did the construction, we found that we -- probably in the future we need more space for Educational Use.

One thing is to provide teachers space on the second floor, because the first floor is mostly for the classroom use. So we would like to have the teacher to be able to use the second floor as the -- for Educational Use.

And then also we would like to provide more education space on the second floor. For example, in the future you can have some, like, after school programs or summer camp. Then instead of using the first-floor day care space then, we can have more space on the second floor, which is more consistent with the use of the whole building.

And I think that will benefit better the neighborhood, and also our dream to provide more educational services in the neighborhood.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? This is a Special Permit

Request.
BILL BOEHM: Just out of curiosity as a neighbor of that area, is there any outdoor play space for the children?

CHICHI LIAO: Yes. Just right near our building there's two playgrounds available. Very close; just a few steps away.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, we have three correspondents -- this is in the file -- all speaking in favor. Let me summarize those.

We have one from C. Arroyave dated March 20, 2023, in support of the change of Office Use to Educational Use on the second floor of 90 Hamilton saying, "We need more education space to support our children and families."

ML Mai, dated March 22, 2024. "-- full support. Our family desperately needs a dependable education programs for our children.
"The Harvard Brillante Academy is a hit with our kids. We encourage the establishment of a Cambridge Port Center for the benefit of local families and their children. The building is a boon to the community and will assist the
immersion programs at Cambridge's elementary schools. "
And Dr. J. Lee at Lee Families. "Support the
Harvard Brillante Academy as Cambridge residents. Support the Cambridge Port setup. It will serve the community and parents looking for an excellent location for their children to study and thrive."

That is the extend of correspondence in the file. We'll now open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
And no one is calling in, so we'll close public testimony.

Any discussion among members of the Board? Nope?
Okay. Let me prepare or propose a motion. And this is a
special permit.
The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 17.97, in essence allowing the use with a special permit; 17.95, Additional Use; and Gross Floor Area for Nonresidential use; Section 4.33.b. 2 for Table of Uses. Again, allowing the Educational Use as a special permit; 4.56.c.1 Institutional Use Regulations; and the Requirements of Special Permit under 10.40.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled -- that will be it. The only drawing that $I$ have that seems to be specific to the second floor is one prepared by Zade Engineering titled, "90 Hamilton Street."

Their fire alarm plans, they're dated February 29, 2024. That is the plan we have for the second floor -initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

On a voice vote, please? Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?

CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. Relief is
granted. Thank you.
CHICHI LIAO: Thank you so much, everybody. Have
a good night.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You too.
(8:07 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, Carol
Agate, Zarya Miranda, and William Boehm
JIM MONTEVERDE: And last but not least, Case No. 263591 -- 10 Hazel Street.

BRIGITTE STEINES: My name is Brigitte Steines, InkStone Architects. My client, Joan Wheelis, is here with me tonight.

Dear Mr. Chair, dear Board members and members of the Staff, we are back tonight for a variance application. We presented the project for a single-family and new construction at 10 Hazel Street 10 years ago -- 10 -- two years ago, two years ago in June, June 9, 2022.

And since we are -- it's a different Board today and I'm going to go back diving more into the project itself, but $I$ want to give a short, brief history of what has happened, and how the project has evolved over the last two years.

In the variance from 2022, we asked for relief of setback encroachments and minor additions to the allowable FAR. The variance was granted unanimously in favor of the
findings, without any conditions. The proposed design and footprint was filed on May 11, 2023 with the Registry of Deeds.

Olivia, would you mind putting the old -- I mean
the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Am I correct that you didn't act on the previous --

BRIGITTE STEINES: We did not act.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- request?
BRIGITTE STEINES: No, we didn't act --

JIM MONTEVERDE: It wasn't constructed, so it's void?

BRIGITTE STEINES: That's why I'm telling, but I wanted to tell the history why we didn't act on it, and why we are --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
BRIGITTE STEINES: -- here again. So that has -that's why I'm putting that up front to it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.

BRIGITTE STEINES: So, but the summary I think is important for the Board to understand the why. So you're looking at a variance which was unanimously granted two
years ago. It is currently -- that footprint is currently in the Registry of Deeds. We did not act on it. This is totally correct, and I'll explain now.

Because while we were working then -- after we got the variance granted, we were working with a geotechnical engineer on the building permit and on construction documents, and we learned that the water table is way higher than we had expected.

In this original variance, we had a garage on the basement level, which meant a driveway from 10 Hazel Street down to the basement level, and with the new discovered water level, it was not very smart anymore to continue with that design.

And what we did is we redesigned the entire building with the garage on the street level. That made the house much safer, easier to back out, safer for the passersby and of course much better for potential flooding; also with the driveway which looks down.

And now I'm coming to the point of why we did not act on the variance is because with the redesign, we would have needed to go in front of the Board again -- not because of the setback encroachments we had asked for, but before
the -- but because the design has changed; not much, but it has changed a little bit.

And Olivia, may I ask you to bring up the -- it's a single sheet. It's called, "New and --" yeah, the first one, the first one, the comparison. So the first one that is a left-side variance two years ago, right one variance now, and you see the driveway and the change.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
BRIGITTE STEINES: And that was the only reason why we needed -- would have needed to go again anyway. So we left -- had the old one -- variance -- lapse, and had to make the decision: Either going by right, or going for another variance.

Since variance is a long process, and my client was really anxious to get started, we decided we're going to remove all the variance items and make it a by-right project, which was not great in terms of usage of the house. It had a couple of deficits to it, and we -- but we just wanted to move on faster.

Our builder, he did apply for the building permit in the by right building permit not based on the variance one in January of this year. And in February, we got
notified by the Zoning Department that the building permit violates the back -- the rear setback. And we would need to go in front of the Board again. And so, here we are.

And since we are applying for the variance, we wanted to make it a better building again and not asking only for the rear setback, which was granted two years ago, but also bringing back the insulation what we had in -- we basically had to shrink the insulation, we had to remove a canopy, which made it a better side entrance because it was an encroachment.

So we brought that back basically the same that we had -- what we had last time two years ago.

And so now I'm going to really dive into what we're actually doing and why we're doing it. So it is a substandard lot with 4,756 square feet instead of 5,000. It is substandard by 41 frontage. And it has -- yeah. Period.

And then after -- the items we are asking now for is the same as last time; that we would like to get relief for a side canopy encroachment of three feet.

And maybe we go now to the next -- one of the next slides, if you can do that, where we can see the site plan with the canopy, if possible. The site plan, please. At
the very top. And one up. It's the second from top. Yes. That's the foundation plan.

I don't see the site plan. These are the existing. Maybe we take the plot plan, then. It should be visible on the plot plan. Yes. So on the plot plan, it is spelled out.

So there's a proposed canopy, a small rectangle on the side. This is an encroachment into the setback. But if the property would be 50' wide, it wouldn't even be an encroachment, but due to the nonconformity of 41' it is an encroachment into the setback.

We are also asking for four inches of insulation all around at the façade and the foundation. Because in the meantime, the Energy Code has also changed, and we want to make it a much more energy-efficient building.

In the initial one, we asked for four inches on the basement, now we're asking to take the four inches all the way to the roof as an exterior wall insulation -continuous wall insulation.

We are asking for additional square feet. Part of it is because we are bringing the canopy back. And the canopy as soon as it's covered counts as square footage.

We did make a minor increase at the front entry, and due to the change of the whole design that we are -added a garage, and had a slight shift towards the front towards the front of the street, it added up to 226 square feet we're asking for.

And also, because then the insulation of the four inches counts around as well.

And we are asking for relief of the rear setback as it is shown on the drawing with the 25.2' from the property line to the cladding.

We are -- these were the four relief items we are asking for. And the proposed design will not be detrimental to the public good. It will be in fact a significant improvement to the neighborhood.

The design replaces a previously small one-story house which is gone by now, and the project will contribute to Cambridge's commitment to the environment by replacing an older, energy-inefficient building with a new sustainable, energy-efficient one in a modern green design.

The hardship is due to the nature of the property that is only 41' wide. And we have to basically -- we have to go in length because we don't have the width. That's the
-- that's what these small or narrow lots are about.
The soil condition, what we discovered, is a hardship to my client with the water table.

And the new single-family dwelling design will not increase density of the development in the neighborhood, nor will it increase traffic. These are my major points.

We will have a lot of -- I don't know how much you want me to elaborate on the features of the house. It -- we will have solar on the roof. We will have a geothermal energy source, which is very quiet, no increase in noise to the neighbors. We will have a wonderful courtyard, which adds to the green area. And maybe we go to the elevations. We do not increase in the height. We are well within the 35'. We did an elaborate calculation on that. That's the front elevation with the garage. Back elevation with a small roof terrace on top.

Maybe the one-side elevation. We do have window wells, which are higher. We also made these window wells higher than in the original design. That was another change because of the water table, but not in the footprint.

And with that, I'm concluding my presentation. Open it up to questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? If not, let me ask one.

You're asking for relief on both the left side and the right side for the four inches of insulation you're going to put on the façade, correct?

BRIGITTE STEINES: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And as you described, although the scheme is conceptually similar to what was approved previously; this is a redesign. And there was no opportunity to take up that four-inch addition on both sides within --

BRIGITTE STEINES: Yep. I'm going to show -maybe we can go to the floor plans of the first floor, ideally. So we wanted to implement an elevator for aging in place. It's in the center of the building. We also do have a long stair along the building. And the width is simply -in the kitchen is on the left upper corner.

If you would have -- if we would have picked that up to the inside, that would have compromised the entire design of the stairs. And the flow between the elevator and the stairs would not have been impossible.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So you couldn't find eight inches
in the width of the --

BRIGITTE STEINES: No, not --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- construction to --

BRIGITTE STEINES: -- without --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- within the lot.

BRIGITTE STEINES: -- if you also look at the
front of the garage, which is a very minimalistic garage at the very smallest possible footprint, and also very narrow, that -- the garage next to the stairs would have been -- the walk through the hallway between entrance and getting back to the kitchen would have been compromised.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I won't argue with it. But thank
you for the explanation. And the rear yard setback, you're at 25'3"?

BRIGITTE STEINES: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Compared to the required 29"?
BRIGITTE STEINES: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And that's not possible to get within the rear yard setback because of -- what?

BRIGITTE STEINES: Because of we had the -because the -- because if it would have had a 100' long property, it wouldn't even be needed. Since we have only

41' in width, we basically need to go in the back.
If we wanted to create a light-filled house, because it is so long, and that is what the courtyard is doing, and that's why we needed to go in length and not in -- and couldn't go in a shorter form.

And coming back to the garage, also the garage is a very short garage. It's -- yep, very minimal dimensions. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, we have -- in the file there are 18 form letters in support. I'll read off names and addresses.

From Kathleen Mazer, 214 Garden Street; Siri Steinle, 210 Garden Street; Eric Rayburn, 204-206 Garden Street; Eliza Wiesner, 9 Ivy Street; Kyle Coops, 187 Fairweather; Brian Shooter, 183 Fairweather; Bonnie Okun, 185 Fayerweather; Shelly Evans, Danny McCormerick, 179-181 Fayerweather, Lena Kozloski, 16 Hazel Street; Janice Brown, 18 Hazel Street; Mirko Ristovojich, 16 Hazel Street; Eloise Adamson, 19 Hazel Street; Ellen Aldrich, 1A Field Street; Hunter Aldrich, 1 Field Street; Sarah Lummus, 9 Field Street; Brett Crosby, 171 Fayeweather; Paula Paris, 169 Fayerweather; and Larry Smith, 175 Fayerweather.

And those are all on a form letter typically dated April 9, 2024, in support of the application.

I will open it up to public comments.
Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

No one's calling in, so $I$ will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? If not, we'll move to a motion. This is a variance. Can you -- for a moment before we go to the motion, Brigitte, can you describe the hardship, please?

BRIGITTE STEINES: Yes. The hardship is due to the nature of the property of 41' frontage, a very narrow lot, the substandard lot size, and the hardship of the water table, and the soil condition.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. So motion for a variance.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, the Table of Dimensional Requirements. That's for the total gross square footage over the Ordinance requirement, the rear setback, right and left side setback, and for the insulation, and also setback on the right-hand side for the canopy.
8.22.3 Nonconforming Structure -- is it really a nonconforming structure? It's brand-new. Undersized lot. Okay. And 10.30 for the variance, for the reasons the hardship just mentioned.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Joan Wheelis, 10 Hazel Street," prepared by InkStone Architects and dated March 25, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

On a voice vote, please? Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?

CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Five affirmative; the relief is
granted. Thank you.
BRIGITTE STEINES: Thank you very much. Thanks,
all.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And goodnight. Thank you, Board.
Thank you for your help.
COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Goodnight, everyone.
[08:28 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| 27:8 44:8 57:5 | 78:3 80:17 | noisy 21:18 | 30:1,5 | 70:21 72:6,8 |
| 72:13 82:12 | 81:8 94:7 | non 83:18 | occur 7:11 | 82:18 83:14,22 |
| 94:14 101:12 | 99:19,20 | nonbinding | occurs 61:1 | 84:4 94:8,21 |
| 115:7 | 110:14 111:5 | 68:15,19 | October 56:13 | 96:4,7 98:18 |
|  | neighboring | nonconforming | offer 33:19 | 99:21 101:22 |


| 105:16 107:8 | Ordinance 15:8 | 81:19,20 82:1 | 53:14 55:4 | 91:21 102:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114:8 116:12 | 28:5 29:18,22 | 87:16 | 57:19 58:1,21 | 108:21,22 |
| Okun 42:5 | 36:7 57:22 | parks 78:2 | 99:22 102:1,4 | 109:2,3,4,5,5 |
| 114:15 | 69:5,8,18 | part 15:15 19:20 | 102:7,8 106:6 | plans 42:18 64:1 |
| old 47:16 69:22 | 75:10 84:8 | 20:2 36:15 | 107:20,21 | 67:6 73:5 90:8 |
| 74:8,18,18 | 95:4 102:3 | 46:21 47:13 | 108:1 | 92:3 102:15 |
| 105:4 107:11 | 116:4,6 | 58:19 62:12 | permits 15:9 | 112:13 |
| older 26:22 63:9 | original 2:5,7,8 | 69:4 75:22 | person 45:16 | planting 78:20 |
| 110:18 | 17:9,17 20:19 | 78:3,17 79:13 | personally | play 78:3,4 |
| Olivia 1:12 4:7,9 | 21:11 23:1 | 82:3 84:20 | 48:13 53:18 | 100:3 |
| 4:11,13,15 | 78:12 106:9 | 95:18 102:19 | perspective 32:8 | playgrounds |
| 27:15 38:13 | 111:19 | 109:20 116:19 | petitioner 54:1 | 100:6 |
| 44:17 46:1 | originally 63:18 | partially 81:2 | 69:9 | please 5:10,16 |
| 63:22 72:19 | ought 43:15 | participation | phone 14:18 | 6:5,14 12:2 |
| 74:3 105:4 | out-of-town | 3:11 | 27:7 44:7 57:4 | 16:5 32:19 |
| 107:3 | 19:9 | parties 118:9 | 72:12 82:11 | 36:22 40:11 |
| Once 46:15 | outcome 118:10 | partition 45:10 | 94:13 101:11 | 47:18 51:2 |
| one's 115:14 | outdoor 100:3 | pass 48:16 49:12 | 115:6 | 59:6 76:2 |
| one-side 111:17 | outside 15:21 | pass- 16:4 | photo 88:13 | 84:22 87:17 |
| one-story 66:20 | 26:6 87:15 | pass-through | 93:2 | 88:9,15,20 |
| 110:15 | overview 87:18 | 47:5 | photos 88:16 | 89:2,13,17 |
| one-third 47:15 | owing 69:10 | passage 14:9 | phrases 26:2 | 90:2,5,9,14,18 |
| ones 84:13 | owner 6:16 | 30:21 50:12 | physical 78:10 | 91:6,17 92:2,6 |
| oops 37:20 | 29:21 31:16 | passageway | pick 26:1 | 92:12,16,17,18 |
| open 14:14 27:4 | 46:22 48:21 | 25:21,22 26:6 | picked 112:18 | 93:1 96:12 |
| 44:3 47:8 57:1 | 50:16,16 62:8 | 30:22 34:4,16 | picture 72:22 | 98:10 102:21 |
| 64:15 72:8 | owner's 34:14 | 51:11 | 74:5,5 87:5 | 108:22 115:18 |
| 78:19 82:7 | owners 17:8,10 | passers- 106:16 | picture's 62:21 | 116:21 |
| 87:16,22 88:2 | 33:8 35:2,6 | Pat 27:1 | piece 13:20 | plot 73:2 87:14 |
| 90:3 94:9 99:1 | 87:20 96:1 | path 14:3 | 42:22 70:19 | 109:4,5,5 |
| 101:8 111:22 | ownership | Paula 114:21 | 71:3 | Plus 74:12 |
| 115:3 | 31:17 | Pause 6:10 | pieces 25:17 | point 9:14 12:3 |
| opening 36:8 |  | 57:13,17 | 42:2,10 93:10 | 12:6 21:19 |
| 41:16 55:5 | P | 101:18 | pink 21:4 | 32:15 33:8 |
| 57:19 58:4 | P 3:1 | paved 31:7,18 | pipe 65:7 | 35:12 62:16 |
| openings 9:1,2 | p.m 1:4 3:3 5:2 | peak 89:16 | place 11:20 | 79:3 106:19 |
| 43:7 | 17:2 38:2 40:2 | peeks 89:4 | 29:12 66:13 | points 111:6 |
| operable 43:17 | 62:2 77:2 86:2 | people $33: 16$ | 112:15 | poor 72:3 |
| operational 78:6 | 98:2 104:2 | perfectly 45:17 | placed 16:1 | populated 87:7 |
| opinion 34:5 | 18 | Period 108:16 | plan 11:4 12:2 | porch 23:3 24:5 |
| opportunity | package 80:11 | permission 14:5 | 13:2,4,10,22 | 42:13 45:7 |
| 24:12 112:10 | page 2:2 18:17 | permit 5:8 6:20 | 18:17,22 19:3 | 46:15,17 55:17 |
| opposed 35:13 | 55:14 80:20 | 9:11,12 12:11 | 19:3 20:17 | Port 100:20 |
| 83:6 | painted 45:10 | 13:11 15:17 | 21:3,20 22:12 | 101:4 |
| opposition | parents 20:9 | 16:2 18:7,9 | 23:5 32:1 | portico 21:1 |
| 17:20 21:3 | 80:22 101:5 | 20:14 36:5,10 | 33:10,14 44:17 | portion 64:19 |
| option 25:7 65:5 | Paris 114:21 | 36:17 40:13 | 63:1 74:16 | portray 34:21 |
| order 86:13 88:8 | parking 78:16 | 41:15 48:12 | 87:14 89:18 | positive 34:17 |


| possible 49:9 | 7:19 11:2 56:8 | 28:19 35:6 | 110:13 115:3,4 | 94:13,14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65:1 81:6 | 59:5 110:15 | proposal 11:6 | 115:14 118:4 | 101:10,11 |
| 108:22 113:8 | 112:9 | 40:22 50:4 | 118:16 | 115:6,7 |
| 113:18 | priced 91:13 | 52:22 62:7 | published 28:16 | Ratay 1:12 4:7,9 |
| possibly 48:3 | prices 91:13 | propose 52:12 | pulled 21:13 | 4:11,13,15 |
| 65:1 | primarily 69:21 | 101:22 | purpose 34:6 | 27:15 38:13 |
| potential 10:20 | primary 23:22 | proposed 11:18 | 46:7 69:18 | 46:172:19 |
| 106:17 | privacy 26:14 | 15:10 18:10,15 | Pursuant 3:9 | 74:3 |
| Powells 23:6 | private 14:4 | 20:17 34:18 | push 29:17 | rationale 70:19 |
| precedent 22:8 | pro 51:15 | 35:9 36:1,10 | put $8: 12$ 9:13,20 | Rayburn 114:13 |
| prefer 35:9 | probably 23:20 | 40:19 43:9 | 10:16 11:20,22 | reach 21:6 |
| preference | 34:7 41:11 | 55:12,14 58:8 | 14:8 24:11,12 | read 28:5 33:21 |
| 30:13 | 47:7 54:3 | 64:3 71:8,14 | 47:4 61:1 | 48:2 59:5 |
| preferring 35:8 | 68:16 99:6 | 75:17 82:2 | 112:5 | 65:19 71:5 |
| prefers 25:6 | proceedings | 84:15 87:3 | putting 10:13 | 95:10 114:10 |
| prepare 101:22 | 3:17 117:18 | 89:4,18 91:5 | 24:11 105:4,18 | reads 14:1 45:10 |
| prepared 15:11 | 118:7 | 92:3,11 95:13 |  | 69:5 |
| 36:12 58:15 | process 107:14 | 96:11 102:10 | Q | ready 36:4 |
| 75:19 84:16 | program 79:14 | 105:1 109:7 | question 18:14 | cal 93:17 |
| 95:14 102:13 | programs 99:14 | 110:12 116:14 | 28:17 31:17 | realize 33:1 |
| 116:16 | 100:17 101:1 | proposing 8:1 | 32:5 49:6 53:6 | really $23: 14$ |
| preparing 80:11 | project 40:16 | 19:17 23:17 | 60:18,19 67:5 | 27:20 34:12 |
| preschool 98:21 | 79:4,8 80:14 | 24:9,21,22 | 67:18 69:19 | 47:1 48:19 |
| 99:1 | 104:12,16,18 | 35:3,7 40:22 | 71:19 | 53:16 56:22 |
| present 4:8,10 | 107:17 110:16 | 65:8 70:7 | questions 11:13 | 64:7,15 65:2,9 |
| 4:12,14,16 | projects 79:10 | proposition | 12:20 13:19 | 65:11 69:19 |
| 5:16 17:9 | pronounce 38:6 | 27:21 | 25:9,14 33:2 | 72:174:11 |
| 35:22 40:17 | properly 38:9 | protected 79:1 | 40:17 42:1 | 75:3 80:8 |
| presentation | properties 22:10 | prove 93:16 | 56:18 67:4,20 | 87:11 91:10 |
| 11:11 22:13 | 30:15 | provide 49:11 | 68:22 70:21 | 92:8 107:15 |
| 81:13 111:21 | property 8:9,13 | 52:15 73:4 | 81:15,17 82:5 | 108:13 116:10 |
| presented 7:17 | 14:4 16:4 | 81:1 99:5,8,12 | 93:7,9 99:21 | rear 6:17 10:14 |
| 7:18 75:3 | 18:13 25:22 | 99:19 | 100:8 111:22 | 10:21 19:19,19 |
| 104:12 | 30:16 31:6,20 | providing 79:12 | 112:1114:8 | 41:2,3 42:13 |
| preserve 20:3 | 33:12,15 41:6 | provisions 28:10 | quickly 19:3 | 42:13,14 44:19 |
| preserved 71:13 | 44:20 48:7 | 69:7 | 50:6 | 46:11 47:17 |
| pressing 14:19 | 50:9 51:19 | public 3:19,21 | quiet 111:10 | 49:13 59:2 |
| 14:20 27:8,9 | 63:5,11 67:10 | 3:22 4:1 14:15 | quite 8:7,12,17 | 66:8,10 75:12 |
| 44:8,8 57:5,6 | 70:1 75:12,13 | 14:16 15:5 | 10:17 72:21 | 108:2,6 110:8 |
| 72:13,13 82:12 | 94:1 109:9 | 25:16 27:4,5 | 73:18 | 113:13,19 |
| 82:12 94:14,15 | 110:10,20 | 44:3,4,14 57:1 |  | 116:6 |
| 101:12,12 | 113:22 115:20 | 57:2,14 69:16 |  | rear-yard 10:15 |
| 115:7,8 | proponent 5:12 | 71:1,9,17 72:8 | R 3:1 | reason 17:21 |
| pretty 65:13 | 5:15 6:13 38:7 | 72:9 75:6,6 | radical 26:3 | 18:9 34:8 |
| 68:20 70:7 | 75:15 | 78:22 82:7,8 |  | 78:15 107:9 |
| previous 56:9 | proponent's | 82:19 91:14 | raise 14:1,18 | reasons 17:16 |
| 105:7 | 28:19 | 94:9,11,21 | 27:7,8 44:6,7 | 68:16 116:12 |
| previously 4:18 | proponents | 101:8,9,19 | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 4,572: 10 \\ & 72: 1282: 10,11 \end{aligned}$ | rebuild 63:17,20 |


| 66:20 | 104:20 108:18 | 100:1 105:9 | 29:9,14 30:20 | 109:18 111:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rebuilding | 110:8,11 112:3 | requested 17:21 | 31:3,4,4,20 | 111:16 |
| 65:15 70:11 | 116:3 117:9 | 21:5 28:22 | 32:18 35:11 | roofline 64:21 |
| received 78:13 | remain 23:22 | requesting | 38:11,16 39:5 | roofs 87:9 |
| recessed 92:14 | 86:20 88:18 | 82:21 | 41:1 45:16 | room 30:2 64:8 |
| reconfiguration | 90:4 91:22 | require 12:11 | 47:9,21 52:14 | 64:21,22 70:11 |
| 21:20 | 92:1 | 20:17 29:20 | 52:19 53:1,4 | rooms 30:2 74:9 |
| reconsider | remains 21:11 | required 10:19 | 53:12 54:5,9 | Roszler 18:4,4 |
| 48:17 | 90:22 | 24:12,13 40:20 | 55:5,10,16,17 | 18:21 23:19 |
| record 87:14 | remote 1:4 3:11 | 52:19 84:1 | 60:1 62:5 63:4 | 24:6,10,16,19 |
| 118:6 | 4:2 | 90:16 113:16 | 63:5 64:19,20 | 24:22 29:2,5 |
| recorded 3:13 | remove 107:16 | requirement | 64:20 66:2 | 29:10,13,16 |
| recording 5:19 | 108:8 | 70:2 116:6 | 67:3,10 70:18 | 31:22 35:9,12 |
| rectangle 109:7 | removing 42:14 | requirements | 72:6 81:16 | 35:14,16,19 |
| redesign 106:20 | 55:21 | 15:8 36:7 53:2 | 84:4 88:12 | 36:12 37:14 |
| 112:9 | rendering 18:14 | 57:22 75:10,11 | 89:3,4,9 98:21 | run 19:3 25:18 |
| redesigned | 20:15 80:20 | 78:18 82:22 | 100:5 105:19 | 65:6 |
| 63:16 106:14 | renderings | 84:7,9,10 95:4 | 107:6,12,21 | Russell 14:3 |
| reduce 49:9 | 88:17 | 95:5 102:3,8 | 112:4 116:7 |  |
| reduces 53:2 | renovate 17:16 | 116:4,5 | right-hand | S |
| reference 33:9 | 63:14 | requires 18:12 | 89:22 116:8 | S 3:1 |
| reflect 33:8 | renovated | requiring 42:16 | right-side 41:1 | safe 78:22 |
| regarding 15:8 | renovating | Residence 75:19 | 41:16 45:1 | safer 106:16,16 |
| 77:11 | 73:10 | residential 7:3,4 | 55:5 57:20 | sanitary 30:3 |
| Regardless | renovation | 7:9 18:11 83:9 | 58:4 92:13 | Sarah 18:2,4,4 |
| 29:11 | 17:12,13 19:17 | residents 14:2 | rights $86: 16$ | 18:21 23:15,19 |
| Registry 105:2 | 22:22 23:1 | 101:3 | 88:3 | 24:6,10,16,19 |
| 106:2 | 96:11 98:22 | resolved 4:18 | Ristovojich | 24:22 29:2,5 |
| Regular 2:10 | rent 63:15 | responder 51:9 | 114:18 | 29:10,13,16 |
| 40:5 | rental 19:6,7,10 | responds 21:10 | rite 30:21 | 31:22 32:16 |
| Regulation 83:4 | 20:3,18 | response 21:16 | Rivas 42:8 | 33:9 35:9,12 |
| Regulations | rented 19:7 | rest 30:5 89:21 | Road 2:6 17:6 | 35:14,16,19 |
| 84:1,2 102:8 | repair 72:3 | restricted 34:11 | 22:15 26:17,20 | 36:12 37:14 |
| related 118:8 | Repeat 18:19 | resubmit 61:7 | 26:21 | 114:20 |
| relating 69:11 | replaced 72:3 | result 19:10 | Robinson 2:13 | satisfy 61:4 |
| relative 89:19 | replaces 110:15 | returning 21:9 | 77:6 78:8 | saw 67:13 73:3 |
| 89:22 91:8,8,9 | replacing | reuse 47:1 | roll 3:20 | saying 12:8 14:9 |
| relief 15:7 16:6 | 110:17 | reverse 50:11 | rolling 60:1 | 14:22 27:11 |
| 16:17 28:11 | represent 27:20 | reversed 63:5 | Ronberg 26:17 | 44:10 57:8 |
| 36:6 37:13 | representing | review 53:15 | roof 8:15 10:17 | 72:15 73:17 |
| 40:19 57:21 | 5:13 6:14 | reviewed 43:10 | 10:20 16:1 | 82:14 94:17 |
| 69:15 70:16 | 86:10 | revised 21:12 | 47:18 64:13,14 | 100:14 101:14 |
| 75:9 77:14 | request 2:9 18:9 | 32:13 | 64:14 67:6,9 | 115:10 |
| 82:21 84:1,7 | 22:18 53:13 | right 9:11 10:14 | 70:13 71:10,21 | says 14:18 27:7 |
| 84:14 85:10 | 55:1,4 58:7 | 11:7,10,19 | 73:18 74:14 | 28:21 33:21 |
| 88:8 93:13 | 59:1,19 65:20 | 12:1,14 15:22 | 86:16 87:11 | 34:22 44:6 |
| 95:3 96:22 | 69:2 77:15 | 20:6 22:15 | 88:18 89:1,15 | 45:10 46:10 |
| 102:2 103:9 | 84:6 93:14,17 | 24:1,19 28:6 | 91:21,22 92:14 | 57:4 58:9 |


| 72:10 82:10 | 84:8 95:4 | 106:22 108:2,6 | 88:21 89:18 | 109:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94:13 101:10 | 102:3 116:4 | 109:8,11 110:8 | 90:7 | siting 84:11 |
| 115:6 | see 9:1 11:14 | 113:13,19 | shrink 108:8 | sits 75:12 87:6 |
| scale 89:5 93:4 | 18:13 21:6 | 116:7,7,8 | shutter 58:6 | 92:13 |
| schedules 48:22 | 23:3 31:8 | setbacks 65:10 | shutters 43:7 | Sitting 3:4 5:3 |
| schematic 92:19 | 34:11 44:20 | 75:12 89:19 | 55:19 56:15 | 17:3 38:3 40:3 |
| scheme 35:7,22 | 46:16 50:7 | 90:1 91:9 | side 6:11 7:7 | 62:3 77:3 86:3 |
| 48:9,10 71:20 | 51:20 52:17 | setup 101:4 | 12:1 17:18 | 98:3 104:3 |
| 112:8 | 61:3,6 63:22 | shapes 69:11 | 18:2,12 19:20 | situated 26:11 |
| schemes 73:3 | 67:5,7,8 68:12 | 74:9 | 20:4 21:4,22 | six 2:9 38:17 |
| school 91:14 | 72:1,21 74:12 | share 25:21 46:5 | 22:11 26:4 | 42:21 43:20 |
| 99:14 | 74:14 80:11,22 | shared 30:15,19 | 31:6 34:15 | 77:22 79:18 |
| schools 93:20 | 87:18 88:10,12 | 30:21,22,22 | 36:8 43:3 45:2 | six-pane 43:13 |
| 101:1 | 89:4,16 92:9 | 33:11,12,15 | 47:4,14,15,18 | size 6:21 10:10 |
| Schweitzer 74:3 | 92:10 93:3 | 34:4 74:22 | 49:12,18 51:15 | 49:10 64:8 |
| 74:4,21,22 | 107:7 108:21 | 87:20 | 51:22 56:16 | 91:8 115:21 |
| scope 17:15 | 109:3 | Shawn 27:15,16 | 61:2 67:10 | sized 10:14 |
| 21:11 71:8 | seeing 9:8 31:19 | 27:19 30:8 | 74:7 75:12 | skinniest 19:20 |
| 90:7 | seeking 41:2,14 | Shea 2:6 17:6 | 81:1 87:2,3 | skylight 10:20 |
| screen 14:17 | 55:16 84:13 | 18:9 22:14 | 88:22 89:4 | 13:15 |
| 27:6 36:1 44:5 | 86:13,21 | 26:16,20,21 | 95:7 108:9,19 | skylights 8:15 |
| 57:3 72:10 | seen 21:3 24:2 | 36:11 | 109:8 112:3,4 | 8:21 9:8,21 |
| 82:9 94:12 | 47:7 | shed 41:4,5,15 | 116:7,8 | 10:16 11:4 |
| 101:10 115:5 | Senior 79:8 | 44:19 46:19 | side-by-side | 15:22 |
| screens 43:16 | sense 54:3 75: | 47:6,6 49:10 | 24:1 | slate 71:10,21 |
| seat 79:17 | 86:17 | 50:10,12 51:5 | sides 112:10 | 72:4 |
| second 6:5,7 | sensitive 34:10 | 53:9 54:4,12 | sidewalk 72:5 | slide 87:13,17 |
| 19:5 20:2,18 | sent 7:13 | 55:2,3 56:22 | sidewall 18:10 | 88:9,15,20 |
| 26:12 34:8 | separate 30:1 | 84:11 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }} 14: 8,11$ | 89:2,8,13,17 |
| 42:22 49:16 | separately 30:5 | sheet 18:17,21 | 54:1 | 90:2,5,9,14,18 |
| 54:20 59:18 | separating | 20:16 36:19 | significant | 91:6,17,18 |
| 63:22 64:2 | 19:18 | 107:4 | 79:20 110:13 | 92:2,6,12,16 |
| 66:7 70:10 | separation 29:6 | Shelly 114:16 | silly 74:15 | 92:17,18 93:1 |
| 90:6 91:19 | serve 101:4 | shift 110:3 | similar 12:15 | slides 108:21 |
| 93:21 98:14 | service 99:4 | Shooter 114:15 | 22:10 43:18 | slight 78:14 |
| 99:9,11,13,16 | services 79:12 | short 22:9 | 88:21 112:8 | 110:3 |
| 100:14 102:12 | 99:20 | 104:17 114:7 | similar- 10:13 | slightly 83:1 |
| 102:16 109:1 | set 80:10 89:10 | shorter 114:5 | simple 98:16 | sloping 64:21 |
| second-floor | 118:12 | show 5:17 12:22 | simply $112: 16$ | small 64:14 88:5 |
| 66:2 | setback 8:8,9,13 | 13:4 15:19,20 | simulated 43:16 | 91:3 109:7 |
| secondary 17:17 | 12:8,9 27:22 | 75:16 112:12 | single 107:4 | 110:15 111:1 |
| 24:8 | 36:8 41:16 | showing 20:16 | single-family | 111:16 |
| section 75:14,16 | 45:1 46:11 | 20:21 43:13 | 62:13 104:12 | smaller 20:3 |
| 92:19 95:8,12 | 52:19 55:5 | 92:20 | 111:4 | 89:7 |
| 102:6 | 57:20 58:4 | shown 9:16 | Siri 114:12 | smallest 113:8 |
| Sections 15:8 | 66:8,10 87:4 | 42:17 55:18 | site 12:2 32:1 | smart 106:12 |
| 36:7 57:22 | 89:21 91:15 | 58:4 110:9 | 89:18 90:4,4 | Smith 114:22 |
| 75:10 83:10 | 95:7 104:21 | shows 32:2 | 108:21,22 | snow 81:2 |


| snowblower | 31:16 40:14 | 77:18 | Steve 77:10,17 | streets 78:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47:7 | 44:4,12 48:21 | ss 118:3 | 79:4 80:10 | strictly 34:21 |
| Society 74:13 | 50:17 57:2,10 | staff 4:5 14:21 | Steven 1:7 3:4 | 36:21 |
| soil 69:11 111:2 | 62:7 67:22 | 15:1 27:10,12 | 4:9,10 5:3 | strip 73:14 |
| 115:22 | 72:9,17 77:7 | 43:10,12 44:9 | 12:22 13:3,6,9 | stroller 77:11 |
| solar 111:9 | 77:16,17 82:8 | 44:11 57:7,9 | 13:17,18 16:7 | 83:5 84:11 |
| solution 52:12 | 82:16 94:11,19 | 72:14,16 78:1 | 16:8 17:3 | strollers 77:20 |
| 54:12 | 98:7 101:9,16 | 79:2,18,20,22 | 30:14,20 31:1 | 77:22 78:2 |
| somebody $8: 3$ | 115:4,12 | 80:4 82:13,15 | 31:4,10 37:1,2 | 79:1,16 |
| someday $88: 7$ | speaker 29:14 | 94:16,18 | 38:3 39:1,2 | strong 35:16 |
| someone's 34:5 | 32:15 | 101:13,15 | 40:7,8 80:13 | structure 6:20 |
| 34:5 | speakers 4:4 | 104:11 115:9 | 80:14 | 36:9 58:2 |
| soon 109:22 | 14:21 27:10 | 115:11 | sticking 8:8 48:1 | 62:14 66:8 |
| sorry 5:11 6:2 | 44:9 57:7 | stair 12:1 21:1 | sticks 47:15 | 68:8 69:13,22 |
| 11:16 18:19 | 72:14 82:13 | 21:13 64:13,13 | stop 14:6 54:19 | 71:11,22 75:14 |
| 22:12 31:14 | 94:16 101:13 | 91:1,2,20 | 72:5 | 84:12 95:9 |
| 32:18,18,20 | 115:9 | 92:20 112:16 | storage 81:1 | 116:10,11 |
| 33:1,2 38:15 | speaking 3:19 | stairs 41:2 47:20 | 83:5 84:16 | structures 69:12 |
| 44:2 58:14 | 5:11 93:11,15 | 47:22 49:18 | 87:20 | 70:16 87:8 |
| 81:19 95:20 | 100:10 | 112:20,21 | store 77:20 | stuck 45:2 53:12 |
| sort 14:11 31:21 | special 5:8 6:19 | 113:9 | straighten 74:16 | 72:22 |
| 49:11 61:3 | 9:12 12:11 | stairway 66:12 | strange 7:3 | study 101:6 |
| 64:5,8,10,16 | 15:9,17 18:7,9 | stairwell 66:7 | street 2:5,8,11 | style 86:15 |
| 67:14 68:15 | 20:14 36:4,10 | start 4:5 5:17 | 2:12,13,14,15 | subdivided |
| 70:5,14 | 36:17 40:13 | 17:7 27:16 | 2:16 5:6,20 | 63:11,11 73:2 |
| sought $28: 12$ | 41:15 48:12 | 84:6 89:16 | 6:13,16 7:5,5 | submission |
| sound 21:17 | 53:14 55:4 | 92:10 | 7:11,12 14:3 | 96:10 |
| 26:9 | 57:19 58:1,21 | started 4:18 | 22:4,9,9, 16,20 | submit 9:10 |
| source 111:10 | 99:22 102:1,4 | 107:15 | 24:4,17 26:18 | 13:11 50:6 |
| space 10:19 19:9 | 102:7,8 | state 3:19 11 | 31:18 38:6 | submittal 9:20 |
| 19:21 21:18 | specially 69:12 | statements | 40:12 58:15 | 56:9 |
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| 58:10 64:7,16 | specifically | 84:20 95:18 | 74:14 75:19 | 20:20 28:20 |
| 65:3 70:9 | 56:14 58:3 | 102:19 116:19 | 77:6 78:9,9 | 30:18 36:15 |
| 73:15 74:10 | 75:11 | stay 20:10,11 | 86:6,9,11 87:6 | 42:18 43:12 |
| 78:16,19 79:1 | specifications | 73:9 | 87:7,12 88:10 | 58:19 75:22 |
| 81:7 82:1 | 42:18 | stays 81:3 | 88:19 92:9 | 84:20 95:18 |
| 86:14,20 87:16 | spelled 109:6 | Steines 104:7,7 | 93:2 94:1 | 102:19 116:19 |
| 90:3,11 93:18 | split 32:3 53:15 | 105:8,10,13,17 | 95:14 98:6,13 | substandard |
| 98:14 99:6,8 | spoke 32:6 | 105:20 107:9 | 102:14 104:6 | 108:15,16 |
| 99:13,16,16 | square 6:22,22 | 112:6,12 113:2 | 104:13 106:10 | 115:21 |
| 100:3,15 | 7:5 66:4,21 | 113:4,6,15,17 | 106:15 110:4 | substantial |
| spaces 78:3 | 90:13,20,21 | 113:20 115:19 | 114:12,13,14 | 46:21 69:8,16 |
| 81:21 92:20 | 108:15 109:20 | 117:11 | 114:14,17,18 | substantially |
| speak 4:4 5:7 | 109:22 110:4 | Steinle 114:13 | 114:18,19,19 | 69:17 |
| 8:5 14:16 15:2 | 116:6 | step 47:16 | 114:20,21 | suggest 52:21 |
| 18:2 27:5,13 | square-foot | steps 61:1 100:7 | 116:15 | 53:1 |


| suggested 8:5 | Susie's 74:22 | 16:4 | 34:20 35:14 | tie 22:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| suggesting 50:7 | suspect 47:11 | terms 22 | 46:12 47:1 | tilted 68:9 |
| suggestion 8:3 | sustainable | 64:11 107:17 | 48:18,19 50:3 | time 3:22 4:1 |
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| 25:19 93:11 | table 46:11 | 94:22 101:20 | 70:19 73:13,20 | 56:3 57:8 |
| 100:11 | 82:21 84:8 | 115:15 | 74:10,16 75:3 | 72:15 80:1,8 |
| summarizing | 102:6 106:7 | thank 11:12,13 | 75:15 80:10 | 82:14 88:7 |
| 28:1 | 111:3,20 | 12:19 16:11,18 | 81:11 83:2 | 94:17 101:14 |
| summary | 115:22 116:4 | 16:19 18:5 | 88:4 93:17 | 108:12,18 |
| 105:20 | tacked-72:2 | 23:16 29:16 | 95:20 99:18 | 115:10 |
| summer 63:13 | tacked-on 68:10 | 30:8,9,9 33:18 | 105:20 | titled 102:13 |
| 99:15 | take 4:5 16:5 | 37:3,5,7,9,13 | thinking 12:8 | today 82:2 |
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| supervising | 79:18 93:7 | 40:8,9,18 | 64:19 90:10 | Tom 74:20,21 |
| 79:21 | 109:4,17 | 41:13,22 42:1 | third- 86:11 | 74:21 93:21 |
| supervision 80:6 | 112:10 | 49:2 54:19 | third-floor | tonight 4:17 |
| supplies 65:6 | taken 3:20 | 59:9,11,13 | 90:22 | 13:16 77:9 |
| support 7:14 | talk 34:18 46:2 | 61:9 65:18,19 | thought 22:19 | 86:9,13 104:9 |
| 17:19 21:2 | 68:4 69:19 | 67:19 68:21 | 68:14 74:15 | 104:11 |
| 26:17,19,20,21 | 98:12 | 70:18 72:6 | 75:2 | $\boldsymbol{t o p} 17: 14$ 45:12 |
| 27:2 42:7,8,8 | talked 7:17 31:1 | 74:1,1 75:5,5 | thoughtfully | 64:12 88:1 |
| 73:4,4,12,17 | 73:5 95:10 | 76:4,6,12,15 | 22:5 | 109:1,1 111:16 |
| 74:6 75:4 | talking 5:19 | 77:13 79:6 | thoughts 23:10 | topography |
| 93:13 94:3,5,7 | 8:16 18:16 | 81:12,16 82:4 | 23:11 | 69:11 |
| 95:22 100:13 | 64:9 71:3 | 93:8 97:1,2 | thousand 62:22 | total 10:18 |
| 100:15,16 | 79:16 | 99:21 100:8 | three 4:3 15:2 | 90:20 116:5 |
| 101:2,3 114:10 | talks 29:22 | 103:10,11 | 22:10 27:13 | totally 74:6 |
| 115:2 | tea 48:2 | 112:1 113:12 | 29:3 43:13 | 106:3 |
| supporter 45:17 | teacher 99:10 | 116:1 117:10 | 44:12 56:4,15 | touch 81:6 |
| supporting | teachers 99:8 | 117:11,13,14 | 57:10 64:8 | touches 7:4 |
| 15:14 36:14 | technically | 117:16 | 72:17 78:8 | toughest 69:4 |
| 58:18 75:21 | 52:18 54:6 | thanks 12:3 | 82:16 83:16 | traffic 26:4 34:3 |
| 84:19 95:17 | 62:17 | 23:14 25:8 | 87:21 94:19 | 34:12 111:6 |
| 102:18 116:18 | teenager 19:21 | 76:14 117:11 | 100:9 101:16 | transcript 3:16 |
| supportive 73:8 | teenagerhood | they've 22:12 | 108:19 115:12 | 118:6 |
| sure 7:14 19:1 | 19:13 | thing 45:15 53:9 | three-17:12 | transit 22:18 |
| 22:11 32:8 | teenagers 21:21 | 99:8 | 28:5 87:7 | trapped 44:21 |
| 33:16 52:11 | television 3:14 | things 63:16 | three-and-a-h... | treatments |
| 65:4 68:3 94:6 | tell 11:17 32:8 | 64:9,10 | 88:11,12 93:3 | 34:19 |
| surprised 73:19 | 35:2 40:19 | think 6:19 7:13 | three-sided | trees 73:15 |
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| 88:14 | Uh-huh 10:8 | 86:19,19 90:6 | 75:16 76:12 | visiting 19:9,22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| true 118:6 | unable 93:18 | University 77:9 | 77:15 78:13,17 | voice 16:5 36:22 |
| truly 6:20 | unanimously | 77:10 79:9 | 78:21 82:3 | 38:17 59:6 |
| try 72:21 | 104:22 105:22 | unmute 14:19 | 83:11 84:6,13 | 60:7 76:2 |
| trying 49:21 | unchanged | 14:21 27:8,10 | 86:13 91:11,12 | 84:22 96:12 |
| 52:3 74:6 | 23:21 90:4 | 44:8,9 57:5,7 | 93:16 95:2,12 | 102:21 116:21 |
| 77:18 | 91:1,22 92:1 | 72:13,14 82:12 | 96:8 104:11,20 | void 105:12 |
| turn 17:10 41:3 | unclear 20:13 | 82:13 94:14,16 | 104:22 105:22 | volunteer 46:6 |
| 92:21 | uncomfortable | 101:12,13 | 106:5,9,20 | vote 16:5,16 |
| turned 49:18 | 27:21 | 115:7,9 | 107:6,6,11,13 | 25:10 36:4,22 |
| two 10:16 11:4 | undated 42:6 | unobtrusive | 107:14,16,21 | 37:11 38:12,17 |
| 15:22 17:14 | undecorated | 18:1 | 108:4 115:16 | 39:4 48:8,15 |
| 19:12,18 20:7 | 34:22 | unusual 86:15 | 116:2,12 | 59:6,16,18 |
| 22:14 23:22 | Undersized | updated 13:14 | ventilation 8:22 | 60:7,16 68:15 |
| 24:15 26:12,12 | 116:11 | 43:11 | verbiage 30:19 | 68:15 76:2,11 |
| 28:21,22 29:4 | understand | uploaded 94:5 | verify $4: 6$ | 84:22 85:9 |
| 29:12 30:15,16 | 12:22 23:17 | upper 87:19 | Vice 1:7 | 96:12,21 |
| 33:19 34:18 | 28:9 45:20 | 112:17 | video 3:13 27:16 | 102:21 103:8 |
| 35:4 41:9 | 52:2 53:3 | upstairs 19:12 | 72:21 | 116:21 117:8 |
| 42:10 43:6 | 54:14 105:21 | 20:1,12 29:6 | view 24:17 | voted 68:17 71:7 |
| 48:3,6,10 | understanding | usable 70:10 | 31:18 36:1,19 | votes 3:19 |
| 55:18,20 56:15 | 28:11 | usage 107:17 | 73:16 88:17,21 |  |
| 58:4 62:16 | undertook | use 3:11 7:7 | 89:3,9,14 | W |
| 63:7 65:2 | 17:12,13 | 14:2 16:4 19:8 | 92:13 | walk 55:11 78:3 |
| 71:16 73:1 | underutilized | 20:7 22:6 28:6 | views 24:15 | 113:10 |
| 86:10,18,21 | 6:20 19:11 | 30:3 34:8 80:3 | 88:10 92:7,10 | wall 8:7 14:9 |
| 87:21 88:1,2 | unequivocal | 80:8 83:11,13 | violates 70:1 | 18:12,14 20:21 |
| 89:18 91:2,3 | 94:2 | 83:15 84:1,2 | 108:2 | 45:10 46:14 |
| 91:21 92:3 | unfinished | 87:20 93:14 | Virginia 1:8 3:4 | 66:8 68:9 72:4 |
| 93:10 95:6 | 87:19 | 98:14,15,19,20 | 4:7,8 5:3 16:9 | 109:18,19 |
| 100:6 104:13 | unforeseen 38:8 | 98:21 99:7,10 | 16:10 17:3 | walls 8:11 |
| 104:14,19 | unfortunately | 99:11,11,17 | 37:3,4 38:3,21 | want 5:9 10:3,9 |
| 105:22 107:6 | 91:12 | 100:13,13 | 38:22 40:3,6 | 17:6 21:19 |
| 108:6,12 | UNIDENTIFI... | 102:4,5,5,7,8 | 46:10,16 52:14 | 28:2 34:21 |
| two-24:1 | 32:15 | uses 7:9 102:6 | 52:20 59:7,8 | 35:2 50:17 |
| two-bathroom | unique 78:6 | utilize 86:14,21 | 60:7,8 62:3 | 52:17 61:7 |
| 87:22 | 87:10 88:3 | 88:8 91:10,16 | 67:22 68:4,21 | 63:14,20 66:2 |
| two-bedroom | unit 2:14 6:17 | 93:18 | 71:3 76:6,7 | 66:6 80:16 |
| 86:19 87:21 | 7:10,12 19:6,7 |  | 77:3 84:22 | 81:7 104:17 |
| two-dimensio... | 20:3,18 23:22 | V | 85:1 86:3 | 109:14 111:8 |
| 92:7 | 24:7 28:8,14 | valuable 73:13 | 96:16,17 98:3 | wanted 9:16 |
| two-family | 29:5,6,18 30:5 | variance 2:9 | 102:21,22 | 21:5 33:8,16 |
| 17:13 18:8 | 33:13 34:14 | 38:7 40:13 | 104:3 116:21 | 74:13 80:21 |
| 29:4 62:17 | 36:21 75:4 | 41:14 48:4,12 | 116:22 | 81:5 105:14 |
| typical 88:10 | 86:6,12 88:1,2 | 53:13 54:22 | visible 71:9,16 | 107:19 108:5 |
| typically 115:1 | 90:21,22 | 58:7 59:1,18 | 73:21 87:11 | 112:14 114:2 |
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| waste 65:7 | whatsoever 70:6 | withdraw 48:11 | wrong 58:10 | 40:6 59:11,12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| water 106:7,12 | Wheelis 104:8 | 48:20 54:22 | X | 60:11,12 62:4 |
| 111:3,20 | 116:15 | 60:6 | X | 76:4,5 77:4 |
| 115:21 | whereof 118:12 | withdrawal | x 2:1 10:21 | 85:6,7 86:4 |
| wax 48:13 | Whosever 12:2 | 59:18 60:7 | 62:15,15 64:4 | 96:12,13 98:4 |
| way $23: 630: 4$ | wide 10:11 45:3 | withdrawn 58:7 | 64:4 66:2 68:7 | 103:3,4 104:4 |
| 32:7 33:3 | 51:12 109:9 | 59:2 60:17 | Y | 117:5,6 |
| 34:19 44:21 | 110:21 | witness 118:12 |  | zip 47:9 |
| 61:3 71:9,17 | width 24:13 | wonder 49:15 | yard 14:2 18:12 | zone 29:4 31:17 |
| 80:15,22 81:2 | 91:9 110:22 | wondered 45:6 | 20:19 33:13 | 45:3 83:9 |
| 81:9 91:10 | 112:16 113:1 | wonderful 73:7 | 34:15 $36: 8$ | 90:16,16 |
| 92:9 106:7 | 114:1 | 79:12 111:11 | 41:1 46:21 | zoning 1:1 3:7 |
| 109:18 | Wiesner 114:14 | wondering | 49:12,13 66:10 | 3:12 12:17 |
| ways $33: 15$ | wife 17:8 62:12 | 52:14 | 113:13, 19 | 28:7 29:18 |
| we'll 6:9 13:13 | 63:13 86:10 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { w o o d }} 43: 15$ | yeah 6:2 12:7 | 69:13 78:7 |
| 25:11 44:3 | William 1:8 3:5 | wood-slat 80:19 | 13:3,3,18,18 | 91:7 98:19 |
| 55:3 57:18 | 5:4 17:4 38:4 | Woodbridge | 22:13 29:5 | 108:1 |
| 59:17 65:20 | 40:4 62:4 77:4 | 27:1 | 40:21 45:18 | Zoom 5:19 |
| 82:794:21 | 86:4 98:4 | Woodman 42:7 | 46: | 14:17 27:6 |
| 95:2 101:8,19 | 104:4 | words 44:22 | 47:10 48:18 | 44:5 57:3 |
| 115:16 | window 8:10 9:2 | 62:22 | 49:19 52:5 | 72:10 82:9 |
| we're 6:7 17:16 | 9:22 10:10,14 | work 15:10 | 62:9 67:2 | 94:12 101:10 |
| 19:17 20:21 | 11:18 15:20 | 22:20 23:1 | 74:19,21 107:4 | 115:5 |
| 21:9 22:6,17 | 22:18 40:22 | 36:10 42:20 | 108:16 |  |
| 24:10,22 40:22 | 41:16 42:14,14 | 43:19 49:3 | year 65:11 98:19 | 0 |
| 53:12 55:16,17 | 43:6,7,12 53:8 | 50:7 58:8 60:1 | 107:22 | 0.0587 .1 |
| 55:20 59:22 | 53:14,19 54:4 | 71:9 75:17 | years 23:8 26:9 | 0.5 90:16 |
| 60:1 62:19 | 55:5,16 57:19 | 79:10 84:15 | 48:10 63:13 | 0.86 90:16 |
| 79:16 80:18 | 58:3 111:17,18 | 91:15,19 93:19 | 73:6 75:1 | 0.91 91:5 |
| 86:13 107:15 | windows $8: 4,8,9$ | 95:13 99:4 | 86:12 88:4 | $0.98283: 2$ |
| 108:14,14 | 8:12,20 9:7,13 | 102:10 116:14 | 104:13,14,14 | 0.983 83:2 |
| 109:17 110:5 | 9:21 10:18 | working 106:4,5 | 104:19 106:1 | 021391 1:6 |
| we've 17:21,22 | 11:3 13:6,10 | works 49:4 64:9 | 107:6 108:6, 12 | 03/28/24 2:5,7 |
| 21:12 22:19 | 13:14 26:7,11 | 80:20 | yellow 87:6 | 04/13/23 2:8 |
| 56:4 73:6 $74 \cdot 2295 \cdot 10$ | 43:10,13,15,15 | worsening 83:18 | уер 6:1 10:2,5 | 08:28 117:18 |
| 74:22 95:10 weather 81:4 | 55:18,20 56:4 | worth 62:22 | $10: 12 \text { 14:10,12 }$ $19: 1 \text { 20:16 }$ | 1 |
| weather 81:4 weather-78:22 | 56:5,15 58:5 $65: 2$ | wouldn't 20:15 | 19:1 29:11 35:18 | $15: 536: 11$ 43:1 |
| weather-78:22 weather-prote... | 65:2 winds $44: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 551: 14 \\ & 720.20 \end{aligned}$ | 40:18 41:13 | 43:13 58:16 |
| 77:20 | wise 35:7 | 109:9 113:22 | 49:2,8 50:5,14 | 59:5 69:7 |
| webpage 4:2 | wish 14:16 27:5 | wrap 15:3 27:14 | 51:1 53:7 | 114:20 |
| Wednesday | 44:4 57:2 72:9 | 44:13 57:11 | 54:21 66:19 | 1,316 90:11 |
| 25:17 | 82:8 94:11 | 72:18 82:17 | 77:13 83:19 | 1.5' 45:3 |
| weird 74:9 | 115:4 | 94:20 101:17 | 94:8 96:3 | 10 2:16 86:12 |
| welcome 3:6 | wishes 5:7 40:14 | 115:13 | 112:12 114:7 | 88:4 104:6,13 |
| 37:15 | 77:6 101:9 | wraps 23:10 | Z | 104:13,13 |
| wells 111:18,18 | wishing 62:7 | writing 42:7 | Zade 102:13 | $\begin{array}{r} 106: 10116: 15 \\ \mathbf{1 0}^{\prime} 62: 15 \text { 64:4,4 } \end{array}$ |
| went 8:5 | 98:6 | written 32:11 | Zarya 1:10 40:4 | 64:5,5 66:2 |
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| 83:6 | 79:13 82:3 | 28 26:1,16 | 531 70:2 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10.3084: 12$ | 1A 114:19 | 28' 62:15 68:7 | 6 | 9 14:19 27:8 |
| 95:12 116:12 |  | 28-30 18:8 | 6 | 44:8 49:1 53:5 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 1 7 5 : 1 6}$ | 2 | 29 2:11 40:12 | 6 14:20 27:9 | 57:5 72:13 |
| 10.40 15:9 36:9 | 23:9 30:6 42:12 | 58:15 102:15 | 44:8 57:6 | 82:12 94:14 |
| 58:2 102:9 | 2'deep 52:4 | 113:16 | 72:13 80:20 | 101:12 104:14 |
| 100 6:22 | 2'x 45:10 |  | 82:12 94:15 | 114:14,20 |
| 100' 113:21 | 2.5' 52:4,6 | 3 | 101:12 115:8 | 115:2,7 |
| 104 2:16 | 20 100:12 | 3 2:14 64:5 86:6 | ${ }^{6} 10: 16$ | 9' 70:9 |
| $1184: 17$ 105:2 | 20' 62:15 | 3' 50:11,11 64:5 | 6:00 1:4 3:3 | 9'2 64:6 |
| 12 118:18 | 2016 17:9 | 66:2 | 6:01 5:2 | 90 2:15 77:18 |
| 13-90:11 | 2019 17:14 | 30 2:6 17:5 26:8 | 6:18 17:2 | 98:5,12 100:14 |
| 14' 64:22 | 202 2:5 5:6,20 | 36:11 | 6:45 38:2 | 102:13 |
| 14'-long 66:22 | 6:12,12,16 | 31 26:16 | 6:46 40:2 | 98 2:15 |
| 15' 62:15 64:4 | 2022 104:14,20 | 33 63:13 | 60 66:4 |  |
| 68:7 86:21 | 2023 3:9 100:12 | 35' 111:14 | 62 2:12 |  |
| 1626:21 114:17 | 105:2 | 38 2:8 3D $92 \cdot 10$ | 7 |  |
| 114:18 | 2024 1:3 3:6 | 3D 92:10 |  |  |
| 16-18 22:14 | 15:12 36:12 | 4 | 7' 10:11 7.5' 18:12 |  |
| 169 114:21 | 43:13 53:22 |  | 7.5' 18:12 |  |
| 17 2:6,14 27:1 | 58:16 59:5 | 4 83:8,10 | 7:13 62:2 |  |
| 86:5,9,11 87:6 | 75:20 84:17 | 4' 10:11,20,21 | 7:33 77:2 |  |
| 88:14 93:13,22 | 93:22 95:15 | 45:10 4'818.13 | 7:45 86:2 |  |
| 95:14 | 100:16 102:16 | 4'8 18:13 | 7:59 98:2 |  |
| 17.95 102:4 | 115:2 116:16 | 4'x 10:16 | 72 2:12 62:6 |  |
| 17.97 102:3 | 118:13 | 4'x6' 11:4 15:22 | 63:6,12,12,18 |  |
| 171 114:21 | 2026 118:18 | 4,756 108:15 | 63:19 64:19 |  |
| $175114: 22$ | 204 93:22 | $4.2215: 8$ | 66:21,22 71:15 |  |
| 179-181 114:16 | 204-206 114:13 | 4.33.b. $2102: 6$ | 72-72.575:19 |  |
| 18 92:15 114:10 | 210 114:13 | 4.5' 46:11 50:8 | 72.5 2:12 62:6 |  |
| 114:18 | 214 114:12 | 4.56.c. $1102: 7$ | 63:6,12,18,21 |  |
| $183114: 15$ | 217 90:13 | 4:2 71:7 | 65:9 66:1,21 |  |
| 1843 62:13 | 21st 118:13 | $402: 11$ | 73:16 |  |
| 71:14 | 22 3:14 36:12 | 41 108:16 | 72A 63:10,11 |  |
| $185114: 16$ | 75:20 100:16 | 41' 109:10 | 72:22 |  |
| $187114: 14$ | 226 110:4 | 110:21 114:1 | 77 2:13 |  |
| 1870 62:14 | 23 95:15 | 115:20 | 770 6:22 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1870s } 62: 1563: 6 \\ & 68: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{2 5} 1: 33: 615: 12 \\ 116: 16 \end{array}$ | 483 90:20 | 8 |  |
| 1873 71:11 | 25.2' 110:9 | 5 | 8.22.2.c 36:8 |  |
| 1880s 62:18 | 25'3 113:14 | 5 2:5,8 38:5 | 58:1 |  |
| 63:10 | 258049 6:12 | 53:22 | 8.22.3 75:14 |  |
| 1994:1 114:19 | $262: 13$ 77:5 | 5,000 108:15 | 84:12 95:8 |  |
| 1900 68:13 | 262879 62:6 | $\mathbf{5 . 1 3} 83: 484: 10$ | 116:10 $\mathbf{8 . 0 7 1 0 4 . 2}$ |  |
| 194 66:21 | 263591 104:6 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{5 . 2 1} 36: 7 \\ \mathbf{5 . 3 1} 75: 10 \\ 82: 21 \end{array}$ | 8:07 104:2 <br> 80' $72 \cdot 4$ |  |
| 1990 63:11 | 266 90:21 |  | $8311: 5$ |  |
| 1991 62:12 1997 78:12,17 | 27 42:7 27-29 26:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84:8 95:4 } \\ & 116: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 831 \text { 1:5 } \\ & 862: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1997 78:12,17 | 27-29 26:18 | 50' 109:9 | 86 2:14 |  |

