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            P R O C E E D I N G S
            * * * * *
    (6:00 p.m.)
    Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Carol Agate, Daniel
        Hidalgo Fernando, and Virginia Keesler
    JIM MONTEVERDE: The first cases tonight are all
    continued cases that were started previously and not
    resolved. So the first case to be heard this evening is BZA
    261068 -- 38-40, 48 and 54-56 Banks Street.
    Is there anyone with us this evening who wishes to
    speak about that case?
        Sarah, there you are.
        SARAH RHATIGAN: Hello.
        JIM MONTEVERDE: Hi.
        SARAH RHATIGAN: Nice to see you. Sarah Rhatigan,
        Trilogy Law. I am here representing the petitioner,
        Lubavitch of Cambridge, Inc. And thank you for hearing us
        this evening.
    JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
    SARAH RHATIGAN: With me today is our team who you
        will see on the screen here: Rabbi Zarchi, Elkie Zarchi and
        Jason Jewhurst.
    ```

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. Sarah, can I try and introduce this?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. That would be great. JIM MONTEVERDE: And just kind of -SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- summarize what we saw last time?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.

JIM MONTEVERDE: What we did, what's in front of us this evening that's different. And then I'll ask you to just confirm that I've got it straight.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Great. That would be great, thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. So -- because there's a lot of material. We met last on May 9. Your team presented their scheme for the proposed building renovations/additions/modifications.

We had -- we went through the public commentary; all the letters from -- I forget how many there were; 70 plus from folks either in support or in support (sic) and then from the neighborhood in their concerns.

In the end -- and I think two of the Board members
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at that time, kind of testing the waters had concerns, and we elected to -- we outlined several options on how we could proceed. And we elected to take a -- to do the continuance, tested until this evening.

Okay.
In our file -- and this is what \(I\) want to confirm I have correct -- there's no new documentation submitted, plans or supporting statements. Everything in the file is what was submitted for the previous, May 9 hearing. So nothing has changed there.

We do have a couple of pieces of correspondence from a few folks in support. I'm assuming those are new letters in support. And we have a letter from the Neighborhood Group stating their concerns, that are new from the last ones we saw.

That's kind of -- that's the broad summary of what we saw before, and what's new in terms of we did the continuance, sometimes we assume that there will be some change to either what's being proposed -- but there is none of this in front of us.

Okay. One other piece I'd like to mention, if you'll permit me, please. In rereading all the
documentation from the presentation on May 9, what I read with some interest -- I'll admit that it didn't strike me the last time, but it's the -- in what you submitted, Sarah, from Trilogy Law, in your correspondence dated May 1, this is all part of a record for the May 9 move.

And it attaches -- and I forget what the Exhibit Number is, but it has a Statement of Fact prepared by the law firm of Weil, Gotshal \& Manges -- sorry if I'm mangling that name, but -- out of New York.

And it basically -- and I think you -- I know you alluded to this as I reread the record, to -- and it's a federal regulation called, "The Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Person's Act" -- RLUIPA -- of 2020.

There's a statement that this firm prepared that basically says, "RLUIPA prohibits the government from implementing a Land Use Regulation that imposes a substantial burden on the religious exercise of a person, including a religious assembly or institution, unless the government carries its burden to show the regulation furthers a compelling government interest, and is the least restrictive means of doing so."

So am I correct, Sarah, that in the absence of any
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new submittal, new plans, new statement about either the variance and the variances for the addition to the building and the special permit as far as rearrangement of parking, that in the absence of any new information being submitted to be reviewed, are we basically here to discuss that particular ordinance? That particular federal regulation? SARAH RHATIGAN: My understanding from -- one, thank you for outlining so clearly what was a long hearing last time, May 9. Looking back at my notes, I was remembering it was a fascinating hearing, but also, we were all quite tired by the time we got to the point of your Board being able to deliberate.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And my takeaway from the hearing was that Board members wanted to understand the hardship sort of legality argument, maybe didn't quite appreciate the -- we call it, "RLUIPA." That's how I say it, that's the acronym for \(R-L-I-U-P-A--\) JIM MONTEVERDE: RLUIPA, yeah. SARAH RHATIGAN: -- the federal rights implications of the case. And that with some time and opportunity for us to
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come back to essentially summarize that and have a discussion, that we could -- that we could persuade this Board that we have a very good argument for a hardship, which I'm happy to describe to you.

And also, we didn't feel -- the petitioner's side, we didn't feel that because of all the testimony and the time that was spent with -- frankly with all of our supporters, which was wonderful, we didn't have the time to kind of explain, rebut the ways in which the neighborhood opposition we feel is mischaracterizing the project in such a way that we felt the Board had concerns where they shouldn't have concerns.

So we want a chance to just be able to respond to that as well this evening.

But -- but we also honestly would like to know, if you're willing to share, where Board members who may still feel uncomfortable, if you would be willing to share what issues you have that are most concerning. Then we can address those.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I think --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Is that --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- could you --
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SARAH RHATIGAN: -- is that helpful?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't want to bypass our
typical process. And again, the introduction was just trying to set the parameters for tonight's discussion.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And I was really -- what I was really getting to was whether if our discussion was really all about RLUIPA or whatever you want to call it?

And how it either transcends or has to work along with our Cambridge Zoning Ordinance, whether that in fact was the issue at hand in that if any of the public or Board members had any concerns about our typical issues for a variance, hardship, et cetera, that we also had to consider it in light of the requirements of RLUIPA. Because it tends to cast it in a slightly different light.

And it suggests, as I read what \(I\) just read from your supporting attorneys, there is some phraseology that has to be rather specific about if there are concerns; what are they? What is the compelling government interest, and is it the least restrictive means?

So I understand that's all part of the discussion, and I don't think we had discussed it in any detail last
time. But I wanted to give you the chance to make your presentation.

I guess I'm trying to suggest that we've seen all the plans, and unless any Board members or anyone needs to see anything from that, we don't need to go through that again.

I think you presented in several -- We have correspondence on May 1, we have correspondence I believe on May 8 that preceded that May 9 meeting that, or right in there, where you presented your case, basically. So we have all of that.

The record has all of the public testimony from the proponent last time about their opinion of the neighbors' commentary. But I'll let you take it away from here, and in the interest of time --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- I'm going to talk about ask folks to stay for the three-minute timeframe.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I don't need to speak in three minutes, can \(I\) have more than that, or you mean members of the public?

JIM MONTEVERDE: You can get five, but --

SARAH RHATIGAN: You want --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- you can't go on for a half-
hour.
SARAH RHATIGAN: You want me to speak like, like, um -- you know, an auctioneer? [Laughter]

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Laughter].
SARAH RHATIGAN: Because I've got, like, 10 pages
of notes. No, I -- in all seriousness, I appreciate your suggestion. And we were not planning on rehashing the plans.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: We obviously have them and are ready to respond --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- as we need to.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Let me take a stab at this.
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: If it's not out of place,
not to interrupt the attorney, there is -- I think -- Mr. Chairman, I think you omitted a very important piece of information unrelated to RLUIPA that you -- that I think colored last hearing, where there was a question that you
posed if this project indeed had the support of Historical Commission.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: And we did submit a new
letter from Charlie Sullivan --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: -- putting it --
JIM MONTEVERDE: And we have it. --
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: -- to rest.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I have it. Thank you. I've received that, and they've confirmed that they're -- what they submitted previously in that the existing buildings, they had -- well, this is on January 4, 2024 -- that the buildings in question were not preferably preserved in the context of the development project.

And by doing so, the Commission signified its approval of the plans submitted by the Harvard Chabad for partial demolition, relocation and new construction on the site.

So that was clarified.
Yes, you're correct. I admit it. That's the new piece of --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- info that we have. So Sarah, please.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Great. And thank you for reminding me of that, because I did forget.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SARAH RHATIGAN: So you have heard the testimony of -- between verbal testimony and letters of support from dozens of Chabad members who are either former or current people in the community who practice on Banks Street with the Zarchis.

And this project is a project for the people who currently attend. This is not an aspirational project, as the neighbors have described for some -- you know, hundreds above -- you know, above the current -- the current community.

But the current community is operating in circumstances that are really untenable in a long-term way. And I think you've also heard a lot of testimony about that.

So I don't want to harp on it, but what \(I\) do want to say is that the hardship here and the public interest in this project is really enormous. And really, it can't be
overstated.
The community is on Banks Street. It has been on Banks Street since 2000. It will continue to be on Banks Street.

And its location is both its home, where it's grown, but it's also -- if you heard the testimony of the people who attend, they've ordered their lives around where this community exists. They walk there for services on Fridays and Saturdays. It's where they bring their -- often their children to the preschool down the street. So its location in this neighborhood is central.

The Kerry Corner Neighborhood Association, as they've called themselves -- and I would note it's not, like, a recognized neighborhood organization or even a, as far as I know, an organized entity that has standing, but the folks who are signing this letter, they say, you know, "We're happy for you to stay, but you need to --quote, unquote-- "rightsize your project." Well, their rightsizing the project is just it's absolutely inadequate to what we're trying to do here.

Now, when we talk about -- you had concerns previously about some question about is there really
hardship? We're talking about that, but also what is this federal law, and what do these federal rights mean?

I am not a RLUIPA expert, obviously. I'm -that's not my area of practice. I understood it much better now, but \(I\) think the essential things that we want to bring to bear to this Board is that when you have discretion to grant a variance, in this case where the variance is really limited, it is limited to FAR only.

We are not exceeding all these other Dimensional Requirements for the area. The religious use and the religious needs of this community really do have to be taken with utmost seriousness.

And the other thing that's really surprising -and \(I\) was actually going to submit this in writing because there's some numbers here that \(I\) want to site to you all, but I had an internet who was helping me this summer and I was curious. We talked a lot about how there are churches all over the city of Cambridge. And they're often in residential neighborhoods -- usually Christian and Catholic churches, and that's the sort of the history of the community, but we were speaking on an anecdotal basis.

But I wanted to know what are -- what is the data?

So I contacted the City Assessor's Office, and they gave me access to -- they have a public portal, where you can run the numbers based on what the City has assessed for property ownership records for -- you know, across the city. And again, I will submit this in writing. I'm sorry that I wasn't -- I didn't have the staff to help me do this before, but \(I\) just want to give you some facts that are -- that I feel are -- should color your thinking about this project.

So in the city of Cambridge, there are 70 properties that are classified by the City Assessor as having a State Use Code of 960. And 960 is for churches, mosques, synagogues, temples, et cetera.

67 of those properties, which is 95.7 percent of those properties: if you look at the entity name, the entity name tells you that these are Christian and Catholic organizations.

Only 4.3 percent of the properties in the city of Cambridge are other than Christian and Catholic organizations. That's pretty outstanding. I mean, I don't know what all of our area -- you know, again, like, I -- I haven't -- I don't do this for a living, but that's a pretty
stark number.
Now, of that, there is one property that is listed
as the Jewish or owned by a Jewish organization. That doesn't include the Chabad, because strangely the Assessor has the Chabad as a university, probably because they're confused by the name.

But of those churches and mosques and temples -all of those, all of those 70, where are they located? Well, 97 percent of them are located in residential districts. So that's where they're located. That's the reality. And of course, this is because probably this is, you know, where churches and religious establishments should be, or have been historically.

And so, in this case where there's a newer religion, or a minority religion who is trying to establish a reasonable sized sanctuary in a residential district, they're butting up against the imposed FAR limitations for the district. That's what the law says.

We come to the Board of Zoning Appeal for a variance of that restriction in this case, where it's absolutely essential to the organization, it has an incredibly important public purpose, and where it can be
done in a manner that does not cause substantial detriment to the neighborhood.

So I wanted to just -- and I'm trying to keep the comments brief, I could go on ad nauseum, which I really don't want to -- one last comment about the data: If you look at the -- if you calculate FARs fort churches across the city, I was hard pressed to find any of those churches that fell into -- you know, 0.75 FARs. They were all in the mid 1s, 2 s , 3 s , which is what you experience.

I mean, those are probably even underestimates, because a lot of those churches have very tall spires, et cetera.

But there's something different about Religious Use than there is about residential use in Office and Retail and Restaurant Use when you look at it from an FAR or, you know, square footage perspective.

And what I mean by that is for the Chabad Center, when you look at their use -- the intensity of the use of this building, this future building which they're hoping to build, for much of the week, it is frankly a very quiet place.

There are -- Rabbi Zarchi can correct me on this,
but my recollection on the notes was approximately 20 staff members who are on the site, who are doing the work of, you know, supporting the organization; the Rabbi and his staff and other rabbis who come to visit and teaching, et cetera.

The times when there's higher occupancy is on Fridays starting at around 4 p.m., when people come to the site for the Chabad services, and on Saturdays, where they also have Chabad services throughout the day.

So there's an influx of people coming in, and then the place quiets out for the rest of the week.

So there's a lot of problems with, you know, the neighbors are working hard on their opposition. Like, their briefs are, you know, exhaustively written. But there's a real problem with the way they're trying to mischaracterize the building, the size -- the number of people that it's intended to house.

And I did want to ask, Jason, can I ask you to pipe in at this point? We just wanted to comment on the number of 890 occupants or something that was floated by this chart that's showing all the use of the space. It's really a misunderstanding and a mischaracterization of what's being built. And I think it's really important to
clarify that.
JASON JEWHURST: Sure. I can do that, and I'll do
it briefly. Thank you, Sarah.
You know, as the Architect of Record for the
Chabad Center for Jewish Life, it's my responsibility and the firm's responsibility to comply with state and city life safety codes and egress requirements like any other project.

For the Chabad, this building is designed to support the current size of congregants and programs that are happening weekly. The occupant capacity for the building is designed to support the 200 -- I'm sorry, the 200 to 250 occupants that Sarah just narrated in those times of the week.

These are not concurrent uses. They are regularly attended on a weekly basis or through the seasonal holidays with established religious programs and ceremonies and prayer service that are provided by the Chabad Center.

The building is designed to accommodate the required egress capacity to meet these nonconcurrent uses. So that means when you have a synagogue service, that does not also mean that you have a second floor having a Chabad dinner. Those are not concurrent uses.

The building egress system, the egress capacity, the stairs, the capacity for safety and life systems, is designed for that. We do not have multiuse, concurrent programs.

For example, when you have a seasonal holiday, there is a very specific ritual and ceremony that happens, and afterwards there is a reception and a ceremonial meal or gathering to break bread. They do not happen at the same time.

This is grossly misrepresented in the letters that have been provided by the opposition, and it does support that the Chabad is only welcome in its insufficient organi-the way it's set up today. And that the Jewish community should meet outside.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
JASON JEWHURST: So I just wanted to clarify that the building has been designed for the current uses in those capacities that I've mentioned. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. So if I heard you
correctly, in the nonconcurrent uses, it sounded like the maximum on site at any one time is between 200 and 250 occupants, is that correct?

JASON JEWHURST: Yes. When you count the staff that Sarah had mentioned, the capacity for the synagogue is less than that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thanks. Thank you.
Sarah, do you have anything else?
SARAH RHATIGAN: I just wanted to summarize briefly just a couple things on the allegations of a negative impact. I mean, I think the biggest takeaway message is that the impacts that the neighbors experience now are -- will be better, will be improved by this project, not to the contrary.

There's a lot of concern about noise in the neighborhood. Well, they're operating under a tent outside. They are gathering outside. Their noise is traveling because they don't have an interior space to gather.

Even concerns about light spillage is probably due to some of the lights that are out in the tent.

The pedestrian safety concerns, I'm not sure how that makes any sense. The people who come here are largely walking. I think almost every person who spoke and has written letters has talked about how they come to the Chabad on foot.

The trash concerns, there's going to be professional trash pickup; that's going to be an improvement. Light -- there's been changes to the plan to address concerns about light.

I don't want to speak for too long. I would ask that we be allowed an opportunity to respond if there's something that, you know, that comes up that's -- that really needs, like, a response or a correction.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you for your presentation.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the Board before I open it up to public commentary?

CAROL AGATE: Yes, Jim.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, Carol?
CAROL AGATE: I do have some questions. First, I'm curious about the idea of addressing RLUIPA. I will tell you that back in the day it came out of a peyote case, strangely enough.

But my understanding is that we are just considering zoning; that if whether or not RLUIPA applies here would have to be a decision of a court that this isn't
the kind of thing that we're equipped to deal with.
So I would not think that that would be an element of the hearing today.

Also, I'm concerned about these facts that have been given to us, because I've done some studying on this and come to very different conclusions and to get the facts about 95.7 percent of the churches that there are 70 churches? I came up with 11. I come up with four Jewish ones.

And if we're being given facts and figures that are relevant to a decision, \(I\) think we have to have that material beforehand and not just sited orally at the time of the decision.

So I don't know how this can be accomplished.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Let me take your first question, the first part of that, if \(I\) can. And I'll offer my unprofessional opinion, based on just what I've read from the second attorney that had written a statement of the facts. And that was presented, or it was available to us in the file the last time we met. And this is about RLUIPA, R-L-U-I-P-A.

I think the way it affects what we do: I think it
has no effect if we grant the variance; it has no effect.
If we don't grant the variance, then we should state clearly, decide what we usually do for our Cambridge Zoning Ordinance, we should discuss how there is a compelling government interest. I think all that means is we have to elaborate on what it is that's our concern; the reason why we would not accept it.

And then also have the discussion about is in fact that if we reject it, is that the least restrictive method of addressing that compelling government interest?

I think that's how it weaves together in the decision that we make as a Board.

I think it only comes to play if we make it -- if we don't approve the variance. And we just have to have a further discussion about some of those facts.

CAROL AGATE: But the problem is that a decision like that is something that requires some measure of studying. It's not just -- I mean, they have sited cases. JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.

CAROL AGATE: We have nothing but their analysis of what the case says. We're not equipped to study the cases. And they have to be decided; the decision has to be
made based on what the courts have said.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Let's hear any other questions and move along and see if your question gets answered, Carol. If not, we'll pick it up again when we have our discussion among the Board members, please.

Is that all right?
CAROL AGATE: Okay.
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: Unless we have -- should we
-- do we have --
CAROL AGATE: All right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Rabbi, please. --
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: -- speak to the -JIM MONTEVERDE: No. No. Stop. This is -- I'm asking for questions from members of the Board. Please. Desist until it's your opportunity to speak. Any other questions?

CAROL AGATE: No, I just --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

CAROL AGATE: I do have some questions for the proponents.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Go ahead.

CAROL AGATE: Do you have the square footage of the tent?

SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm sorry, I do not.
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: It's -- just to be clear about it, I could answer that. The tent is really irrelevant as I understand your question, because the tent is only relevant in December when there's snow.

But right now, we use most of the space beyond the tent, so that we have the square footage of the open space, which is about -- you know, it's 5,000 plus square feet that we take advantage of.

So for example last week at the holiday of Sukkot, when we had the services with the children, we used thousands of square feet, not just the 1,500 square feet of the tent, but we must have used 3,000 plus square feet to accommodate the children, parents, students, the various residents of the city to observe the holiday of -- the Biblical holiday of the Sukkot.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Carol, did that respond to your question? Did you get a sense then?

CAROL AGATE: Well, I'm curious where 5,000 square feet would fit. I figure on your new plans is the 4,300
square feet. And that's even a smaller area. So where is the place?

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: So as you know, there's three properties. Combined, they total I think about 12,000 square feet of land. So when I'm describing the thousands, it's -- the driveway is -- the tent is only in the driveway of 38 Banks Street. There's significantly more space in 48's property. 48 Banks Street is about 5,000 square feet.

The house is only -- as we'll see soon here -it's a tiny little house, \(I\) think it's red, in the back. Everything around it is open area. So that's what we're referring to when we say, "thousands of square feet of outdoor space."

CAROL AGATE: About how many people attend the Shabbat evening services?

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: On a typical Friday night, there's about 250 to 300 people. Most of them come for the dinner that follows the service. So we have, as Jason pointed out, under -- well under the 200 for services, but it reaches at capacity when we shift from the service to the dinner.

CAROL AGATE: So --

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: And the dinner can easily have 250 , maybe up to 300 people sometimes.

CAROL AGATE: Oh. I'm surprised. One of the people who spoke on your behalf -- somebody sent a letter or spoke, I can't remember which -- she said when there are 50 people for the worship services, it's too tight to even move.

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: Right. And that's why we're outdoors. So the indoor space currently, beyond 50, that's -- we've surpassed that years ago, and that's why we're exclusively in the outdoors now.

CAROL AGATE: So your services are also outside?
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: For the most part.
CAROL AGATE: Then it's not too crowded outside? She said if there are 50 people --

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: Well, out -- yeah. Outside it works, but outside it's very unsafe and very cold in December. And no one -- there's not a single religious community that is asked to do that.

CAROL AGATE: And where are the Saturday morning services?

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: Saturday morning services
happen both indoors and outdoors. The larger service, which involves young families, have been in the outdoors. That's actually the larger and more popular one. It's a good -- it shows a good side of the future for Jewish life in Cambridge.

And the smaller service for the students are indoors. They're typically sleeping on Saturday morning, the college kids. So it's a smaller service.

The families are a big number with their children, and they're in the outdoors.

CAROL AGATE: And I'd like to ask you, Sarah, I'm fascinated with the idea that you got this information from the City. All \(I\) could do is get the information from Google. And --

SARAH RHATIGAN: I -- yeah. I was -- I was also surprised, if you don't mind. I contacted the Assessor's Office thinking it was a Public Record Request and was immediately put in touch with the person who operates an Open Data portal that you can access. So basically, anybody who wants to can access that portal.

And again, I apologize that this wasn't submitted in advance. I actually literally while we were talking here
-- I did submit my letter, the chart, and the -- sort of the summary of data so that everybody will be able to see the -it gives the URL post for the link to the data site --

CAROL AGATE: Well, I --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- so that, you know --
CAROL AGATE: -- I can't --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- people can --
CAROL AGATE: -- imagine --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- take a look.
CAROL AGATE: -- I can't imagine that there are 70 churches in Cambridge.

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: Carol --

CAROL AGATE: Especially --
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: Carol, there's 11 houses of
worship --
CAROL AGATE: -- residential areas.
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: -- five --
CAROL AGATE: So are these just non-profit organizations?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CAROL AGATE: Is this tax rolls -- a list of --
SARAH RHATIGAN: There --

CAROL AGATE: -- those who --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah, so --
CAROL AGATE: -- don't pay taxes?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. The state -- the
State Use Code 960 is a, a tax-exempt --
CAROL AGATE: Aha.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- religious organization categorization, yes.

CAROL AGATE: Well, I guess it's not just religious organizations, then, it must be any tax-exempt organization? Various charities?

SARAH RHATIGAN: This -- these are the ones that are, that are religiously based. And that's -- I asked -that's what I asked the State Assessor -- I'm sorry, the City Assessor's Office. I specially told them what I was looking for.

And then you'll see the purpose --
CAROL AGATE: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- is listed as --
CAROL AGATE: Oh. So in other words, Catholic Charities would be included? Not so much Catholic Charities as an organization would be included.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes, but I'm pretty sure that the only type of -- they were only counted when it was listed as a Church Use.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol, do you have any other questions, please? I think -- as opposed to going back and forth on this?

CAROL AGATE: I'm also curious that you came up with only one Jewish one. I mean, the Google alone has three synagogues, and then a fourth one is in Belmont right over the town line. So I don't know how it came up with only one. It's -- just doesn't make any sense. Beth Shalom --

SARAH RHATIGAN: And I should --
CAROL AGATE: -- Eitz Chayim --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CAROL AGATE: -- Kahal B'raira, and Beth El.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Carol, thanks for sharing that info. That's informative.

CAROL AGATE: So --
JIM MONTEVERDE: It will help the Board.
CAROL AGATE: Anyway, I'm concerned about the --
being able to check the information.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any other
questions?
CAROL AGATE: Also, there are two Hillels that are also available, plus one other Chabad.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Does any other Board member have a question?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Can you just briefly discuss what the changes vis-à-vis the trash collection, garbage collection, and then also some of the neighbors were discussing -- I don't know, issues with traffic being blocked because of package delivers, et cetera?

How does the design affect any of these issues? I'm just curious.

ELKIE ZARCHI: So I think because I'm the one who has to make things work at Chabad, I could tell you.

So first of all, for example deliveries. Yeah. We get a million deliveries a week --

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: Extremely --
ELKIE ZARCHI: -- because -- sorry, we get a million deliveries a week because we don't have the storage for it. So absolutely, once we have storage, I could get
one delivery a week.
I will also point out that \(I\) will not resort to taking photos and submitting them, but our Chabad driveway was blocked just this week alone 12 times that I counted, not from our delivery vehicles.

So I think that, you know, when you have a big driveway and a big operation, a lot of things just get lumped on you.

Trash. We have a -- we order, right away we got a trash delivery to come now, and he keeps telling me, "When are you going to have a normal place for your trash, so I can actually come three times a week and just pick it up?" Right? Right now, we don't have that space.

So I think that that's, like a big part of it is that the complaints which are, you know, I hear them. Those complaints are actually going to go away when we have a valid space.

And we actually so blessed to have a community that wants to show up for community. It feels really, really hard and really impossible to tell someone, "I'm sorry, there's no space." Or to have to tell someone at a children's program: "Please watch your kids, they're going
to -- you know, I can't have them run into the street."
So all of their complaints really feel like
they'll go away when we actually have this space to accommodate the people who are showing up. We're not begging them to come; they're just showing up. So what a blessing to have that in Cambridge and in this community.

But I do think as the one who makes things work, give me more storage; \(I\) promise I'll have one delivery a week. Trust me, Hirschy will love that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel, does that give you a sense?

DANIEL MESSPLAY: Yes, great.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ok. Any other Board member have any question before \(I\) open it up to public comment? No? Not hearing anything else.

Before we open it to public comment, let me just -- I think I said this before, but let me say it again: And we have correspondence dated -- and this is really just to summarize what's been submitted so everyone who has submitted doesn't need to call in to say it again, but
they're certainly welcome to if they feel they need to do that.

We have -- dated June 17, from Alan Joslin -- Alan representing the Kerry Corner Neighborhood Association -with 33 signators to this. This is the neighborhood group stating -- this is an updated version of what they had submitted before -- in opposition and stating their concerns about a substantial detriment, really about the FAR.

And this is some of what Sarah, and I think the Rabbi spoke to and take exception to about the neighborhood public would experience detriment to the public good because of a very large FAR, which would impact pedestrian safety, parking and traffic, trash, noise, lighting, loss of trees and green space and more, as detailed in the previous letter to the BZA.

So that is in the file, and I think that is all we have as new correspondence. We do have all the correspondence previously that was for.

So with that, any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

OLIVIA RATAY: Elizabeth Foote?
ELIZABETH FOOTE: Hi, can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: We can.
ELIZABETH FOOTE: Great. Thank you. Hi. I am Elizabeth Foote, here with my husband, Erik Thorkildsen. We've lived at 27-29 Surrey Street, at the corner of Banks and Surrey, for over 20 years, and we're here as member representatives of the KCNA. We thank you for your time and your careful consideration of all of it.

We are here to respond to questions raised at the main hearing regarding our identification of the project's potential occupancy numbers.

We were dismayed with the petitioner's claim that our Jewish members are unfamiliar with the faith's traditions and its use of space, thus its occupancy capacity.

But rather than quibble here with unsubstantial claims, we ask you to turn to the facts. One simply needs to examine the International Building Code, the basis for the Massachusetts Zoning Code.

Therein the occupancy load calculations derived from the petitioner's plans demonstrate that the proposed facility can be legally occupied by as many as 894 people.

The details of the calculations can be found in Appendix 3 of our most recent submission to the Board. Will this happen? Please consider the following:

First, the petitioner has already held events on their property and in our streets, requiring the closure of the street.

They have complained in the Jewish Journal and even on their own website the number attending was, "over 1,000 people." In the last BZA hearing, they announced too that their membership was already well over 1,000.

Second, the petitioner already housed larger scale events in university space offsite because their current onsite facilities do not offer the capacity they desire for these events. However, the proposed facility growth finally allows the much larger activities to move to Banks Street.

And finally, in our meeting with the petitioner last year, the architect noted how the design's flexibility and size of the new facility will not only handle current needs but will also vastly increase the ability to host a much broader array of programming activities throughout the week.

While this petitioner may now promise to use the space in one way, the promise cannot assure that it will not be used with greater capacity or frequency by themselves or by future leaders of this community, or whoever may eventually own the property.

Therefore, we kindly request that the Board deny the variance based upon the proposed ability over [unclear] and increase in occupancy capacity. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: Adam Sherwin?
ADAM SHERWIN: Good evening. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can. Go ahead.
ADAM SHERWIN: Members of the Board, this is
Attorney Adam Sherwin. I was asked to speak for the Kerry Corner Association; I spoke at the last meeting as well.

I'm a real estate litigation attorney. I'm also a
member of the City of Malden's Zoning Board as well, so I understand much of the issues that's going on today.

I wanted to briefly talk about RLUIPA, which the other side has brought up. RLUIPA, again, is not something for you to consider. I say this respectfully, but you are not a court. You are not judges; you are not -- some of you are not lawyers.

It's not your role to determine what substantial burden is or a compelling government interest; that's an issue for a court to decide. That's something that requires extensive research, testimony, and evidence. It's not something for a Zoning Board of to consider. Your job is to take the issues as presented and decide it based on the variance and special permit standard.

The petitioner, if they're dissatisfied, and they think it's a violation of the law, they have a right to go the court. They have a right to file their own action and ask the court to decide that, where a Judge makes that decision.

So I would submit to the Board respectfully, don't even get involved in that. It's not your consideration.

I raised that point at the last meeting. I
reviewed the memo closely. I haven't seen anywhere where that memo states that you can make that determination. I would submit to you that's a pretty tacit admission that you don't have that authority; you're not a court, you don't get to determine the constitutionality of a local ordinance.

What I think is the most surprising about this petition is at the last meeting you heard 30 local members -- 30 neighbors opposing this project. The Board decided to continue this for about a month.

In that month's time, to the best of my knowledge, the petitioner has not reached out to any of the 30 members or myself about these concerns.

I would think -- and I've been in the petitioner's petition where a large number of people are opposing a project -- the first thing \(I\) would be doing would be reaching out to my opposition and speaking with them and opening a dialogue. That has not happened.

And I'd ask the Board to really take that into consideration.

This doesn't seem like a group that's interested in being a good neighbor. It seems like a group that's trying to ram through a project, with every one of the
neighbors opposed to it. And that's really not the way this should work in light of the gross request and request for, you know, really extreme zoning relief.

Thank you so much for your time. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in. OLIVIA RATAY: Thomas Serwold?

THOMAS SERWOLD: Hi. I'm Tom Serwold. And I'm a member -- I'm a resident of 30 Banks Street since 2009 and a member representative of the KCNA.

First, I want to express my deep appreciation for the BZA and for its careful consideration of the Banks Street Neighborhood Project.

And I'd like to speak to one of the petitioner's claims of hardship regarding the CZO requirement to combine contiguous properties held under common ownership.

The petitioner claims as a hardship the Zoning Requirement that for Zoning evaluation the petitioner must merge their multiple commonly owned, congruent properties into one. The requirement to merge this properties is based on Massachusetts Chapter 40A Section 6 in the case of Preston v. Board of Appeals in 2001.

The petitioner suggests that without such a
requirement, each of their contiguous parcels less than 5,000 square feet containing a nonconforming structure built for two-family use would be entitled to increase their GFA FAR significantly with a special permit under CZO Section 8. And as such, they would like to avoid the more stringent variance process, which they currently face.

Very simply, zoning regulations do not constitute a legal hardship. The CZO very clearly defines what deems acceptable hardships, and zoning laws are not hardships.

Even if the petitioner was able to seek a special permit and use Section 8 as they desired, they'd still have to satisfy the other requirements of that section, which include having to convince the BZA that, "The alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure of the neighborhood."

And that "The alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43" which has several additional requirements attached to it regarding the impacts of the project on traffic, the neighboring properties, the creation of nuisances and hazards, and the derogation and purpose of the Ordinance itself, as well as the impact on the Cambridge Urban Design objectives.

And the petitioner wouldn't be able to satisfy any of those requirements, probably.

So in summary, the requirement to apply for a variance rather than special hardship doesn't constitute a legal hardship, as defined by the CZO, and should be excluded from this hearing.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Molly Rothenberg?
MOLLY ROTHENBERG: Hello. Hi. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: We can.
MOLLY ROTHENBERG: Hi. I'm going to read my statement. That'll definitely take under three minutes.

My name is Molly Rothenberg. I live at 649 Green Street. I'm speaking in support of the petitioners in Harvard Chabad.

I also want to kind of reiterate that despite any claims to the contrary, the Kerry Corner Neighborhood Association does not speak for all nearby residences, nor members of the large neighborhood.

While I now live with my husband and two young children at 649 Green Street, I live in what was originally
my parents' residence and childhood home until we purchased the property from them in 2023.

So as a longtime resident of 30 years of this neighborhood and having had the perspective across multiple generations of family life, including being a young parent, I feel I can confidently speak to navigating community life in this neighborhood.

What I can most speak to here is my objection to KCNA's claims that such a project represents substantial detriment to the public good. Rather, we feel that this institution and what it offers to the neighborhood is fully in the best interest of our community.

To be frank, the only way this institution is out of step with the residential life of this city neighborhood is that resources like it are too few and far between.

We regularly walk to programming offered by Harvard Chabad for families, and I can think of two other families just on our short walk of Green Street who do as well, that also have young children.

In a segmented city where much is either individual residences or student housing and commercial, a religious and community gathering space, rather than
changing the feel of the neighborhood, is vital to the character of the community.

Further, right now it especially feels important to support Jewish places of gathering and community.

I feel I should qualify this by saying that my family is an interfaith family and mostly secular, and we have always felt welcomed by Chabad despite that.

So I hope you will consider my viewpoint as a neighborhood resident who fully supports this. And I thank you for your time.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: Berl Hartman?
BERL HARTMAN: Got it. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Go right ahead.
BERL HARTMAN: Thank you. my name is Berl
Hartman. My husband and I live at 28 Banks Street, two doors down from Chabad, and I'm a member representative of KCNA. Thank you very, very much for your time and attention to this issue.

We would like to again go back to the RLUIPA matter and point out that the petitioner is apparently trying to cloud the hearing to a threat to seek entitlement
to -- quote -- heightened protection from the federal
government through the Religious Land Use and Person -- and Institutionalized Persons Act.

Obviously, that would be a matter for a court, as our previous speaker mentioned and not the BZA. Nonetheless, because of the facts on the ground, it is unlikely to ever be heard by a court.

The petitioner's co-council would have you believe that denial of the application to expand their building would -- quote -- substantial burden Chabad's religious practice, but they have been performing religious practice on this property for many, many years.

The petitioner's co-counsel makes mention of a series of cases where RLUIPA has been used to prevent, to overturn a city's decision. However, looking closely at these cases, they all rely on -- quote -- individualized assessments; in other words, where a town has treated a petitioner's property uniquely and differently from comparable ones. This case does not fall into that category.

RLUIPA is not a blanket exception from Zoning
Laws. According to the Justice Department, if city or town
decisions are based solely on a mechanical objective basis, with no discretion on the part of the decision-makers, they do not meet the criteria for individual assessment. Here, there can be nothing more objective than observing and rejecting the overage of the FAR.

Moreover, the City of Cambridge through their Zoning Ordinances already provides the protection that RLUIPA requires. They require, specifically:

One, religious assembly or institution is fully treated on equal terms with nonreligious assembly or institution.

Two, there is no discrimination against any assembly on the basis of religion or religious denomination.

Three, there is no land use regulation that totally excludes or unreasonably limits religious assemblies or structures on the petition's property.

In summary, the petitioner, or any other potential owner of the property, religious or not, must still abide by the Dimensional Regulations of Section 5 of the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance. Thank you very much.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: Sara Wolfensohn?

SARA WOLFENSOHN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate that you're letting me speak. I'm very much in support of this project. I've been a member of this community for 18 years. I live at 64 Garfield Street, and I go there on Shabbat, I go there for holidays.

And I just wanted to address -- I've only been on for about six minutes, so I apologize I'm only responding to a couple of things that I've heard.

In terms of it being a building for the future, \(I\) hate to say it, but that's actually just rubbish. They need the building now, and that's really what they're thinking of. They're not trying to take over the world.

They just simply want to have a building where Jews from the neighborhood can come and enjoy meals on Friday and Saturday and have some programming.

And it's preposterous if you ever go there on a Friday to eat in winter outside and -- you know, it's -it's not good, actually, for the community in terms of the lights, in terms of the garbage, in terms of any number of things.

And in response to the neighbors saying they didn't -- the lawyers saying that neighbors actually they
haven't reached out to them, I find that really to be an untruth.

Because I know from having spoken with the Zarchis that if there's a complaint about garbage or this or that, they pray for things privately and they address issues as they arise.

But I would encourage you to see a Friday night during the school year or talk to people who go there to hear about the hardship of being outside in winter in a tent with one or two heaters. I mean, it's a crazy, crazy setup.

And in terms of more programming during the week, you know, you're talking about Harvard students. It's not like they can go there Monday through Friday; they're working incredibly hard, and the same goes for their wonderful community members.

And by the way, I don't know how much you know about Judaism, but there are many different types of Jews, you know, who are religious, who are intermarried, who are observant -- and there are all different types of observant Jews -- and this is the only organization in Cambridge that in fact is welcoming to all.

And so, it embraces Jews from across the spectrum
in a way that no other organization does. I mean, so let me just quickly see what else. I think it's in the best interest of the community for them to have this community center.

I'd -- let me just see what else I needed to say. JIM MONTEVERDE: Sarah, I'm going to ask you to wrap up night 30 seconds, please.

SARA WOLFENSOHN: Okay. In conclusion, then, thank you very, very much. It's a spectacular place. It's a home for Jews across Cambridge, and it's really not a home when you're in a tent. So \(I\) would encourage you to really think of all the people in Cambridge. Thank you very much.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in. OLIVIA RATAY: Emily Anne Jacobstein?

EMILY ANNE JACOBSTEIN: Hello. Can you hear me? JIM MONTEVERDE: We can. Go right ahead. EMILY ANNE JACOBSTEIN: Wonderful. My name is Emily Anne Jacobstein. My husband, my son and I live on Chauncy Lane. We've lived in Cambridge for over a decade and we're very much in support of what Chabad is trying to do here.

We regularly attend Tot Chabad with our 4-yearold. And this is truly the only religious space that our family can walk to, particularly with a weekly early childhood program for Jewish children. I've looked. This is the only option we have.

And the current situation really does pose a significant hardship to the Jewish community. And we do not have a space right now that is acceptable. We are not increasing the size of our community; we're not trying to build for the future; we're building for today.

And the neighbors consistently -- they just don't want us, and it comes off as straight-up NIMBYism. A few years ago, when the Rabbi and his wife wanted to make the neighborhood preschool permanent in the midst of an ongoing early childhood education crisis, we first heard that there were too many cars.

When we proved that the extreme majority of families walk -- I walk 25 minutes to take my son there instead of driving because \(I\) know the parking challenges -they then said that there were too many parents and children walking on the sidewalk. God forbid in a neighborhood like ours that we have children walking on the sidewalk safely.

Now we're in a similar situation. We hear concerns of rodents. With the future building, we'll be able to eat inside, reducing outside waste. We'll have a large enough dishwashing situation to allow for reusable plates and have a better plan for trash removal; there will be less trash.

We hear complaints that Chabad is open 24 hours. Any religious institution with parsonage likely claims to be open 24 hours in case a member of the community has an urgent need.

We have one holiday a year celebrating the Torah and resulting in an overnight Torah study. It is not common for there to be all-night ragers at Chabad.

And, you know, we hear residents say that we have plenty of space. My 4-year-old is out there in a snowsuit in freezing weather, and y'all think that's acceptable. That is just offensive. I mean, does Cambridge not care about our children?

This is absolutely ridiculous. I understand that many people on the other side have said they're Jewish; that doesn't matter. I've seen people of many different faiths fight within another because they may not like a
construction project. I mean, we should all feel welcome in Cambridge. Please support this.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jacqueline Jowett?
JACQUELINE JOWETT: Hello there, can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: We can. Go right ahead.
JACQUELINE JOWETT: Hi there. My name is
Jacqueline Jowett. I live at 49 Howard Street with my husband Nate. We've got five young kids.

We are members of the St. Paul Parish. We walk by Banks Street every Sunday and we also, you know, pop into the St. Paul Parish into the church more than just on Sundays. We are welcome to walk in. We've got brunch, we've got dinners, we have movie night, we have plenty of space at our church for family events.

It really saddens me that the Jewish community is struggling for more space, and for a meal during winter that they're eating outside under a tent, while we're -- while we have a warm space just down the street at a Catholic church. So I really hope that the community steps up and supports the Chabad community. Thank you.

OLIVIA RATAY: Deborah Epstein?
DEBORAH EPSTEIN: Hi, can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Go right ahead.
DEBORAH EPSTEIN: Hi. I'm Deborah Epstein, a direct abutter at 36 Banks Street. I'm Jewish and a representing member of the KCNA. We want to express our deep appreciation to the BZA for its careful consideration of the Banks Street Neighborhood Project.

We were surprised and saddened by the comments of the petitioner's lead representative, Rabbi Hirschy Zarchi, at the end of the last meeting. His comments, which are available for review in a recording of the meeting, were surprising, hurtful, and untrue.

To be clear, KCNA members have lived alongside and supported the petitioner for many years, decades. All of us many of us are Jewish, although we were told that we are not, deeply value all of our neighbors and we are especially comfortable that Harvard Chabad at its current scale is part of our community.

Last December, within a week of learning of the proposed expansion, we reached out to meet with the petitioner to learn more. We were surprised at what we saw.

On December 22, we followed up with a written memo, recommendations for rightsizing to serve the greatest public interest, which was included in KCNA's rebuttals.

This memo balanced what we heard as the petitioner's needs with neighborhood concerns so as to encourage Chabad to remain and thrive in the neighborhood. The construction proposed in the memo brings the activity of the tent indoors, adds interior space, and provides outdoor space for religious gatherings in a location that would have less negative impact than a rooftop setting.

This too would require a variance, but one we would likely support. We have never varied our recommendations from that document, despite the petitioner's claim otherwise.

Unfortunately, following their receipt of that memo, the petitioner's response was firm, stating there will be no discussion of reducing square footage. This all-ornothing approach saddens us, especially since the petitioner has successfully used the property for two decades, and we have been open to negotiation around their current plans for expansion.

While the petitioner considers this a moral issue, we consider it a dimensional issue, a simple case of FAR overreach. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: M.M. Zarchi?
MENDEL ZARCHI: Hi. This is Mendel Zarchi. I serve -- I work in Harvard Chabad, and I work with the graduate students. I'm not a lawyer, so I'm obviously not going to talk about RLUIPA. I'm not an expert on BZA, so -and the mandate.

But I've been quiet and listening, mostly because I didn't think it would be useful for you guys to hear my opinion, because you probably know what my opinion is.

But I can't help but speak up when I hear a constant juxtaposition of the neighbor -- the entire neighborhood and the neighbors are against a project by a rabbi who's just trying to ramp their project.

I mean, I was born in Cambridge. I spent my entire childhood in Cambridge. I grew up walking to the park and then talking and getting a drink from Louie.

And we are talking about a place where you just heard where hundreds of Jews -- parents, students -- come
and to find their home, their religious home, and their community.

So when you tell -- when we talk about a letter of 33 neighbors that are signing on it, we -- it's important to juxtapose that to the hundreds of parents, families and students that are supporting this expansion, and that need Chabad as their home and right now currently are spending their entire religious experience and family experience outside in the cold.

So I find it -- I found it very offensive, the comments of everyone who's against it when we're talking about a situation where -- we're talking about hundreds of people that are sitting outside that are part of this community.

They may not -- they may not have homes, they may rent, they may only live here for three or four years, they may be starting families, but they are very much part of the Cambridge community, and Chabad is the place where they find home and meaning.

And to ignore the fact that this is hundreds of people that are -- that need this -- that need this space, I feel like it's just worth kind of mentioning.

Thank you for your time.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Sarah Gropel?
SARAH GROPEL: Hi. Sorry, I usually have something prepared to say, but I was at the airport, and I was listening to this, and I was just like, "Oh my gosh, I need to call in." I have two things to say.

The first was at the last hearing I was very offended, and this is kind of what Mendel was saying, by what Carol said about how she's never seen such unanimous support amongst the neighbors after you heard from me, a neighbor, and multiple neighbors -- neighbors that live on Athens Street, neighbors that live on Green Street; even neighbors that live on Banks Street who support this.

And it felt like that she took the whole entire conversation and reduced it to one sentence and ignored all of the neighbors who really, really could benefit from this plan. And that was offensive. And I'm happy that there's record. And I hope that you guys will go through the record from last call and see how many people called in to actually support that.

And the second thing is \(I\) just want to respond to
what Deborah Epstein said. And to me as someone who has been on all of these calls for the preschool, for the building, like it's a blatant lie that they've been supportive neighbors.

They call in every hearing -- it doesn't matter what angle it is -- to stop the school being built; that had nothing to do with the big building, the Harvard Chabad building. They call about that.

They call about what they see as, like, potential safety risks for crossing. Like, I just don't get it. Like, there's record. You can't just call and lie.

Anyways, I hope you guys will please approve this building. This is so crazy. Everything will be better if we could just get inside a building. Please.

Goodbye. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: Nana?
NANA ROSKIN: Hi, can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. can you tell us your name, please, and address?

NANA ROSKIN: Hi. My name is Nana Roskin. I live at 245 Hampshire Street in Cambridge, and I've lived in this
city for my entire life.
Harvard Chabad is the only place of worship and Jewish community that my family attends, which has become increasingly important, as we see the terrible rise of antiSemitism on our own streets.

I want to recognize how hurtful and painful it has been to me and our entire community to see such strong opposition to the only Jewish community available to us. I strongly urge the Board to understand the importance of this project and how not allowing this community to build a safe, sustainable, indoor home is denying the residents of Cambridge a Jewish house of worship that does not otherwise exist.

I also want to understand what the opposition is intending when they say they welcome us at our current size, but the trash we accumulate isn't okay, the light we use in our outdoor tent is too bright, and building a space that would address these problems is too disruptive.

I'd like to address three quick things that came on from other people's testimonies. It's true that 1,000 people gathered with the great help of the Cambridge Police Department after October 7, which was the deadliest attack
of Jewish people -- on the Jewish people -- since the Holocaust. So I don't think that's a typical or normal gathering that we would like to be hosting on Banks Street. And lastly, I want to add that I live on top of a restaurant. I live across the street from a church. Occasionally, there is music, there is loud noise, there are church bells ringing. I mean, that's part of living in a city. If I wanted to live in a quiet neighborhood without homes or any institutions miles away from my home, the suburbs are available.

You're complaining about normal city noise and community that is going to exist wherever you go.

Thank you. Thank you for your time.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Albert Lamb?
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Albert, are you with us? Can you unmute yourself if you'd like to speak?

ALBERT LAMB: Who were you asking for?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Albert Lamb. Or someone calling from that phone number.
[Pause]

ALBERT LAMB: This is Albert, this is Rick Lamb. Is that who you've called?

OLIVIA RATAY: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

RICK AND NANCY LAMB: Okay. It's Rick and Nancy Lamb. We're residents of the Kerry Corner Neighborhood at 21 Graham Street. We've been there for 50 years.

We look to the Zoning Board's obligation to approve submittals that conform to the existing character of the neighborhood in terms of the rhythm of a residential streetscape affected by FAR, as defined by Zoning, associated to levels of activities and beneficial landscapes, not to a petitioner's emotion or programmatic needs and politics.

The petitioner has historically exhibited an history of tree, shrub, and ground cover removal in order to create greater areas for congregating and parking. Their proposed building size, significantly larger than allowed in a C-1 residential zoning, would dramatically limit the opportunities of the petitioner to reverse this trend.

Many residents in the neighborhood nurture gardens and tree canopies, to the mutual benefit of all. The City
of Cambridge is actively adding street trees within the neighborhood. Conspicuously, the petitioner has not participated on any of their properties.

Proposed green roof will benefit only the petitioner and will do little to abate the noise from rooftop activities.

The Kerry Corner Neighborhood looks to the Zoning Board to provide guardrails against the petitioner's nonresidential project, one with a program that is simply too large for the parcel.

The requested increase of FAR presents a project that is simply too large and out of scale with the residential character of the neighborhood and doesn't contribute to the relief with the existing domestic landscape -- trees, shrubs, vines, and perennials.

The proposed expanse of parking, of pavement and building covering nearly all the property would be an unfortunate anomaly and detriment to the historic Kerry Corner Neighborhood.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Lily Shen?

LILY SHEN: Hello? Can you guys hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can. Go right ahead.
LILY SHEN: Oh, hi. So I'm Lily Shen, a resident of 23 Banks Street, and a member representative of KCNA. We want to express our deep appreciation to the BZA for its very careful consideration of the Banks Street Neighborhood Project. Thank you very much for hearing us out.

Lastly, KCNA is sympathetic to the community members who have called in to support the petitioner and to the petitioner. KCNA treasures and has supported the diversity of our community, and especially has appreciated the Chabad community's religious home on Banks Street for over 20 plus years.

As the BZA Board and the petitioner are aware from the last hearing, and from this time, a significant proportion of the KCNA community are of Jewish faith. KCNA wants to see Chabad community thrive, but with a sensitive balance between the petitioner's interest and the residents' concerns.

We seek development of a project with a reasonable scale of building capacity and activities comparable to its current operation for 250 congregants, and not the 894-
person capacity that the FAR variance would allow, because Banks Street has a unique petite Zoning VAR (sic) of only 0.75 , much smaller than many other neighborhoods in Cambridge.

Unfortunately, since the last BZA meeting on May 9, when Board members shared similar concerns to downsize the project to address neighborhood disturbance, we have not heard from, nor have we seen any changes in the current project for the petitioner to reflect such requests.

KCNA believes it is possible to achieve a win-win situation. 20 plus years ago, Harvard was planning a 12story development in the center of KCNA.

Fortunately, Harvard University successfully worked with KCNA to redistribute the program area into a smaller, separate dormitory on Cowperthwaite Street alongside structures of such scale, while building graduate housing on Grant and Banks Street in multiple smaller, new, and existing buildings that matched in size and used those of the residential neighborhood, and tastefully integrated off-street parking.

We had hoped that the petitioner would be as willing to work directly with KCNA.

In summary, we urge the honorable members of the BZA and the petitioner to note that the allowed FAR of Banks Street is uniquely only 0.75 , where most other districts in Cambridge have significantly higher FAR in the range of 1.25 to 4.0. Thus, we ask --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Lily?
LILY SHEN: -- community -- yeah?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Lily, I need you to wrap up.
LILY SHEN: Okay. To seek actual negotiation balance with the BZA and the KCNA on development that acknowledges this uniqueness and its value to all parties. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Joan Wing?
JOAN WING: Yes. Hello. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can. Go ahead.
JOAN WING: Okay. My name is Joan Weinfeld Wing, and I'm at 703 Green Street. and I'm one of several Jewish members of the KCNA.

My husband and I have just built a home on the land directly behind the Chabad property, and also my children and grandchildren have lived on Banks Street for
over eight years, and I've spent much time on Banks Street. I'm very worried and concerned how this proposed oversized Chabad building would negatively impact my home and the Kerry Corner Neighborhood.

I want to stress emphatically that this has absolutely nothing to do with antisemitism, as some people have suggested. Many in the neighborhood are Jewish, and the Kerry Corner Neighborhood has welcomed and supported Chabad for over 25 years.

There's been -- I've witnessed a very friendly, neighborly relationship with Chabad just up until this project has been publicized.

Our objections are only about the massive size of the proposed new structure and the occupancy capacity; how this will adversely affect our neighborhood.

And we are very much in support of a smaller building that will continue the wonderful program that Chabad does, you know, enable the neighborhood to have and the community to have.

We would be opposed to this massive structure no matter who was supporting it -- any religion or any institution, and as Lily has just said, in 2002, Harvard

University proposed a massive structure on Banks Street and the KCNA worked with Harvard and Harvard worked with the KCNA, and there was a positive outcome.

The other thing that concerns me in the special permit which hasn't been mentioned yet, but the access for parking supposedly two cars that would make it necessary to open up Green Street, the street I live on, which has always been a dead-end street with a fence running along the end.

The special permit would open the street up and once this is done, even though they're saying now this is only to park two cars, I don't see what would prevent people from using this access to pick up trash and make deliveries. And I think this would be very detrimental to the quality of life on Green Street.

Yet in earlier discussions with the architects, they were proposing to do just that, and they referred to this as the "Green Street Throughway" which was very disturbing to those of us -- many of us who live on Green Street.

Finally, I also want to say that at the end of the May 9 hearing, I understood that Chabad was asked to make their project smaller, and to address neighbors'
overwhelming objections. Chabad has done nothing, I think, to make the project smaller and has not reached out to any of the neighbors.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ms. Joan, I need you to wrap up, please.

JOAN WING: Yes, I'm done. Thank you so much. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your time. OLIVIA RATAY: Michael Wiggins?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Okay. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board. I represent the abutters on both sides; Joan Wing and her husband, who just talked, and also Pamela Toulopoulos and her brother, who own the property with 20 tenants across the way.

I spoke at some length before about the fact that there is no legal access, this is a private way, and I reiterate that today, but I also want to point out there was new material put into the file that hadn't been mentioned before, in which the petitioner tried to claim that it's a public way and put in some sort of a copy of a block map. We have exhaustively visited this issue with the

City Engineer. They've looked through all the records. There was at one point a proposal back in 1906 to make it a public way, but that did not ripen into a taking of the private way, and there never has -- it's never become a public way. It's just very clear.

And so, I wanted to sort of dispel that notion right up front.

And also, I just want to -- you know, even if you were to find that somehow, they could get legal access, and I don't know how, this really would be an overwhelming burden. In the petitioner's plans, they include trash receptacles right on the border of our private way.

And it's -- I would ask you to just be very circumspect about -- or to be suspicious about the notion that two cars parked in tandem would have to -- that would have to back up and down this way every time, would be only -- the only burden on that street.

I also uploaded for your consideration a photo showing how difficult that street is to turn around in. There's a picture that \(I\) uploaded showing a small subcompact, which could not turn around in that street without going up on the curb.

So in sum, there would be an enormous impact. There is no legal access, and it is not a public way in the first place.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Pam Toulopoulos?
PAM TOULOPOULOS: Yes. I'm here. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Go right ahead.
PAM TOULOPOULOS: Thank you. My name is Pam Toulopoulos. For the past 60 years, our family has owned 694, 698, and 702 Green Street, the 20 -unit property Mike just alluded to located on Green Street Extension, the deadend section of Green Street, which is a private way.

Last week one of our own tenants contacted us to say they had tried to secure a permit from the City of Cambridge to reserve space in front of our building in order to park their small moving van.

The City would not issue a permit to reserve space for them for the van because the City told them that Green Street Extension is a private way.

We just wanted to share that anecdote with the Board. Thank you for your time.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: James Williamson?
JAMES WILLIAMSON: Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can. Go ahead, James.
JAMES WILLIAMSON: Thank you. Appreciate it. I really wasn't planning to comment, but I've been waiting for another case. I'm listening very carefully. I am very familiar with this neighborhood. I have friends who live right there.

I went to a party -- an afterparty once on Grant Street with Alan Ginsburg many years ago, and actually I'm a direct descendant of Mathers, and there is a Mather house in the -- right nearby.

But that doesn't really matter, I -- it seems to me that this is a pretty straightforward case as I'm listening to it, and maybe the perspective of somebody who's sort of not embroiled in the personalities and the clash, maybe my perspective might be somewhat helpful, which is -it seems like it's a pretty straightforward case.

People in the neighborhood who actually live there -- not all of them, never everybody, just like it's not all Jews who believe the same thing or whatever -- people in the
neighborhood are quite uncomfortable with a proposed expansion that they believe is oversized and will have negative impacts on -- to the public technically, but to people in the neighborhood, and they're concerned about protecting the scale of the neighborhood.

I was involved in the fight -- one of the fights years ago it that very neighborhood that \(I\) think was alluded to, or maybe even an earlier one. I had a niece who lived in Peabody Terrace.

I just would ask the Board to think just about the objective dimension of this. Is it too much? Is it -- does it not warrant the granting of a variance, the kind of expansion that the proponents are requesting?

And look, everybody wants more. We all want more. I'd like to have a bigger apartment, you know? But just because you want more and you can -- you know, obviously everybody has -- Harvard is always saying how much more they need. But that doesn't necessarily mean you get a variance.

And I just -- I'm quite sympathetic to some of the complaints, and the worries of people who live in the immediate neighborhood.

Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you, James.
OLIVIA RATAY: Philip Wellons?
PHILIP WELLONS: Yeah. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can. Go right ahead.
PHILIP WELLONS: Yeah. Okay, thanks. I'm Philip Wellons. I live at 651 Green Street. I've been here for 46 years. We're four houses in from Putnam, so we're very close to the project.

I oppose the petition for the many good reasons that the -- in the Kerry Corner Neighborhood Association's I found impressive reply.

I'll only give a few, but I -- and I want to qualify this -- we will feel the impact of the very big increase that they've described in the number of people who can use the size.

To say that they don't plan it today is kind of irrelevant. Because the changes will permit it down the road. And as we know, down the road is what really counts.

The proposed curb cut that you just heard described would radically change pedestrian and possibly car traffic down little Green and perhaps beyond. The massive increase in the use on a short, crowded street, Banks

Street, it seems to me, will harm the neighborhood as has been described.

So I welcome John (sic) Williams's comment.
I would like to say one other thing, though: the -- there are real concerns that my neighbors are -- little neighbors are bringing up that have to do with children, young children's education in the area.

And I -- what I don't understand is why the parties, the -- why the petitioners have not been willing to discuss this with the -- with the neighbors who are objecting -- the Kerry Corner Neighborhood Association. I don't understand it.

And I've been listening all the way through to this, and it strikes me that the petitioners are illprepared to deal with specific questions that the Kerry Corner people have specifically raised that are legitimate questions. I don't know -- I don't know why, but it -- the contrast is really quite striking.

With that, I'll -- I just want to say that this whole business about whether we go -- I would say, well, many years ago my wife and I found a young resident of the Chabad about -- maybe 3 or 4 years old -- wandering
unattended on Surrey Street near Putnam. We led him back to his parents and his home on Banks Street. It seems to me that's what neighbors do. And that's what we did.

We're glad the group is there, as it is now, as the Kerry Corner Neighborhood Association is saying.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in. And that is everyone who is calling in. Sarah, do you want the minutes, or Rabbi, three minutes to add anything to that before I close public?

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: Yes. I'll take it. First of all, thank you --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hold on. Hold on. It's between Sarah, that's the three -- between the two of you, there's three minutes. You can decide how you want to use it.

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: I'm happy to go as a member of the public. So I'll take the three minutes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope. You're here as the proponent. So you just have -- it's three minutes to go. If you'd like to go ahead, go right ahead, please.

SARAH RHATIGAN: That's fine, Rabbi. You want to start?

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: Yes, I'll go ahead. Yes, please.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Please.
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: First of all, I want to thank the Commissioners, thank you for the time to hear our presentation today. I also want to take this opportunity to publicly recognize some of the voices on the Commission, both in today's hearing and in last month's, who showed deep sympathy and understanding of the severity of the issue and its importance to the citizens of the city. And we deeply appreciate it. We're moved by it.

I'd rather not go into this is tit for tat and rebuttals. You know, I was personally identified and characterized in a certain way, and it would be an offense to the community if \(I\) didn't always respond for a minute to that.

There's no fancy way of saying it, but a number of the people who have called in today from the day we moved into this community were very hostile to us. Some of them spoke on the call today. I -- we're accused of not wanting to negotiate, to communicate.

I'll say for the record one last time, there's not
a person or a neighbor that we're not ready to spend the day, the night, the week, to month to discuss this project and hear them out.

It's indeed correct that when the people who we reached out to tell us, "We're going to fight you, you're never going to build anything here. We fought Harvard and we won; we'll certainly win you" that's nobody home. When someone tells us "You don't belong here," I don't have to, there's no conversation to be had.

Someone who wants to have a substantive conversation, a question of function, of use, a sensitivity of concern: we have, and we will always -- regardless of the outcome of today -- we'll always engage in conversation and try to resolve any differences with anyone.

Certainly, if we can help improve the neighborhood and our neighborly function, we were, and we will always do that. But not when you're told, "You're not wanted here, and you don't belong here" in those words by a number of people. But we shouldn't spend time on that.

We were told tonight that you're not lawyers and you're here to look at the matter in terms of the Zoning. If you -- I'm sure even this evening you have other calls
every day of the week. Every meeting of the month or the two meetings of the month is always a variance issue.

The issue of RLUIPA is indeed a very serious one. I feel an obligation now as a citizen to say this: people are telling me -- even lawyers are saying, "It's not an issue for you." Absolutely it is. It's an issue because it's a moral issue.

Carol, you were questioning the number of churches; there's a dozen within five blocks of here. I mean, I question the number 70; I believe it's much higher.

Not a single one of them conform to the current Zoning Laws. They were given the respect as community centers when they were being built and approved; few dared to get in the way of it.

Somehow, we're fair game and somehow if you call yourself a Jew, that gives you a license to fight a project and attack it.

Friends, everything we did on the block from the day we moved in was opposed. We were told we don't -- we're not responsible, we're endangering children, we want to have a classroom that doesn't belong here.

Our children don't belong on the block because
when we back out of our driveways, we may crash into them. They just don't want us here, and they've made it very, very -- they told us, "We don't want you here."

JIM MONTEVERDE: Rabbi, I need you to conclude, please.

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: In summary, in summary, the Jewish community -- I'm a Rabbi of the city for 25 years, and probably the longest-serving Rabbi here. Indeed, there's only two places in the city of Cambridge. One is on Magazine, Eitz Chayim, a small little house, and one on Tremont Street, Beth Shalom. There's a few places on University-, but not City-owned property.

This is by far the largest, most inclusive center for Jewish life, and would make it the third real space of any dimension. It's urgently needed. It's unsafe. We're accused of making this neighborhood safe. Somehow, we're responsible for the hate that we're getting security for.

We're not responsible for making -- for the hate that's comes towards us. We need a roof over our heads.

There are dozens of cases -- any of you should do a search on Google. And this I want to say to the citizens of the city, and this is not us threatening: Weil Gotshal
in New York is a pro bono, one of the world's largest law firms. They're saying that "You guys are being discriminated against."

Millions of dollars of taxpayer funds built Chabad Centers an America, and even more for churches and houses of worship that were denied by citizens who don't care about the other minority communities, or what's good for the city or what's morally correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Rabbi --
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: They're focused on NIMBYs. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- please. Please conclude.

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: So there is a liability here.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- please conclude.
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: So my conclusion is --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't want to have to cut you off.

RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: So I conclude by thanking you for your time.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
RABBI HIRSCHY ZARCHI: And please do do the right thing tonight and give the Jewish community the home that it
desperately and urgently needs.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. That ends
public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? Or are we ready for a motion?

CAROL AGATE: Well, I'd like to speak, I guess, if nobody else is. I'm really puzzled why they're putting in their question when we're talking about almost doubling the size in a residential -- quiet, residential street, a narrow street, and the building goes from side to side. I mean, it fills the whole lot.

They even have to have a Sukkot up on the roof because there's not even land space. The building is taking up all the land.

The -- you know, at the last hearing, we did make it clear that the neighbor concerns were important. And I thought that when we had a continuance, as we normal do with a continuance, that the neighbors are brought into it.

And why there could be no discussion with the neighbors? I'm sure not every neighbor was nasty; that there must have been some neighbors who were telling us they were willing to talk, who were willing to talk.

And I did want to point out the portion of the

Cambridge Institutional Use Regulations. "It is the purpose of this Section 4.50 to protect lower-density residential neighbors from unlimited expansion of institutional activities, to reduce pressures for conversion of the existing housing stock to nonresidential uses, to minimize the development of activities which are different from and incompatible with activity patterns customarily found in lower-density residential neighborhoods."

So, you know, if people are concerned about RLUIPA, is that saying that if Chabad continues to grow, then if they want to add a fourth story, that we're bound to grant them whatever space they want?

This -- this is so far outside anything else that this Board has ever decided on that I cannot decide why they're -- why this would be granted, when it won't work for the neighbors, and why the FAR is just so out of line with anything that has ever been approved.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you, Carol. I mean, for the purpose of debate among the members, I share your concern about the FAR. It's really relevant to the variance that's being requested. I think I said that last time.

I think even after reviewing all of the documentation and hearing everything tonight, that still remains my concern. And that's where I'm at.

So any other discussion among members of the Board?

STEVEN NG: Yes. I'd like to reiterate my support for the application. It is a -- it is a place of worship that is currently serving their community outdoors with no security or have to provide their own security.

So this is a group of people who are worshiping with whatever they can do all year round.

And so, the vision they have to serve this congregation of a certain size is essentially what the architect has presented to us. I think the concurrent use is a good condition we can talk about, if that can be included in the motion, where -- you know, something where it's not -- you can't have two events or, you know, full occupancy or something like that.

But this is something this religious group needs to serve their community properly. We're -- I think that RLUIPA and, you know, that federal guidance there is kind of enlightening, because it -- you know, just like we look at

Zoning Variances, the hardships: they're talking about substantial burdens, compelling interests.

If those get impacted, that's where that particular -- you know, requirements kind of -- that's what that's there for is to protect these groups from when they have to turn people away, or if he's not able to host his congregants indoors or have to cancel an event because of restricted zoning or -- you know, that is something we should take into account to support this application.

And I know it's challenging because, you know, it is -- the FAR is, you know, 4 versus 0.75.

But again, \(I\) think the proponent's counsel makes a good point about, you know, when other churches were built, et cetera. I mean the footprints and so on are, you know, what they need to be to serve their community.

And I think we need to really think about that because they are serving people who are in our city. And it's not a constant intense use or it -- and it's something that I think we have to support because it goes beyond the Ordinance in some ways.

And I think the hardship is pretty obvious if you don't even have a building, how can you -- you're going to
let them continue to worship outdoors, provide their own security.

I mean, I do not personally want to be responsible to see God forbid something happens because, you know, you're worried about something just being about the same height as everything around in the neighborhood.

So I urge my fellow Board members to really consider that. And it's not a black and white decision. So it's a tough one for us to make, but it's something worth discussing, or continuing.

CAROL AGATE: Jim, I'd like the clarify something. Because I don't think anybody here, including all of the neighbors, are talking about not bringing it inside.

In other words, I think security unfortunately for synagogues is the most important thing. Almost all of them now have security guards.

But nobody is talking about not doing anything. Nobody is talking about remaining outdoors. The neighbors have all been talking about yes, we are in favor of a building, but not so big.

So -- hopefully we can sort of keep it on that track, that it seems to be petitioners who are not willing
to accept moderation, and therefore it's not -- doesn't have to be a yes or no if they were willing to make it smaller.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I would say that I find the Neighborhood Density Site Plan that was provided by the petitioner to be compelling in terms of illustrating that the proposed FAR is not totally out of line with the neighborhood. There are numerous other cases of that FAR existing within the neighborhood.

Also, the height of the development isn't changing, as compared to what currently exists.

So I am less concerned, I think, about that as compared to some of the other Board members.

I agree with Steven in terms of the substantial burden that is imposed on the petitioner and the Jewish community, and I think as well, I found the explanations around capacity and not having concurrent uses and sort of explaining how the space isn't oversized, given the different uses of the different spaces, which would not be concurrent. I was satisfied with that explanation.

And I appreciate as well the point that the noise and trash and lighting concerns would be mitigated with this development as compared to what exists currently.

It does seem like there are other solutions that could be thought of; potentially not even under the purview of this Board related to traffic, if that's a concern.

I guess I don't find that to be -- I don't find the traffic concern to be a defining point in this case. It sounds like most people walk.

So I agree with Steven. I am in support of this case.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
DANIEL HIDALGO: If I may, so I just want to talk a little bit about this RLUIPA which, you know, prior to the first meeting, I've known about Institutional Use Regulations related to the Dover Amendment, which Cambridge was exempted for -- was exempted primarily because of university expansions. But \(I\) was less familiar with this act.

And so, thinking about it, I actually -- I sort of disagree that we can't take into account federal regulations and thinking about how this case would move forward if they went to the courts.

Because I think if it did go to the court, then they would -- you know, apply the criteria. And one of the
criteria I think I've been convinced is that there would be to be a compelling government interest to -- you know, to deny this application.

And frankly, I'm really struggling to find any compelling government interest. Like, I understand the neighbors' concerns, but to me that doesn't rise to the point that would, you know, override the interests of a religious community that deserves special protection and, you know, for which this lot and so much law gives special prerogatives to.

And so, I'm sort of -- I've been pretty convinced that without a compelling government interest, I think this would be very susceptible to challenge and, you know, this would just create a long, lengthy period of uncertainty.

And I, to me it's -- given the big community of congregants that support this, \(I\) think this would be an asset for the community at large. And I would be fine voting for it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. I think that's everyone heard from. Any other discussion, or are we ready for a motion?
[Pause]

I'll take that as we're ready for a motion. We first have the variance. The variance from the advertisement: It says, "For renovation to the addition to the nonconforming structures, including dormers, which increases the gross floor area/floor area ratio." So this is for the variance.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections -- and this is 5.31, the Dimensional Form -- on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Harvard Chabad Center for Jewish Life," dated May 1, 2024 , prepared by Bruner/Cott Architects, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members on a voice vote, please? Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Carol?

CAROL AGATE: Opposed.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And Jim Monteverde
opposed as well for the variance.
[Three vote YES, two vote NO]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Now, since the two of us have opposed, we need to state the Variance Request fails for not receiving the four and cite the reasons why the petition is denied.

So Carol, you and I have to come up with the language. And I think I would suggest -- I go back to the Article 1.30, which is the purpose of the Ordinance -- the Zoning Ordinance. And one of the purposes --

CAROL AGATE: By the way, Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CAROL AGATE: It helps me all of the if \(I\) can see your mouth. I lip read, and I just --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, okay. Sorry. Let me move the book over. So I was going to start by suggesting my concern is with the FAR and as it relates to the bulk, the massing, and the residential neighborhood.

And I would say that it would be the -- it equates to the -- under the Ordinance Article 1.30, the purpose for
the Zoning Ordinance and the statement that says, "The protection of residential neighbors from compatible activities," et cetera.

I think this -- the increased mass and therefore FAR is really incompatible with that neighborhood. And that's what \(I\) state in my opposition.

Carol, do you have anything to add, or do you want to quantify or qualify that at all?

CAROL AGATE: I would agree with that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. That's the denial of the variance. Now, there's the special permit. Should we do the special permit separately, or is it -- are we done?

OLIVIA RATAY: Maybe you should make up one.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So the second piece is a special permit. This is for the on-grade open parking in tandem located within 10 feet of the building wall.

Olivia, can you bring up the one drawing that shows -- I just want to confirm just so we all know where this is. And I think it's -- one second, please.

OLIVIA RATAY: This one?
JIM MONTEVERDE: There's one called, "Site plan."
Yeah, there you go. The only place I see two parking spaces
is between the two buildings there. It appears as a curb cut on Banks, and I'm not going to get into any issue of whether that Green Street is private or not. I don't see anything described in the documentation that's in front of us.

Anyway, this is the special permit for those two spaces, since they're within 10 feet of the building wall.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections -- what are the Sections?

It's parking --
OLIVIA RATAY: Six -- first case would be --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, give us one second. One second, please.

OLIVIA RATAY: -- 6.43 --
JIM MONTEVERDE: So the Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 6.43.5, tandem parking and 6.44.1.a.g, parking within 10 ' of a building wall.

JIM MONTEVERDE: On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Harvard Chabad Center for Jewish Life," prepared on -- dated May 1, 2024,
prepared by Bruner/Cott Architects, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting
statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members, on a voice vote, please? Steven? STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?

CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative. The special permit is granted. Variance is not. Thank you, everyone.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you. Thank you for your time.
(8:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is BZA-2076-OLIVIA RATAY: Who's sitting on this case? Is Carol on?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I was just going to ask. Bill, are you with us?

BILL BOEHM: I am. Bill Boehm is here. I am hiding, and I'm -- I will correct that, sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, you -- all right, do you think you're going to be able to sit through the balance of this, given the weather where you are?

BILL BOEHM: Oh, yeah. We're good.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
BILL BOEHM: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol, I think you're done for the evening, correct?

CAROL AGATE: Well, that's it. Bill, do you think you're good for the evening, or --

BILL BOEHM: Yes. All set, Carol. Thanks.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.
BILL BOEHM: Thanks.
CAROL AGATE: Okay.
BILL BOEHM: Goodnight, Carol.
CAROL AGATE: Goodnight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you, Carol.
All right. So sitting on the following cases are Steven, Virginia, Daniel, Bill, and myself.

So the next case is BZA 207647 -- 401 Concord Avenue. And we are in receipt of a letter requesting an extension of the variance, dated May 22, by Andrew Plumb requesting a Six Month Extension for the Zoning Variance granted for the property at 401 Concord Avenue.

And that will bring our variance to December 22, 2024 .

So is there anything more to say besides just the voice vote? I don't have any --

OLIVIA RATAY: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- any other spiel for an
extension. Okay. So for the Extension, on a voice vote, please from the Board, Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And Jim Monteverde.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Five in favor. The extension is granted. Thank you.
(8:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-21804 -- 26

Bellis Circle, Unit 26. This is also a request for a Six Month Extension of a Variance only. We have a letter dated June 10 from Thomas Culotta and Mary Custic requesting a Six Month Extension, which will bring it to January 12, 2025. Wow, '25!

On a voice vote, please, Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Bill? Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Five affirmative. It is extended.
(8:06 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-188958 -- 24

Union Street, and this is also a Request for a Six Month Extension of the Variance only.

And we are in receipt of a letter clocked in on June 17 Lin Lin requesting a Six Month Extension. And that will bring this to December 22, 2024.

On a voice vote, please? Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative. The
Extension is granted. That's the Continued Agenda.
(8:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler JIM MONTEVERDE: Moving onto the Regular Agenda, and we're going to have two cases for 6 Francis Avenue. We're going to start with Case No. BZA-267305 for 6 Francis Avenue.

Is there anyone calling in who wishes to speak about this case? And this is 267035. Either Emma Wolbach or Bailey Buchanan?

Bailey, you're there; you are just muted. Would you like to join us?

BAILEY BUCHANAN: Good evening. Sorry about that. I'm having trouble. There's another attorney from my office who's going to be participating. I believe he's in -- is there a wait room -- Orestes Brown?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think we've taken care of that. Can you introduce yourself and tell us what you'd like to present this evening?

ORESTES BROWN: Yes. I'm Orestes Brown. I don't know when my -- I'm not showing up here on the video, but I
--

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you identify yourself please,
for the record?

ORESTES BROWN: Yes, of course. My name is Orestes Brown. And I'm representing Emma Wolbach.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Go ahead. Do you want to present what it is you'd like to speak about?

ORESTES BROWN: Yes of course. I'm just looking for my -- I guess you don't need to see me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Not really. You can just speak, please.

ORESTES BROWN: Yeah. So -- so in accordance with the letter that my colleague wrote, we are here on appeal from several decisions of the Building Inspector and the Inspectional Services Department representing the Building Inspector, essentially fining my client.

I understand that the Building Inspector has contested the jurisdiction of this Board to hear the appeal, and of course we do not agree. Every decision under the statute of the Building Inspector has one administrative appeal route, and this is it.

And the failure to exhaust your administrative
process is fatal to your appeal.
So unfortunately or fortunately, we are here because we have to be. And we have been fined in accordance with the Building Inspector's Cease-and-Desist letter, as this Board knows, and we've taken the appeal properly also appealing these fines in the District Court.

But we believe that the law clearly requires us to be here as well.

As the Board probably already knows, that the gravamen of the issue here has been the use by the Fox -- of my client's premises by the Fox Club to hold meetings, but really more essentially parties.

And so, I don't know how much the Board is aware of. I guess it is somewhat of a public controversy. The Fox Club began to hold parties that disturbed the neighborhood; there was a lot of noise, they were asked to stop. Nobody was fined.

There is a provision in our lease -- Ms. Wolbach's lease with the Fox Club that they expressly agreed to be compliant with the Zoning Ordinance and the Municipal Regulation of the City of Cambridge. They are at all times required to do that.

When they're notified by the Zoning Inspector that they're not in compliance, they simply refused to comply. Now, our information is somewhat hearsay, because they reported in the newspaper, but clearly, they continued after the Building Inspector gave them several chances to stop having their meetings or having their parties.

And we took action. My client was always compliant with the Building Inspector's request to the extent that she had control, which, as Ms. Buchanan wrote in her letter, "We do not have complete control, obviously, of a third party's actions."

And despite we did evict them, we sent them a notice to quit, so we did everything -- my client did everything that was possible to comply with the Building Inspector's request. We obviously can't completely control a third party.

So we feel that the fines are inappropriate for two reasons: One, my client was always complying. And two, the compliance issue: She's not the party that can be forced to comply --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
ORESTES BROWN: The --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Brown --
ORESTES BROWN: -- obviously is the Fox Club. JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Brown, if I can interrupt you

ORESTES BROWN: It's the Fox Club.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- sorry for interrupting. The
Zoning Board has no jurisdiction over the fines, either their issuance, or relief from them. So there's really nothing here for -- nothing for this Board to decide on. We can't. It's not our jurisdiction. So --

ORESTES BROWN: So what is the -- is the Board going to not take action, or is it -- will just be a no vote, or how --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. No. No vote at all. Okay? Correct? Give me a second before I stick my foot further in my mouth.
[Pause]
STEVEN NG: Jim, this is Steve Ng. You've got a -- there you go. You muted the -- one of your mics I think were open.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So fun advice: Yeah, the only action we can take here is to dismiss it. And as a

Board, we can agree to dismiss. And that's the end of it, as far as being in front of this Board.

So unless anyone from the Board disagrees, I'd like to agree to dismiss this case, the BZA-267305 on the basis that the BZA has no jurisdiction over the issuance of fines.

Everyone agree? Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: I mean, I defer to you on this, Jim.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: I defer to you as well. Okay,
Bill?

> BILL BOEHM: Likewise, Jim.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?
STEVEN NG: Same. Your call.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So we'll dismiss this.
Dismissed.
ORESTES BROWN: Thank you, Board members.
BAILEY BUCHANAN: Thank you.
(8:13 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-266931. This is also 6 Francis Avenue. This is -- Sarah, are you with us again?

SARAH RHATIGAN: I am, yes. Sarah Rhatigan, Trilogy Law, on behalf of the appellant.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And this is --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the description is that you're representing Ms. Wolbach. Appeals the Zoning Enforcement letter issued by the Building Commission dated March 25, 2024? You've heard the --

SARAH RHATIGAN: No. No. Sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Just to correct you, I represent the appellant Fox Club Underground Associates.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay. All right. Make your presentation, please.

SARAH RHATIGAN: We consider this matter moot and
would like to withdraw the case.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Okay. We'll take a vote
to withdraw. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde in favor of
withdrawal.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's unanimous.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The case is withdrawn. Thank
you.
(8:16 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-268589 -- 4 Holworthy Street (sic). Mr. Linn? ROBERT LINN: Yes, hi. JIM MONTEVERDE: Hello. ROBERT LINN: I am -- I'm Robert Linn. I am the architect with Moscow Linn Architects for this project. And we came before the Board several months ago with a project that's almost identical to what we're presenting here.

But in working with our developer, he wanted us to make a few tweaks. And so, we enlarged slightly the second floor primary bedroom.

But because we had already maxed out the FAR, it wasn't as easy just increasing the bedroom. We started moving pieces around.

And as we did that, we actually came up with what we think is a slightly better layout, primarily for House B, where we shifted more of the living space toward the southern side of the property.

And in doing that, we have opened up a little more yard space in the corner next to 96 Holworthy.

We talked to the owner of 96 Holworthy. She was very comfortable with that, and we had interactions with the owners who are contiguous to this, who actually -- this impacted their view, and they let us now through e-mail that they actually preferred us moving the mass a little bit away from their property, so that they had a little bit more breathing space, a little bit more light, and they wouldn't be bothered by people sitting out on the deck.

Beyond that, we did the same thing with House A, although it had much less impact on the overall massing. But it did change some window locations and I think for the better we shifted the main entry, so that it's closer to Holworthy Terrace.

Yeah, thanks, Olivia. Sorry, I should have had that up before we were talking. So yeah, if you go onto the A0.1 or A0.5, either one of those is fine. Yeah.

So right there you can see that hatched area in the upper right-hand corner. We had the mass of sort of that lower deck and the -- that section was pushed further toward that corner of the lot, which actually was
potentially blocking some light and a little bit of the view from the neighbor just beyond, right where it says, "rear lot depth 68."

Anyway, we talked with them. They were very comfortable with it. So that's the only change there.

And then in House A, we -- because we sort of shifted things around, the entry was more or less where Olivia's got the kind of closer to where the hand is, like, in that corner. And we shifted the entry closer to that shared driveway.

Beyond that, there's like a few fenestration changes. But the shared driveway remains the same. The parking's the same. The setbacks are the same. We actually increased open space a little bit from what we were approved for earlier.

We're not asking for any different relief. It's just primarily that we're asking for approval of a set that's different than set that was originally approved. It's just that in talking with Olivia and with ISD, it felt like we've made enough changes that the Board needed to see this again to make sure that it was -- you were comfortable with these minor changes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Just not having -- I don't think I saw the previous version, so could you just briefly outline the variances or special permit requests?

ROBERT LINN: Yeah, absolutely. So the only real dimensional issue that doesn't have to do with parking is House B, the rear yard setback is 7.5'.

And that's in keeping with there's a current house in that same location that actually has more or less the same setback from that rear yard, which we plan to take down, and we're building back up in that same location.

We're meeting all the FAR requirements. We're meeting all the Open Space requirements, all the other setback requirements, except for that one rear yard and for House B.

The other thing that we were granted relief for was that our parking is in the front yard setback. So it doesn't comply with typical parking regulations in the Ordinance, in both -- for both House A and House B.

But, you know, it felt like that was the best way to kind of allow for the maximizing of open space and, you
know, for just generally having the best access to the house, as opposed to trying to drive into the back of the lot because it's such a strangely shaped lot.

And in addition, we're showing less -- where we have a sort of little slightly larger parking location, parking slots than would be normal, we're actually showing that it could have a guest, could pull in or it could be for snow removal, and that's less than 5' away from the side yard setbacks.

So it's just that we're actually showing potential parking locations that would not be or were not in compliance with the Ordinance. And that's it. Those were the -- that was what the relief that we were granted originally.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, I'll open it up to public commentary.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a
time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

OLIVIA RATAY: Luke?
[Pause]
OLIVIA RATAY: Luke? You're muted.
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Luke, if you're trying to call in, you're muted. If you could unmute yourself. [Pause] All right.

OLIVIA RATAY: James Williamson?
JAMES WILLIAMSON: Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
JAMES WILLIAMSON: Thank you. so I really just have a procedural -- a comment about the procedure, and it's been a long-standing issue with BZA announcements that I -the continued cases don't generally get posted to the City Calendar or the website until, like, I think 48 hours before the regular cases, which you can get information about for, like, a week or more ahead of time.

But the problem is that the continued cases in particular, when it's posted, for some reason the text is always completely jumbled and overlapping itself.

And it was -- I didn't -- that's one of the reasons I didn't know, you know, like I tuned in when I did expecting -- I didn't know what case you were on until I listened for a while and heard that you were on the Banks Street case.

So I just want to ask please can the Board maybe give some attention to this and work with Staff to -- and the City IT people so that the public information is better and legible, especially for continued cases.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thanks. Is there anyone calling in who wants to speak about 4 Holworthy Terrace?

OLIVIA RATAY: Luke?
[Pause]
OLIVIA RATAY: Luke, you're still muted.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that it? Okay. I'm going to close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? Or are we ready for a motion?

STEVEN NG: I think we're ready for a motion.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion? This is a variance. I want to make sure I get this correct. And Mr. Linn, the set of documents I'm going to be referring to, is that correct, they're dated April 10, 2024?

ROBERT LINN: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And the description of the proposal that's clocked in at ISD dated May 6, 2024, is that the latest?

ROBERT LINN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. So the Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Table of Dimensional Requirements, 6.44.1.5 and Section 6.44.1.c for Off-Street Parking; Section 8.22.3 for Nonconforming Structure, and then the requirements for a 10.30 for a variance.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "4 Holworthy Terrace," prepared by Moscow Linn Architects, dated April 10, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members, on a voice vote, please? Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative. The
variance is granted.
ROBERT LINN: All right. Thank you for your time.
I appreciate it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome. Thank you.
(8:27 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-268340 -- 16
Ellsworth Avenue, Unit 2.
Mr. Tingle, do you wish to share with us what you're proposing?

ROBERT TINGLE: Yes, thank you. My name is Robert Tingle, and I am calling -- I'm requesting special permit for the egress windows on the side of the house to increase the length on those by 13" in length.

The existing openings are 30 -- the existing openings are \(33^{\prime \prime}\) on the width by \(27^{\prime \prime}\) on the height, and so we're requesting another \(13^{\prime \prime}\) so that we can put an egress window in there for the basement unit.

So there's two -- one on the -- towards the front of the house, and one on the back of the house.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Can you just point those out? Olivia, it's the arrow. It's in the two bedrooms, down below. That one.

ROBERT TINGLE: Yes, so one --

JIM MONTEVERDE: One, correct, it says it's a new egress window in that bedroom --

ROBERT TINGLE: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: And that is also in that plan to the left?

ROBERT TINGLE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't know my right from my left.

ROBERT TINGLE: Yes, that's correct. Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And the reason you're here
needing relief is on the Dimensional Form, the side yard setback, the Ordinance requirement is 7.5', and the existing condition is 6'7"?

ROBERT TINGLE: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right? That's really the relief you need.

ROBERT TINGLE: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct?

ROBERT TINGLE: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And that's the extent of
it? Anything else?
ROBERT TINGLE: That's it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
ROBERT TINGLE: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- for providing the Dimensional
Form. Any questions from members of the Board?
BILL BOEHM: Yeah. are you -- is the proponent proposing to add window wells as well?

ROBERT TINGLE: Yes.
BILL BOEHM: Are those --
ROBERT TINGLE: Yeah, but they're done in about a half-moon. They're in -- below grade.

BILL BOEHM: So they're not existing now? I saw the pictures, so you'll be doing new window wells, and are those within the setback?

ROBERT TINGLE: Yes.

BILL BOEHM: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you propose -- sorry. Bill, anything else?

BILL BOEHM: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: If I could just piggyback on
Bill's question. On the area well, Mr. Tingle, what -- is there any cover to that area well?

ROBERT TINGLE: No, there's not.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I would suggest a type of grading that you can put on top of that window well, so someone could walk down that pathway and not fall in your window well. Would you be amenable to that?

ROBERT TINGLE: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: If we make that a condition, would you be okay with that?

ROBERT TINGLE: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from members of the Board? All right.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jim? [Side conversation]
JIM MONTEVERDE: So Mr. Tingle, the other -- it's the area well wasn't understood in what you presented or submitted, I'll say. It doesn't appear that you addressed the window well in terms of the height. Usually that nominally affects the height, increases the height.

ROBERT TINGLE: The window well is going to be 16" below grade.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. But still, you do have to do the calculation and submit it. Can we make it a condition? It's a variance, right?

OLIVIA RATAY: You can grant the window.
[Unclear].
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, so we -- in terms of we can only act on what you've submitted. Okay?

ROBERT TINGLE: Well, \(I\) spoke in detail with Mr. Natola about this, and he told me that it was, that's how he proposed it. He said that window well would be okay. That was talked about in length when \(I\) submitted this, so.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. We can -- we can basically proceed. Again, we've got to do public comment and other discussion, but we can basically grant you the right to increase the window width.

But when you go to get a permit, don't be surprised if you have to then apply for a variance, and at least do the arithmetic for the building height, and confirm it like you say, like is said in the Dimensional --

ROBERT TINGLE: We have a building permit. There's been a building permit. We have a building permit.

This was all done, you know, when we did the original floor plan. There was always going to be an egress window in the basement.

So this was -- had been -- was on that print. I mean, when \(I\) had talked to Steve Natola about it, he was
very aware of the egress putting the window well in there. That was the question to me. And I addressed it and I said, "Yes, definitely we're going to have to put a window well in there."

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, all \(I\) can say is --
ROBERT TINGLE: So I don't know why it wasn't put in there. You know?

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's not in front of \(u s\) in the documentation that was submitted. So we can, as I said, make a condition if we -- if the Board so agrees that the --

ROBERT TINGLE: Yeah, we'll do it. whatever you want to do, it's fine.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- through it, but --
ROBERT TINGLE: You know.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and we'll see what happens when we go -- when this goes to the Building Department.

ROBERT TINGLE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right? Before we open this up to public comment, we have a correspondence from the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission saying this to the -- they have no issue. A Certificate of Non-Applicability, given that the basement windows are not
visible from the public way.
ROBERT TINGLE: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Otherwise, there is one piece of
correspondence from I'm assuming your neighbor --
ROBERT TINGLE: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- 16 Ellsworth. Having --
ROBERT TINGLE: 14. 14, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, that's right. I'm sorry.
Yeah, the owner of 14, they have no concerns with you as the owner of 16 doing the improvements you're talking about. And that seems to be --

ROBERT TINGLE: Correct. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- of what we have in the file.

Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to
wrap up.
No? No one calling in? Close public testimony.
Discussion by members of the Board? Are you all okay if we make a condition of having the window well have a grading with some type of cover on it, so someone doesn't mistakenly fall into it?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Okay with me.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.
STEVEN NG: Works for me.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other discussion among members, or are we ready to go for a motion?

STEVEN NG: Ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Ready for a motion. This is a special permit. So the Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, the Dimensional Form -- that's the intrusion in the side yard setback; Section 8.22.2.c for a Nonconforming Structure and for the Requirements of 10.40 for a special permit on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawing entitled "16 Ellsworth Avenue," prepared by Robert Tingle and dated -- so I'll put today's date on it -- initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, that the special permit is granted incorporating the following conditions, and that the areaway will have a grading cover to them, so that someone can't mistakenly fall into the window well.

Board members, on a voice vote, please? Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: So five in favor, the relief is granted. Thank you.

ROBERT TINGLE: Thank you. Have a nice night. JIM MONTEVERDE: You too.
(8:39 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-268861 -- 6
Sacramento Street. Mr. Rafferty?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good
evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Good evening.
JAMES RAFFERTY: For the record, my name is James
Rafferty. I'm an attorney with offices located at 907 Massachusetts Avenue in Cambridge.

I'm appearing this evening on behalf of the petitioner, Sacramento House, LLC. The Principal of that entity, Derek Chen, is also present -- C-h-e-n. And also present with us this evening is Daniel Anderson, the Project Architect.

This is an application that seeks relief, both in the form of a variance and a special permit. The variance is related to the fact that this structure built into 1880 as a six-unit dwelling, has been used for the past few decades as a dormitory by Lesley University. They've sold
the property, and Mr. Chen wishes to return it to its prior original use as a multifamily building.

This proposal is to put seven units in the building. The building, however, is located in a Res B Zoning District. As Board members are well aware; the Use Limitations in Res B are for a single-family and two-family alone.

It's because of the age and the size of the structure that the hardship for the variance is based. It is not a building that would lend itself to use as a twofamily or a single-family. Were that to happen, it would be mansion-quality housing; not what \(I\) think is needed or wanted in this district.

Mr. Chen has engaged in extensive outreach, spoken directly with his neighbors. We met along with Mr. Anderson with the Baldwin Community Association last week to describe his plans.

The plans, as I noted, result in the creation of seven dwelling units, and Mr. Anderson could walk you through those units. There are a number of units, including a one-bedroom unit, and several larger two-bedroom units.

The relief also addresses parking. The building
contains a -- the rear of the building has always been used for parking. The historic use of the parking involved three spaces.

The application seeks a special permit that would provide some relief from the Dimensional Setback Requirements in Article 6 for Parking Spaces you'll see depicted on the plan.

We can comfortably fit four parking spaces on the site. Given the number of units and the demand for onstreet parking, that was a feature that was well received, both at the Neighborhood Association and by the abutters. There is limited open space here, but across the street from property is Sacramento Field, a large, recreational field with playground equipment.

Mr. Chen is not a developer. He is an economist. He has purchased this property because of his family connections in the area and his interest in the area.

His current intention would be to develop this as a condominium -- a For Sale project. And his objective is to be able to put one of the units in the basement and that's why the petition also addresses the question of a window well for a basement unit.

I'm going to ask Mr. Anderson to address the Chair's comment from the prior case, as it seemed rather appropriate for this case with regard to the window well.

Would the Board want me to defer now to Mr.
Anderson and have him address that question? I think you're muted, Mr. Chair.
[Noise, distorted sound]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Now I have a fun machine. Can you hear me?
[Noise, distorted sound]
I think I've entered a dimension.
I think I'm back. Can you all hear me again?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So Mr. Rafferty. Could you please explain the Section of the Ordinance that you're asking for relief from? It's in the BZA application.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah, so the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: 4.7.g is the Multifamily, that's just the conversion, right? from dormitory to multifamily?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, no, it's more than that. it's the fact that the use here is not a permitted use in the scheduled uses, a multifamily in the district. So this
would allow a multifamily in a Res B district, that's what the Article 4 Use Variance is. So --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: That's -- I would say that's the principal issue. The dimensional issues, the Section 5 citing, has to do with the fact that the building is currently nonconforming. It's a nonconforming structure. It significantly exceeds the allowed FAR, but we do have a change in use.

So we reference the fact that the dimensional -there is not dimensional compliance here.

And then the remainder of the -- the relief is related to -- three sections in Article 6 all relate to the fourth parking space, the parking space most proximate to the building.

We've rearranged the parking in a way that some of the setback requirements off the building are not being met. Article 6 allows for deviations from those requirements in cases where site circumstances warrant it.

We would suggest in this case that is the case, that site circumstances allow -- do warrant this, because the fourth parking space for a seven-unit building we think
is something that would be beneficial to both the project itself, as well as the neighborhood.

And then finally, there's an existing head house on the building that's going to be replaced. And there's a dimensional issue associated with that as well. This is a 35' height district and we're going to be rebuilding that. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Anderson, do you want to walk us through the proposal?

DAN ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Daniel Anderson, a Partner at Anderson Porter Design. We're located at 1972 Massachusetts Avenue. Yeah, happy to do that.

As you see on the cover sheet, we've got a view from Sacramento Street. You can see on the drive it runs on the right-hand side.

Second sheet is our Dimensional, which outlines the items and that Mr. Rafferty outlined.

And the next sheet is our site location. So we're a block -- just one property in from Massachusetts Avenue.

Subsequent sheet shows the existing conditions site survey plan. So you can see the situation of that property of the building. The nonconforming side yard
setback is to the right-hand side. And the parking at the rear has an existing handicapped ramp and is entirely bituminous asphalt.

So it's -- there is no significant green or open space available on the property currently.

The next sheet is our overall site plan. So it shows the increase, however minor, in green space. But we think it's a benefit.

The card shown in red you can see dimensionally is closer to the building than the Ordinance allows but has all the appropriate dimensions and aisle requirements.

Also shown is the required bicycle parking spaces. and at the -- yes, at the upper left-hand corner.

And then along the lower edge of the property in that setback, you can see the locations of the window wells that were mentioned. So there's some increased openings to meet basement egress requirements.

We don't anticipate that those window wells will be deeper than 30". That will need to be confirmed absolutely, but, as you can see, it's not a passageway.

So we're not particularly concerned about grading, although the one in the middle would be locked. In other
words, if it was needed for egress and one needed to exit the rear or to the street. So we would be happy to take it as a condition that grading might be provided, just to ensure that any kind of unnecessary life safety egress could be easily accommodated.

Happy to run through subsequent ones.
I think, maybe Olivia if you could shift to the elevations, that would illustrate existing. If you go to the next side elevation, you can see the existing head house above and to the rear.

And we have an existing areaway that provides basement access to storage, fire safety, sprinkler system, et cetera.

And if you would scroll down to the proposed elevations past the plans just quickly to that same elevation, you can see where the existing head house was, and the proposed new head house.

It's an increase of a couple of feet, which would allow a safely accessed roof area, which is really just for mechanical equipment.

There's no roof decks proposed, no habitable space. It's really for safe access to mechanical systems,
and there was a provision for rooftop solar.
So that really -- I guess if you scroll down -excuse me, Olivia, to the next side elevation. Thank you.

That shows the areas in which we have the window wells. We have a few window openings that have been closed, but apart from the basement windows, no new windows have been proposed. So we're maintaining the structure and essentially a very much the same configuration and character as it is -- that it is currently.

If it's helpful, I can walk through the plans. But it's at the pleasure of the Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I am comfortable with that discussion. Does any other Board member want to see more of the plans? Otherwise, I'm fine with what's been presented. Thank you.

Any discussion among members of the Board? Any questions? Sorry.

BILL BOEHM: Yeah. Dan, I notice we're losing a handicapped ramp in exchange for a parking space, which tells me we're sort of decreasing accessibility to this building with this project.

Can you just fill us in on the kind of code
requirements and how you're meeting that, in terms of accessibility?

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah. Thank you, Bill. So as an existing multifamily, it is exempted from accessibility requirements. And we took the approach that -- particularly the likelihood of needing to provide accessibility.

None of the units are required to be either Group 1 or Group 2 in this existing building; that the tradeoff was providing additional parking space for unlikely need for access seemed to be a reasonable tradeoff.

And again, it was not a code requirement or under AAB.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, we'll go to public comment. We have one correspondence in the file from Catherine Rondeau, dated June 16 in support, next-door neighbor at 8 Sacramento Street, and we have nothing submitted against. So let me open it to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Anyone? Nope. No one calling in. I'll close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board and are we ready for a motion? I'll take that as we're ready for a motion.

We have -- this is a two-part; the variance first; has to do with converting the dormitory to multifamily residential, seven units, by replacing the existing roof head house. Dimensional relief, off-street parking spaces by permitting the use of compact spaces, and then the requirements for a variance.

So I'll take the variance first. The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31 for the Table of Dimensional Requirements, and that is specially the FAR, which actually will be reduced from the existing, but we will still be over the Ordinance requirement.

For the height, which will increase above the

Ordinance requirement and the existing requirement by virtue of the height of the head house and the window well. And that's the requirements or the relief from the 5.31.
4.31.g Multifamily Dwelling, 6.34 Compact Space Ratio, which basically is no more than 50 percent and 6 -JAMES RAFFERTY: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. I apologize. The 4.31 is part of the Variance Request. But the remaining sections are part of the special permit. So the variance is --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh -JAMES RAFFERTY: 4.31 and 5.31, the use -JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. JAMES RAFFERTY: -- and the Dimensional are the variance. The remaining sections of the application involve a special permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Special permit? All right. JAMES RAFFERTY: I apologize. JIM MONTEVERDE: No, that's fine. Thank you. thank you. Thanks for the clarification. So just to go back, the variance is under Sections 5.31, the Table of Dimensional Requirements. I'll just write off what the particulars are on that, and 4.31.g for a

Multifamily Dwelling.
On the condition that the work proposed conforms
to the drawings entitled "6 Sacramento," prepared by
Anderson Porter Design, dated June 17, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, that the variance is granted incorporating the following condition, and that's to include a grading above the three window wells that are shown. On a voice vote, please, Board members? Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The variance is granted. And
then the special permit, which is regarding Section 6.34 for the Compact Space and 6.43 for the Dimension of Off-street parking. And that is the -- let's see, is there any more -JAMES RAFFERTY: There's one more; 6.44.1 -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

JAMES RAFFERTY: That's the distance from the building.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. that's the 5' from the building.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: 10' from the building, sorry.
BILL BOEHM: Jim, sorry. I didn't realize how this case was broken up. Given that the parking space is a separate item and is replacing a handicap ramp and making this house inaccessible for people who are not able, I find it a bad idea and I would be opposed to granting this special permit for the parking specifically.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well --
JAMES RAFFERTY: Could I be heard on that?
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- hold on. No, wait one
second, please. Let's just consider this as a discussion among members of the Board for a moment.

I think, Bill, I understand your point.
But I think the discussion we heard from Mr.
Anderson is that -- and I'm trying to recollect, because I haven't done condo -- multifamily condos in a while, but last time I did, in Massachusetts they weren't required to be handicapped accessible. Public spaces within them were, but the units themselves, they were not required to be accessible.

So I think on that basis, I'm not -- that's how I can feel comfortable with removing the ramp, because it's -I don't believe it's a code requirement that the condominium units be accessible.

BILL BOEHM: Yeah. I understand that it's a code issue, but if it was only a code issue it wouldn't be coming before the Zoning Board, and the Zoning Board has the ability to offer an opinion or judgment on what's being asked for. And that's my judgment on that one.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anyone else have any -among the members of the Board have any discussion, before we move to a vote, motion?

Okay.
The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the
requirements of the Ordinance under Sections -- let me make sure I get this right: 6.34 for the Compact Space Ratio, the 6.43, Dimensions of Off-Street Parking Spaces, 6.44.1.g for the Setback Requirement, and then Sections 10.40 for the special permit.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "6 Sacramento," prepared by Anderson Porter Design, dated June 17, 2024. Board members, voice vote, please? Virginia? VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven? STEVEN NG: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel? DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: Opposed. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor. [Four vote YES, one vote NO] JIM MONTEVERDE: That's four affirmative, the relief is granted. Thank you. DAN ANDERSON: Thank you very much. JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. Have a good
    evening.
                            JIM MONTEVERDE: You too.
(8:59 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-268777 -- ooh, Plato's lucky numbers. And it is 7 Fenno Street.

We have a letter in our file requesting that the application be withdrawn without prejudice from Mahmood Firouzbakht, dated June 18, 2024.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Vote to accept?
OLIVIA RATAY: Accept.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So we'll do a voice vote to accept the application being withdrawn without prejudice. Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative. The case is withdrawn without prejudice.
(9:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-268197 -- 8 Whittier Street. Mr. Winslow? You're on twice. JOHN WINSLOW: Yeah. I'm here. I can't -- I can't get my face showing, but I'm unmuted, I think. JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, that's okay. JOHN WINSLOW: It's still me. JIM MONTEVERDE: We need you to talk, that's the most important part. So --

JOHN WINSLOW: Yeah. Okay. Okay. When can I be seen? Oop, oop, oop, oop, oop. There we go. I got it. Okay, sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
JOHN WINSLOW: Hi, you all. Well, greetings. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Do you want to explain to us what the project is about and what relief you're seeking? This is a special permit?

JOHN WINSLOW: This is a special permit, yes. I'm here on behalf of our clients, Arman Garakani
and Jenna Hall, who \(I\) believe are in the audience. I don't know if you're able to put them on or how it works, but there's Jenna. She shows as John Winslow, but it's really Jenna.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
JOHN WINSLOW: Okay. Well, oh, Winslow
Architects, Arlington, Massachusetts. I myself am a Cambridge resident as well. We're renovating a house at 8 Whittier Street, and there are several technical special permit requirements. Oh there's Arman Garakani, the husband-and-wife team.

So there are four technical permits -- special permits that we're asking for, and I don't know if your office wants to put it on the screen. My understanding was that you control the screen.

JIM MONTEVERDE: We do.
JOHN WINSLOW: There we go. Thank you. there's th
the house itself. It's like an early 20 -century, late 19th-century house that's been pretty much renovated completely, the first and second floor and in the basement. If you can go to the floor plan, go to sheet --
keep going, next down, next sheet. Okay, hold it right there.

All right. I guess I'll take them in order. In renovating the house, we're basically renovating it back to the shape -- the condition it was originally. And we're shuffling the deck.

And the first special permit requirement is the front porch was fully enclosed, and we're opening the porch up again and we're wanting to put front stairs that go directly towards the street.

You can see there on the right-hand side of the screen right there that that porch had been enclosed, and the stairs currently exist run parallel to the streets. And I guess sideways.

And we were -- we're recti -- we're wanting to have the stairs go directly into the street, and that's into the front yard setback. So that's the first item that we're requesting.

Should I explain all of them, or do you want to take them one at a time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Lat's take them one at a time. Just explain to us the item that you're --

JOHN WINSLOW: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- seeking relief for.
JOHN WINSLOW: Okay. So what we're asking for is permission to build the front stairs and a porch, as shown in the plan that goes directly towards Whittier Street, towards the sidewalk. And --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
JOHN WINSLOW: The red line in the entirety is -currently is an enclosed porch. We're actually pulling back a little bit. We are providing partially a mudroom, but we're recreating a front porch, as you can see, within that footprint that currently exists. JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm.

JOHN WINSLOW: So the request is to have stairs into the front yard setback. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. JOHN WINSLOW: Want me to try to proceed, or do you want to --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Keep going.
JOHN WINSLOW: Okay. The second issue is over there in the kitchen; we're putting the kitchen back in the location that we're showing it here.

It currently is in -- shown as the dining room when this plan is now currently the existing kitchen, and we're removing the kitchen from that location and putting it in the back of the house.

And we're wanting to put a window on that side wall. That wall is a nonconforming wall because it's a -it's less than 7'6" setback. It's like 7'3" or something. So it's nonconforming wall. So the request is to put a window where we're showing it.

I can say that that -- when we did the -- some destructive demo, there actually was a window in that wall, but it's not in the location that we'd like to have it for this plan. So the request is to allow that window.

The third item that we're requesting -- you can see the bay in that kitchen. If you can zoom in to the kitchen area again, where we're showing the table, that's an extension to the house.

And so that's technically increasing the FAR of a nonconforming structure, although that area that we're extending it out into is currently a covered porch.

And we actually originally thought that that would be allowed as -- not increasing the footprint, but we were
told otherwise.
So the request is to allow that bay that we're showing on the first floor to allow for a dining table within the kitchen. It makes it a more pleasant kitchen. So that's the third request.

The fourth request, going -- sliding further down a little bit, we're -- you're seeing -- we have an area well going down into the basement.

We're providing a family room in the basement area, and we're proposing to have an egress directly from the basement, and kind of a -- what we're calling a, "lower patio." You can scroll down right there. Yep, right there.

And that -- by putting in that lower patio, we know that we're raising the effective height of the building, because we're lowering in effect the grade. And we believe the height of the building is increased by about a half of a foot. And the height, that is nonconforming.

So what we're asking for is an allowance to put in this lower patio area to allow for this egress and entrance into this family room in the basement.

Those are the four items that we're requesting relief from. So.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
JOHN WINSLOW: So much for requesting relief.
Pardon my grammar.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, no, you're fine. Thank you. JOHN WINSLOW: Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the
Board? If not, there is before \(I\) open it up to public commentary, we have no correspondence in the file either for or against.

So I will open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Luke is back?
OLIVIA RATAY: Luke?
[Pause]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Luke, are you there?
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Luke, we'll give you a moment to join us, and then we'll keep on moving.
[Pause]
All right. We're going to close public testimony. Any discussion from members of the Board? Or are you ready for a motion? Got a quiet group tonight. I'll take that as a ready for a motion. And this is a special permit.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, the Table of Dimensional Requirements, that is because of the modest increase in the gross floor area exceeding the Ordinance requirement.

The FAR goes up de minimisly from the existing, which is also beyond the Ordinance requirement.

The setback for the front porch, which will sit in the -- you know, one foot from the property line, will sit in the front yard setback.

The right side, where you're requesting the new window is -- again, it's de minimis, but it's inside the right-side setback.

The height increases, it's already beyond the Ordinance, but it increases ever so slightly because of the areaway.

And I think that covers -- no, where are you? You've got -- that does your front steps. The -- what did I say, right-side setback? Right-side setback, the bay window to the rear yard, and the new areaway down to the basement space.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Garakani - Hall Residence," prepared by Winslow Architects dated -- last date on this was April 16, 2024, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

On a voice vote, please? Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The relief
is granted. Thank you.
JOHN WINSLOW: Thank you. Thanks for the work you
do. Appreciate it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that thunder outside?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That was a storm?

JOHN WINSLOW: Yeah. It's thundering. I'm going.
Bye.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I was just checking my phone to see if it actually [unclear].

DANIEL HIDALGO: Right when we made the decision, it thundered so.

UNIDENTIFIED: It was a thunderous decision. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
(9:13 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, and Virginia Keesler JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-268596-- 270

Huron Avenue. Is there anyone wishing to speak on this, the last matter for tonight?

DAMON SIDEL: I'm here. I'm Damon Sidel. I'm the Architect of Record for this project.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
DAMON SIDEL: I believe that MJ and John Jensen are also on the line, who are the owners and my clients. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Want to walk us through what you're looking to do and what relief you need to do it? DAMON SIDEL: Yep.

MJ JENSEN: Hi. Can you guys see me?
DAMON SIDEL: Can't see you, MJ, just hear you. MJ JENSEN: Oh. Damon, do you want me to start it, or would you like to?

DAMON SIDEL: Yeah, just introduce yourself, please.

MJ JENSEN: Hi. This is MJ Jensen. My husband

John literally ran out the door just to get some stuff because it's raining now. So we're -- we live at 270 Huron Avenue. We've lived here for a long time.

We're looking to find a solution to getting in and out of the basement, getting our bikes down, being able to carry things in and out without going down some very old stairs that were kind of built before they thought of a lot of things like water heaters and burners and things like that would be in the basement.

And we've been working with Damon to make that happen.

DAMON SIDEL: Great. So the proposal that we've come up with is to add an exterior stairwell. The -probably the best view to start with is the proposed site plan in the middle bottom of this page, just to Zoom in there. Yep. Perfect. All right.

And you can see that we're proposing to add a stairwell that goes down \(I\) believe it's one, two, three, four, five, six, seven eight stairs in the -- on the Blakeslee Street side of the house in the yard there.

It is -- encroaches into the side yard. I believe
that it's an existing nonconformance that the house is
already within that side yard there.
The house is also already taller than the \(35^{\prime}\).
This will increase it a small amount by decreasing the grade, and we are also decreasing the amount of open space by a small percentage, which is also already nonconforming. So each of these is an extension of the existing nonconformance.

With the exception of the railing, everything is below grade, and so, not all that visible or intrusive to the street and the neighbors. I think that's as much as needs to be said.

There's a 3D view of it if you want to see a little bit more of that and otherwise, supporting images of the existing and proposed.

JIM MONTEVERDE: If that's it, thank you.
Any questions from members of the Board? If not, I have one.

DAMON SIDEL: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And it's really -- if you go back to your, I think it was the site -- yeah, the site plan. Is there any opportunity to do or any desire to do any planting around the -- the new stair and areaway down?

DAMON SIDEL: We hadn't talked about that. But MJ, if you have any thoughts, I mean I don't see any technical problems about doing some plantings, but I don't know about --

MJ JENSEN: No, in fact we would -- we were talking about that the other day about what kind of planting, you know, like, not that I'm a really good gardener, but what we could do to sort of work some things into that area. So we'd like to be able to do that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Just a look at some landscaping opportunity there just to kind of soften the -I'll say the intrusion of the new guard -- handrail, guardrail.

DAMON SIDEL: Mm-hm. Yep. That's a great call.
MJ JENSEN: Yes, that makes sense.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
Are there any questions from members of the Board? If not, I'll go to public comments. We have two pieces of correspondence in the file from neighbors; one from Judith Pemstein, who's the neighbor across the street on the opposite corner, who is in favor of the plan. And also from Margo Lindauer, who is the first floor neighbor across the
street, in favor also.
So I will open it up to public comment. Luke is there [laughter]?

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Anybody? Doesn't look like it. Nope. Nobody calling in. I will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board?

STEVEN NG: I think we're ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Excellent. Thank you. This is a special permit. The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Dimensional Form. That's for the stair -- the new stair being -- well, you're already under on the right-side setback, and this will just increase that nonconformance
\(3.2^{\prime}\).
And that -- and the height will also increase from 38.5 to 39.5 due to the same areaway. That's the Dimensional.

And 8.22.2.d for a Nonconforming Structure.
And then the requirements for a Special Permit, 10.40. On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Jensen Residence," prepared by Mix Design and Development, and dated March 13, 2024; initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, that the special permit is granted incorporating the following condition: And that's the proponents explore the opportunity for some landscaping along the new fence, just to soften that for the neighbors.

And now as a vote, Board members on a voice vote, please. Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in
favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative. The
relief is granted.
Thank you.
DAMON SIDEL: Thank you so much for your time. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Board members, that's it for
the night. Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
MJ JENSEN: Thank you all. Have a nice evening. DAMON SIDEL: All right, thanks.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Take care.
[9:22 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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\hline 148:13 152:14 & lawyers 41:7 & light 9:14,15 & live 45:14,21,22 & 158:4 \\
\hline 154:18 160:4,7 & 50:22 80:20 & 22:16 23:3,4 & 47:16 50:4 & looks 65:7 \\
\hline knowledge & 81:5 & 43:2 62:16 & 52:19 55:9 & losing 136:18 \\
\hline 42:10 & layout 110:20 & 111:9 112:1 & 59:16 60:12,13 & loss 37:13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline lot 4:15 13:19 & Mary 100:8 & meet 20:19 & 153:6 154:7 & minutes 10:20 \\
\hline 15:17 18:11 & mass 94:4 111:7 & 21:14 49:3 & 157:3 159:16 & 38:5 45:13 \\
\hline 19:11 22:12 & 111:20 & 56:21 134:17 & 160:17 161:4 & 50:7 53:18 \\
\hline 35:7 84:10 & Massachusetts & meeting 1:4 10:9 & 161:15 162:18 & 78:9,9,15,17 \\
\hline 91:9 104:16 & 1:5,6 39:4 & 40:1,21 41:22 & 163:12 & 78:19 115:3 \\
\hline 111:22 112:3 & 43:20 128:12 & 42:7 56:11,12 & membership & 125:22 138:5 \\
\hline 114:3,3 158:7 & 133:11,19 & 67:5 81:1 & 39:17 & 153:18 161:12 \\
\hline loud 63:6 & 142:5 148:7 & 90:12 113:13 & memo 42:1,2 & Miranda 1:10 \\
\hline Louie 58:20 & 164:2,5 & 113:14 137:1 & 57:1,4,7,17 & mischaracteri... \\
\hline love 36:9 & massing 93:20 & meetings 81:2 & Mendel 58:6,6 & 19:21 \\
\hline lower 111:21 & 111:12 & 104:11 105:6 & 60:9 & mischaracterize \\
\hline 134:14 152:11 & massive 69:13 & member 34:7 & mention 5:21 & 19:14 \\
\hline 152:13,19 & 69:20 70:1 & 36:15 38:13 & 48:13 & mischaracteri... \\
\hline lower-density & 76:21 & 41:1 43:8,9 & mentioned & 8:10 \\
\hline 85:2,8 & matched 67:18 & 47:17 50:3 & 21:18 22:2 & misrepresented \\
\hline lowering 152:15 & material 4:15 & 54:9 56:6 66:4 & 48:5 70:5 & 21:10 \\
\hline Lubavitch 3:17 & 24:12 71:19 & 78:16 125:14 & 71:19 134:16 & mistakenly \\
\hline lucky 145:6 & Mather 74:12 & 136:13 153:10 & mentioning & 126:6 127:7 \\
\hline Luke 115:5,7,9 & Mathers 74:12 & members 3:4 & 59:22 & misunderstan... \\
\hline 116:16,18 & matter 47:21 & 4:22 7:15 8:16 & merge 43:18,19 & 19:21 \\
\hline 153:20,21 & 48:4 54:21 & 9:12 10:4,20 & message 22:9 & mitigated 89:21 \\
\hline 154:1,3 161:2 & 61:5 69:21 & 13:9 19:2 & MESSPLAY & Mix 162:8 \\
\hline lumped 35:8 & 74:14 80:21 & 23:12 26:5,14 & 36:14 & MJ 157:11,16 \\
\hline Lynne 164:4 & 108:22 157:7 & 26:18 37:19 & met 4:15 24:20 & 157:17,18,22 \\
\hline M & maxed 110:16 & 38:20 40:19 & 129:15 132:17 & 157:22 160:2,5 \\
\hline M & maximizing & 42:7,11 45:20 & method 25:9 & 160:15 163:15 \\
\hline M.M 58:5 & 113:22 & 51:15 55:11 & Michael 71:8,9 & Mm-hm 4:8 9:5 \\
\hline machine 131:8 & maximum 21:21 & 56:14 66:9 & 71:11 & 125:2,5 150:13 \\
\hline Magazine 82:10 & meal 21:7 55:18 & 67:6 68:1,19 & mics 106:19 & 160:14 \\
\hline Mahmood 145:8 & meals 50:14 & 71:12 84:3 & mid 18:9 124:19 & moderation \\
\hline main 38:17 & mean 10:20 15:2 & 85:19 86:4 & middle 134:22 & 89:1 \\
\hline 111:14 & 16:20 18:10,17 & 88:7 89:12 & 158:15 & modest 154:12 \\
\hline maintaining & 20:21 22:8 & 92:16 96:6 & Middlesex 164:3 & Molly 45:9,10 \\
\hline 136:7 & 25:18 33:8 & 97:3 100:3 & midst 53:14 & 45:12,14 \\
\hline majority 53:17 & 51:10 52:1 & 101:3 102:3 & Mike 73:11 & moment 141:22 \\
\hline making 82:16 & 54:17 55:1 & 107:19 108:3 & miles 63:9 & 154:3 \\
\hline 82:18 141:14 & 58:18 63:7 & 110:3 113:2 & million 34:18,21 & Monday 51:13 \\
\hline Malden's 41:1 & 75:18 81:10 & 114:15,17 & Millions 83:4 & Monteverde 1:7 \\
\hline mandate 58:10 & 84:9 85:19 & 116:20 118:1 & mind 30:16 & 3:4,6,14,19 4:1 \\
\hline Manges 6:8 & 87:14 88:3 & 119:3 121:4 & minimis 154:21 & 4:4,6,9,14 7:13 \\
\hline mangling 6:8 & 107:8 123:22 & 122:10 126:3 & minimisly & 7:19 8:20,22 \\
\hline manner 18:1 & 160:2 & 126:11 127:8 & 154:15 & 9:2,6 10:17,22 \\
\hline mansion-quali... & meaning 59:19 & 128:3 129:5 & minimize 85:5 & 11:2,6,11,14 \\
\hline 129:12 & means 6:21 9:20 & 136:16 137:14 & minor 112:22 & 11:16 12:3,6,8 \\
\hline map 71:21 & 20:20 25:5 & 137:19 138:7 & 134:7 & 12:10 13:2,6 \\
\hline March 108:14 & measure 25:17 & 140:12 141:22 & minority 17:15 & 21:15,19 22:4 \\
\hline 162:9 & mechanical 49:1 & 142:19 143:9 & 83:7 & 23:9,12,15 \\
\hline Margo 160:22 & 135:20,22 & 145:3 147:3 & minute 79:15 & 24:15 25:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 26:2,11,13,18 & 110:3,5,8 & 161:17 162:21 & 132:1 137:4 & necessary 70:6 \\
\hline 26:22 27:19 & 113:1 114:15 & 163:1,3,5,5,8 & 138:11 139:4 & need 10:5,19 \\
\hline 31:20 33:4,16 & 115:9,15 & 163:12,17 & 140:1 142:4 & 11:15 14:17 \\
\hline 33:18,21 34:2 & 116:14,19 & month 2:5,7,10 & multiple 43:18 & 36:22 37:1 \\
\hline 34:6 36:10,12 & 117:1,6,10 & 42:9 80:2 81:1 & 46:4 60:12 & 50:10 54:10 \\
\hline 36:15 38:9 & 118:3,5,7,9,9 & 81:2 98:12 & 67:17 & 59:6,21,21 \\
\hline 40:15,18 43:5 & 118:11,15 & 100:7,9 101:6 & multiuse 21:3 & 60:7 68:8 71:4 \\
\hline 45:8,11 47:11 & 119:3,5,19 & 101:9 & Municipal & 75:18 82:4,19 \\
\hline 47:14 49:21 & 120:1,4,7,10 & month's 42:10 & 104:20 & 87:15,16 93:6 \\
\hline 52:6,14,17 & 120:15,18,20 & 79:8 & music 63:6 & 103:9 120:16 \\
\hline 55:4,7 56:3 & 121:1,3,16,19 & months 110:11 & mute 38:1 & 134:19 137:9 \\
\hline 58:4 60:2 & 122:1,6,9,12 & moot 108:22 & 114:20 125:18 & 147:11 157:14 \\
\hline 61:16,19 63:14 & 122:19 123:2,8 & moral 58:1 81:7 & 138:1 153:14 & needed 52:5 \\
\hline 63:17,20 64:4 & 124:5,8,13,15 & morally \(83: 8\) & 161:8 & 82:15 112:20 \\
\hline 65:21 66:2 & 124:18 125:3,6 & morning 29:20 & muted 102:12 & 129:12 135:1,1 \\
\hline 68:6,8,13,16 & 125:8,13 126:8 & 29:22 30:7 & 106:19 115:7 & needing 120:11 \\
\hline 71:4,7,10 73:5 & 126:10,13 & Moscow 110:10 & 115:10 116:18 & 137:6 \\
\hline 73:874:1,4 & 127:10,12,14 & 117:18 & 131:6 & needs 10:4 15:11 \\
\hline 76:1,4 78:7,13 & 127:16,16,18 & mosques 16:13 & mutual 64:22 & 23:8 39:2 40:4 \\
\hline 78:18 79:3 & 127:21 128:3,5 & 17:7 & N & 57:5 64:14 \\
\hline 82:4 83:9,11 & 128:9 131:8,14 & motion 84:4 & N & 84:1 86:19 \\
\hline 83:14,16,20 & 131:18 132:3 & 86:16 91:21 & N 2:1 3:1 & 159:11 \\
\hline 84:2 85:18 & 133:7 136:12 & 92:1,7 95:8,16 & name 6:9 16:15 & negative \(22: 8\) \\
\hline 90:9 91:19 & 137:13 139:10 & 116:21,22 & 16:16 17:6 & 57:10 75:3 \\
\hline 92:18,20,22 & 139:12,16,18 & 117:1,11 & 38:3 45:14 & negatively 69:3 \\
\hline 93:2,2,5,14,17 & 140:14,16,18 & 126:11,12,13 & 47:15 52:18 & negotiate 79:21 \\
\hline 94:10,14,21 & 140:20,20,22 & 126:14 138:8,9 & 55:8 61:19,21 & negotiation \\
\hline 95:13,16,20 & 141:5,8,11,18 & 138:17 142:20 & 68:17 73:9 & 57:21 68:9 \\
\hline 96:8,10,12,14 & 141:20 142:18 & 142:22 154:8,9 & 103:4 115:1 & neighbor 42:21 \\
\hline 96:14,16 97:3 & 143:11,13,15 & 154:10 161:16 & 119:9 125:20 & 58:15 60:12 \\
\hline 97:5,8,12,16 & 143:17,17,19 & 161:18 & 128:10 138:3 & 80:1 84:15,19 \\
\hline 97:18 98:1,6 & 144:2 145:3,5 & mouth 93:16 & 153:16 161:10 & 112:2 125:4 \\
\hline 98:19 99:1,3,5 & 145:10,12,16 & 106:16 & Nana 61:17,18 & 137:17 160:20 \\
\hline 99:7,7,9 100:3 & 145:18,20,22 & move 6:5 26:3 & 61:21,21 & 160:22 \\
\hline 100:5,13,15,17 & 145:22 146:3 & 29:7 39:22 & Nancy 64:5,5 & neighborhood \\
\hline 100:19,19,21 & 147:3,5,9,11 & 90:19 93:17 & narrated 20:12 & 4:21 5:14 8:9 \\
\hline 101:3,5,13,15 & 147:16,18 & 142:20 & narrow 84:8 & 14:11,12,14 \\
\hline 101:17,19,19 & 148:5,16 & moved 79:11,18 & nasty \(84: 19\) & 18:2 22:13 \\
\hline 101:21 102:3,5 & 149:21 150:2,7 & 81:19 & Nate 55:10 & 37:4,5,10 \\
\hline 102:18 103:2,6 & 150:13,16,19 & movie 55:15 & Natola 123:5,22 & 43:12 44:15 \\
\hline 103:10 105:21 & 153:1,4,6 & moving 73:17 & nauseum 18:4 & 45:18,20 46:4 \\
\hline 106:1,3,6,14 & 154:1,3 155:18 & 102:5 110:18 & navigating 46:6 & 46:7,11,14 \\
\hline 106:21 107:10 & 155:20,22 & 111:7 154:4 & near 78:1 & 47:1,9 50:14 \\
\hline 107:14,17 & 156:2,4,8,10 & mudroom & nearby 45:19 & 53:14,21 56:8 \\
\hline 108:3,5,10,12 & 156:13,18 & 150:10 & 74:13 & 57:5,6 58:16 \\
\hline 108:17,20 & 157:3,5,10,13 & multifamily & nearly 65:17 & 63:8 64:6,10 \\
\hline 109:2,5,7,9,11 & 159:15,19 & 129:2 131:18 & necessarily & 64:21 65:2,7 \\
\hline 109:11,14,16 & 160:10,16 & 131:19,22 & 75:18 & 65:13,19 66:6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 67:7,19 69:4,7 & 154:20 155:7 & 161:22 & 75:11 130:19 & 21:15 22:4 \\
\hline 69:8,15,18 & 159:22 160:12 & nonconforming & objectives 44:22 & 24:15 26:2,7 \\
\hline 74:8,20 75:1,4 & 161:20 162:17 & 44:2,15 92:4 & obligation 64:8 & 32:18 34:2 \\
\hline 75:5,7,21 & newer 17:14 & 117:14 126:18 & 81:4 & 36:10 52:8 \\
\hline 76:10 77:1,11 & newspaper & 132:7,7 133:22 & observant 51:19 & 62:16 64:5 \\
\hline 78:5 80:15 & 105:4 & 151:6,8,19 & 51:19 & 68:9,17 71:11 \\
\hline 82:16 88:6 & next-door & 152:17 159:5 & observe 27:17 & 76:591:19 \\
\hline 89:4,7,8 93:20 & 137:16 & 162:5 & observing 49:4 & 93:2,17 94:10 \\
\hline 94:5 104:16 & Ng 1:7 86:6 & nonreligious & obvious 87:21 & 94:14 97:16 \\
\hline 124:20 130:11 & 92:17 96:7 & 49:10 & obviously 11:12 & 98:3,20 99:7 \\
\hline 133:2 & 97:3 99:2 & nonresidential & 15:3 48:4 58:8 & 103:6 105:21 \\
\hline neighborhoods & 100:3,14 101:3 & 65:9 85:5 & 75:16 105:10 & 106:14,21 \\
\hline 15:19 67:3 & 101:16 102:3 & Nope 78:18 & 105:15 106:2 & 107:10,11,17 \\
\hline 85:8 & 106:18,18 & 138:6 161:13 & Occasionally & 108:20 109:2,2 \\
\hline neighboring & 107:15 108:3 & normal 35:11 & 63:6 & 113:1 116:19 \\
\hline 44:19 & 109:10 110:3 & 63:2,11 84:16 & occupancy 19:5 & 117:6,10 \\
\hline neighborly & 116:22 118:4 & 114:6 & 38:18,21 39:5 & 120:20 121:1 \\
\hline 69:11 80:16 & 119:3 126:9,12 & Notary 164:4,16 & 40:14 69:14 & 121:15 122:7,9 \\
\hline neighbors 13:14 & 127:11 128:3 & note 14:13 68:2 & 86:18 & 123:3,6,8 \\
\hline 19:12 22:9 & 140:15 143:12 & noted 40:2 & occupant 20:10 & 124:17 126:4,7 \\
\hline 34:10 42:8 & 145:3,17 147:3 & 129:18 & occupants 19:19 & 126:10 133:7 \\
\hline 43:1 50:21,22 & 155:17 157:3 & notes 7:9 11:8 & 20:12 21:22 & 139:12 142:18 \\
\hline 53:11 56:17 & 161:16 162:22 & 19:1 & occupied 39:7 & 142:21 147:9 \\
\hline 58:16 59:4 & nice 3:15 127:20 & notice 105:13 & October 62:22 & 147:13,13,15 \\
\hline 60:11,12,12,13 & 163:15 & 136:18 & off-street 67:20 & 147:16 148:5,6 \\
\hline 60:14,17 61:4 & niece 75:8 & notified 105:1 & 117:13 138:13 & 149:1 150:1,3 \\
\hline 71:3 77:5,6,10 & night 28:16 51:7 & notion 72:6,14 & 141:2 143:3 & 150:7,16,20 \\
\hline 78:3 84:17,19 & 52:7 55:15 & nuisances 44:20 & offended 60:9 & 153:1 157:13 \\
\hline 84:20 85:3,16 & 80:2 127:20 & number 6:7 17:1 & offense 79:14 & 160:16 \\
\hline 88:13,18 94:2 & 163:13 & 19:15,19 30:9 & offensive 54:17 & old 53:2 77:22 \\
\hline 129:15 159:10 & NIMBYism & 39:15 42:14 & 59:10 60:18 & 158:6 \\
\hline 160:19 162:17 & 53:12 & 50:19 63:21 & offer 24:16 & Olivia 1:15 38:7 \\
\hline neighbors' & NIMBYs 83:10 & 76:14 79:17 & 39:20 142:16 & 40:16 43:6 \\
\hline 10:14 70:22 & ninth 164:13 & 80:18 81:8,10 & offered 46:16 & 45:9 47:12 \\
\hline 91:6 & noise 22:12,14 & 129:20 130:9 & offers 46:11 & 49:22 52:15 \\
\hline neither 164:8 & 37:13 63:6,11 & numbers 15:15 & office 16:1 18:14 & 55:5 56:1 58:5 \\
\hline never 57:13 & 65:5 89:20 & 16:3 38:18 & 30:17 32:15 & 60:3 61:17 \\
\hline 60:10 72:4,4 & 104:16 131:7 & 145:6 & 102:15 148:14 & 63:15 64:3 \\
\hline 74:21 80:6 & 131:10 & numerous 89:7 & offices 128:11 & 65:22 68:14 \\
\hline new 5:7,12,14 & nominally & nurture 64:21 & offsite 39:19 & 71:8 73:6 74:2 \\
\hline 5:17 6:9 7:1,1 & 122:16 & & oh 29:3 32:20 & 76:2 94:13,17 \\
\hline 7:1,4 12:4,18 & Non-Applicab... & 0 & 60:6 66:3 & 94:20 95:12,15 \\
\hline 12:21 27:22 & 124:22 & O 3:1 & 97:15 108:20 & 97:6 98:18 \\
\hline 37:17 40:3 & non-profit 31:18 & objecting 77:11 & 125:8 139:10 & 111:16 112:19 \\
\hline 67:17 69:14 & nonconcurrent & objection 46:8 & 148:6,10 & 115:5,7,13 \\
\hline 71:19 83:1 & 20:19 21:20 & objections 69:13 & 157:18 & 116:16,18 \\
\hline 120:1 121:12 & nonconforma... & 71:1 & Ok 36:15 & 119:20 122:11 \\
\hline 135:17 136:6 & 158:22 159:7 & objective 49:1,4 & okay 5:5,21 & 122:22 135:7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 136:3 145:11 & 26:15 79:6 & 52:1 & owned 17:3 & 132:14,14,16 \\
\hline 153:21 & 159:21 160:11 & organizations & 43:18 73:10 & 132:22 134:1 \\
\hline Olivia's 112:8 & 162:16 & 16:17,20 31:19 & owner 49:18 & 134:12 136:19 \\
\hline omitted 11:20 & oppose 76:9 & 32:10 & 111:3 125:9,10 & 137:9 138:13 \\
\hline on- 130:9 & opposed 33:5 & organized 14:15 & owners 111:5 & 141:3,13,17 \\
\hline on-grade 94:15 & 43:1 69:20 & original 2:4,6,8 & 157:12 & 143:3 \\
\hline once 34:22 & 81:19 93:1,3,6 & 2:11 123:19 & ownership 16:4 & parking's \\
\hline 70:10 74:10 & 114:2 141:16 & 129:2 & 43:15 & 112:13 \\
\hline one-bedroom & 143:16 & originally 45:22 & & parsonage 54:8 \\
\hline 129:21 & opposing 42:8 & 112:18 114:14 & P & part 6:5 9:21 \\
\hline ones 5:15 24:9 & 42:14 & 149:5 151:21 & P 3:1 & 24:16 29:13 \\
\hline 32:12 48:19 & opposite 160:21 & outcome 70:3 & p.m 1:4 3:3 19:6 & 35:14 49:2 \\
\hline 135:6 & opposition 8:10 & 80:13 164:10 & 97:2 100:2 & 56:18 59:13,17 \\
\hline ongoing 53:14 & 19:12 21:11 & outdoor 28:13 & 101:2 102:2 & 63:7 92:14 \\
\hline onsite 39:20 & 37:7 42:16 & 57:9 62:17 & 108:2 110:2 & 96:4 117:21 \\
\hline ooh 145:5 & 62:8,14 94:6 & outdoors 29:9 & 119:2 128:2 & 127:2 139:7,8 \\
\hline oop 147:14,14 & option 53:5 & 29:11 30:1,2 & 145:2 147:2 & 140:7 147:12 \\
\hline 147:14,14,14 & options 5:2 & 30:10 86:8 & 157:2 163:18 & 155:14 162:12 \\
\hline open 23:13 27:9 & orally \(24: 12\) & 88:1,18 & package 34:12 & partial 12:18 \\
\hline 28:11 30:19 & order 35:9 64:16 & outline 113:4 & page 2:2 158:15 & partially 150:10 \\
\hline 36:16,18 54:7 & 73:16 149:3 & outlined 5:2 & pages 11:7 & participated \\
\hline 54:9 57:21 & ordered 14:7 & 133:17 & painful 62:6 & 65:3 \\
\hline 70:7,9 94:15 & ordinance 7:6 & outlines 133:16 & Pam 73:6,7,9,9 & participating \\
\hline 106:20 112:14 & 9:10 25:4 42:5 & outlining 7:8 & Pamela 71:14 & 102:16 \\
\hline 113:14,22 & 44:21 49:20 & outreach 129:14 & parallel 149:13 & particular 7:6,6 \\
\hline 114:16 124:18 & 87:20 92:8 & outside 21:14 & parameters 9:4 & 87:4 116:2 \\
\hline 125:14 130:12 & 93:11,12,22 & 22:13,14 29:12 & parcel 65:10 & particularly \\
\hline 134:4 137:18 & 94:1 95:9,17 & 29:14,16,17 & parcels 44:1 & 53:3 134:21 \\
\hline 153:7,10 159:4 & 104:20 113:20 & 50:17 51:9 & Pardon 153:3 & 137:5 \\
\hline 161:2 & 114:12 117:12 & 54:3 55:19 & parent 46:5 & particulars \\
\hline opened 111:1 & 120:12 126:15 & 59:9,13 85:13 & parents 27:16 & 139:22 \\
\hline opening 42:17 & 131:15 134:10 & 156:8 & 53:20 58:22 & parties 68:11 \\
\hline 149:8 & 138:18,21 & outstanding & 59:5 78:2 & 77:9 104:12,15 \\
\hline openings 119:13 & 139:1 143:1 & 16:20 & parents' 46:1 & 105:6 164:9 \\
\hline 119:14 134:16 & 154:11,13,16 & overage 49:5 & Parish 55:11,13 & Partner 133:10 \\
\hline 136:5 & 155:2 161:19 & overall 111:12 & park 58:20 & party 74:10 \\
\hline operates 30:18 & Ordinances & 134:6 & 70:11 73:17 & 105:16,19 \\
\hline operating 13:17 & 49:7 & overlapping & parked 72:15 & party's 105:11 \\
\hline 22:13 & Orestes 102:17 & 116:3 & parking 7:3 & passageway \\
\hline operation 35:7 & 102:21,21 & overnight 54:12 & 37:13 53:19 & 134:20 \\
\hline 66:22 & 103:4,5,8,12 & overreach 58:3 & 64:17 65:16 & pathway 122:3 \\
\hline opinion 10:13 & 105:22 106:2,5 & override 91:7 & 67:20 70:6 & patio 152:12,13 \\
\hline 24:17 58:13,13 & 106:11 107:19 & oversized 69:3 & 94:15,22 95:11 & 152:19 \\
\hline 142:16 & organi-- 21:12 & 75:2 89:17 & 95:18,18 113:7 & patterns 85:7 \\
\hline opportunities & organization & overstated 14:1 & 113:18,19 & Paul 55:11,13 \\
\hline 64:20 & 14:14 17:3,21 & overturn 48:15 & 114:5,6,11 & Pause 63:16,22 \\
\hline opportunity & 19:3 32:7,11 & overwhelming & 117:14 129:22 & 91:22 106:17 \\
\hline 7:22 23:6 & 32:22 51:20 & 71:172:10 & 130:2,2,6,8,10 & 115:6,8,11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 116:17 153:22 & 94:11,12,15 & 68:2 71:20 & 89:4 94:21 & Plumb 98:11 \\
\hline 154:2,5 & 95:6 96:17 & 89:5,14 128:14 & 113:11 120:4 & plus 4:20 27:10 \\
\hline pavement 65:16 & 113:5 119:10 & petitioner's 8:5 & 123:19 130:7 & 27:15 34:5 \\
\hline pay \(32: 3\) & 123:12,16,17 & 38:19 39:6 & 133:21 134:6 & 66:13 67:11 \\
\hline Peabody 75:9 & 123:17 126:14 & 42:13 43:13 & 148:22 150:5 & point 7:11 19:18 \\
\hline pedestrian & 126:19 127:4 & 48:8,13,18 & 151:2,13 & 35:2 41:22 \\
\hline 22:18 37:12 & 128:19 130:4 & 56:10 57:5,14 & 158:15 159:20 & 47:21 71:18 \\
\hline 76:20 & 139:8,15,16 & 57:17 64:13 & 160:21 & 72:2 84:22 \\
\hline Pemstein 160:20 & 141:1,17 143:5 & 65:8 66:18 & planning 11:9 & 87:13 89:20 \\
\hline people 13:10,12 & 147:20,21 & 72:11 & 67:11 74:6 & 90:5 91:7 \\
\hline 14:7 19:6,9,15 & 148:10 149:7 & petitioners & plans 5:8 7:1 & 119:19 142:1 \\
\hline 22:19 28:14,17 & 154:9 161:18 & 45:15 77:9,14 & 10:4 11:10 & pointed 28:19 \\
\hline 29:2,4,6,15 & 162:6,14 & 88:22 & 12:17 27:22 & Police 62:21 \\
\hline 31:7 36:4 39:7 & permits 148:12 & peyote 23:18 & 39:6 57:21 & politics 64:14 \\
\hline 39:16 42:14 & 148:13 & Philip 76:2,3,5,5 & 72:11 129:17 & pop 55:12 \\
\hline 51:8 52:12 & permitted & phone 37:22 & 129:18 135:15 & popular 30:3 \\
\hline 54:20,21 59:13 & 131:21 & 63:21 114:19 & 136:10,14 & porch 149:8,8 \\
\hline 59:21 60:20 & permitting & 125:17 137:22 & planting 159:21 & 149:12 150:4,9 \\
\hline 62:21 63:1,1 & 138:14 & 153:13 156:13 & 160:7 & 150:11 151:20 \\
\hline 69:670:11 & person 6:17 & 161:7 & plantings 160:3 & 154:17 \\
\hline 74:20,22 75:4 & 22:20 30:18 & photo 72:18 & plates 54:5 & portal 16:2 \\
\hline 75:20 76:14 & 48:2 67:1 80:1 & photos 35:3 & Plato's 145:6 & 30:19,20 \\
\hline 77:16 79:18 & Person's 6:13 & phraseology & play 25:13 & Porter 133:10 \\
\hline 80:4,19 81:4 & personalities & 9:17 & playground & 140:4 143:8 \\
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\hline setbacks 112:13 & 148:3 & site 12:19 15:15 & snowsuit 54:15 & 82:14 84:12 \\
\hline 114:9 & shrub 64:16 & 19:2,7 21:21 & soften 160:11 & 85:12 89:17 \\
\hline setting 57:11 & shrubs 65:15 & 31:3 89:4 & 162:17 & 110:21 111:2,9 \\
\hline setup 51:10 & shuffling 149:6 & 94:21 130:9 & solar 136:1 & 112:14 113:14 \\
\hline seven 129:3,19 & sic 4:20 67:2 & 132:19,21 & sold 128:22 & 113:22 130:12 \\
\hline 138:12 158:19 & 77:3 110:6 & 133:18,21 & solely 49:1 & 132:14,14,22 \\
\hline seven-unit & side 8:5 30:4 & 134:6 158:14 & solution 158:4 & 134:5,7 135:22 \\
\hline 132:22 & 41:4 54:20 & 159:20,20 & solutions 90:1 & 136:19 137:9 \\
\hline severity 79:9 & 84:9,9 110:22 & sited 24:12 & somebody 29:4 & 139:4 141:2,13 \\
\hline Shabbat 28:15 & 114:8 119:11 & 25:18 & 74:16 & 143:2 155:8 \\
\hline 50:5 & 120:11 122:11 & sitting 3:4 59:13 & somewhat 74:18 & 159:4 \\
\hline Shalom 33:11 & 126:17 133:15 & 97:3,6 98:7 & 104:14 105:3 & spaces 89:18 \\
\hline 82:11 & 133:22 134:1 & 100:3 101:3 & son 52:19 53:18 & 94:22 95:7 \\
\hline shape 149:5 & 135:9 136:3 & 102:3 108:3 & soon 28:9 & 130:3,6,8 \\
\hline shaped 114:3 & 149:11 151:5 & 110:3 111:10 & sorry 6:8 16:6 & 134:12 138:13 \\
\hline share 8:16,17 & 154:20 158:20 & 119:3 128:3 & 20:11 27:3 & 138:14 142:6 \\
\hline 73:21 85:19 & 158:21 159:1 & 145:3 147:3 & 32:14 34:20 & 143:3 \\
\hline 119:7 & Sidel 157:8,8,11 & 157:3 & 35:21 60:4 & speak 3:11 \\
\hline shared 67:6 & 157:15,17,20 & situation 53:6 & 93:17 95:13 & 10:19 11:4 \\
\hline 112:10,12 & 158:12 159:18 & 54:1,4 59:12 & 97:11 102:14 & 23:5 26:12,15 \\
\hline sharing 33:18 & 160:1,14 & 67:11 133:21 & 106:6 108:16 & 37:20 38:5 \\
\hline sheet 133:13,16 & 163:11,16 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i x }} 2: 5,7,10\) 50:7 & 111:16 121:16 & 40:20 43:13 \\
\hline 133:18,20 & sides 71:13 & 95:12 98:12 & 125:8 136:17 & 45:19 46:6,8 \\
\hline 134:6 148:22 & sidewalk 53:21 & 100:6,8 101:6 & 141:11,12 & 50:2 58:14 \\
\hline 149:1 & 53:22 150:6 & 101:9 158:19 & 147:15 & 63:18 84:5 \\
\hline Shen 65:22 66:1 & sideways 149:14 & six-unit 128:21 & sort 7:16 15:20 & 102:9 103:7,11 \\
\hline 66:3,3 68:7,9 & signators 37:5 & size 19:15 20:9 & 31:171:21 & 114:17 115:3 \\
\hline Sherwin 40:16 & significant 53:7 & 40:3 53:9 & 72:674:17 & 116:15 125:15 \\
\hline 40:17,19,20 & 66:15 134:4 & 62:15 64:18 & 88:21 89:16 & 125:22 137:19 \\
\hline shift 28:20 135:7 & significantly & 67:18 69:13 & 90:17 91:11 & 138:5 153:11 \\
\hline shifted 110:21 & 28:7 44:4 & 76:15 84:8 & 111:20 112:6 & 153:18 157:6 \\
\hline 111:14 112:7,9 & 64:18 68:4 & 86:13 129:8 & 114:5 136:20 & 161:4,12 \\
\hline short 46:18 & 132:8 & sized 17:16 & 160:8 & speaker 48:5 \\
\hline 76:22 & signified 12:16 & sleeping 30:7 & sound 131:7,10 & speakers 38:2 \\
\hline show 6:19 35:19 & signing 14:16 & sliding 152:6 & sounded 21:20 & 114:22 125:19 \\
\hline showed 79:8 & 59:4 & slightly 9:15 & sounds 90:6 & 138:2 153:15 \\
\hline showing 19:20 & similar 54:1 & 110:14,20 & southern 110:22 & 161:9 \\
\hline 36:4,5 72:19 & 67:6 & 114:5 155:2 & space 19:20 & speaking 15:21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 42:16 45:15 & 22:1 38:2,4 & 96:7 97:3 98:7 & 66:6,12 67:2 & studying 24:5 \\
\hline special 7:3 41:14 & 114:22 115:2 & 99:1,2 100:3 & 67:15,17 68:3 & 25:18 \\
\hline 44:4,10 45:4 & 116:10 125:19 & 100:13,14 & 68:18,22 69:1 & stuff 158:1 \\
\hline 70:4,9 91:8,9 & 125:21 138:2,3 & 101:3,15,16 & 70:1,7,7,8,9,14 & subcompact \\
\hline 94:11,12,15 & 153:15,17 & 102:3 106:18 & 70:17,19 72:17 & 72:21 \\
\hline 95:6 96:17 & 161:9,10 & 107:14,15 & 72:19,21 73:11 & submission 39:9 \\
\hline 113:5 119:10 & stair 159:22 & 108:3 109:9,10 & 73:12,13,20 & submit 12:4 \\
\hline 126:14,19 & 161:20,20 & 110:3 116:22 & 74:11 76:6,22 & 15:14 16:5 \\
\hline 127:4 128:19 & stairs 21:2 149:9 & 118:3,4 119:3 & 77:1 78:1,2 & 31:1 41:20 \\
\hline 130:4 139:8,15 & 149:13,16 & 126:9,12 & 82:11 84:8,9 & 42:3 122:20 \\
\hline 139:16 141:1 & 150:4,14 158:7 & 127:10,11 & 95:3 101:6 & submittal 7:1 \\
\hline 141:17 143:5 & 158:19 & 128:3 140:14 & 110:6 116:8 & submittals 64:9 \\
\hline 147:20,21 & stairwell 158:13 & 140:15 143:11 & 128:6 130:10 & submitted 5:7,9 \\
\hline 148:9,12 149:7 & 158:18 & 143:12 145:3 & 130:12 133:14 & 6:3 7:4 12:12 \\
\hline 154:9 161:18 & standard 41:14 & 145:16,17 & 135:2 137:17 & 12:17 30:21 \\
\hline 162:6,14 & standing 14:15 & 147:3 155:16 & 145:6 147:6 & 36:21,22 37:7 \\
\hline specially 32:15 & stark 17:1 & 155:17 157:3 & 148:9 149:10 & 92:14 96:4 \\
\hline 138:19 & start 78:22 & 161:16 162:21 & 149:16 150:5 & 117:21 122:14 \\
\hline specific 9:18 & 93:18 102:7 & 162:22 & 158:20 159:10 & 123:3,7 124:9 \\
\hline 21:6 77:15 & 157:18 158:14 & stick 106:15 & 160:20 161:1 & 127:2 137:18 \\
\hline specifically 49:8 & started 3:7 & stock 85:5 & streets 39:12 & 140:7 155:14 \\
\hline 77:16 141:17 & 110:17 & stop 26:13 61:6 & 62:5 149:13 & 162:12 \\
\hline spectacular 52:9 & starting 19:6 & 104:17 105:5 & streetscape & submitting 35:3 \\
\hline spectrum 51:22 & 59:17 & storage 34:21,22 & 64:11 & subsequent \\
\hline spend 80:1,19 & state 16:12 20:6 & 36:8 135:12 & stress 69:5 & 133:20 135:6 \\
\hline spending 59:7 & 25:3 32:4,5,14 & storm 156:10 & strike 6:2 & substantial 6:17 \\
\hline spent 8:7 58:18 & 93:6 94:6 & story 67:12 & strikes 77:14 & 18:1 37:8 41:8 \\
\hline 69:1 & statement 6:7,14 & 85:11 & striking 77:18 & 46:9 48:10 \\
\hline spiel 98:19 & 7:1 24:18 & straight 4:11 & stringent 44:5 & 87:2 89:13 \\
\hline spillage 22:16 & 45:13 94:1 & straight-up & strong 62:7 & substantially \\
\hline spires 18:11 & statements 5:8 & 53:12 & strongly 62:9 & 44:14 \\
\hline spoke 22:20 & 92:14 96:4 & straightforward & structure 44:2 & substantive \\
\hline 29:4,5 37:10 & 117:21 127:2 & 74:15,19 & 44:15 69:14,20 & 80:10 \\
\hline 40:21 71:16 & 140:7 155:14 & strangely 17:4 & 70:1 117:14 & suburbs 63:10 \\
\hline 79:20 123:4 & 162:12 & 23:19 114:3 & 126:18 128:20 & successfully \\
\hline spoken 51:3 & states 42:2 & street 2:4,10,17 & 129:9 132:7 & 57:20 67:13 \\
\hline 129:14 & stating 5:14 37:6 & 2:18,19 3:9 & 136:7 151:19 & suggest 10:3 \\
\hline sprinkler & 37:7 57:17 & 13:10 14:2,3,4 & 162:5 & 93:10 122:1 \\
\hline 135:12 & statute 103:20 & 14:10 28:7,8 & structures 49:16 & 132:20 \\
\hline square 18:16 & stay 10:18 14:17 & 36:1 38:12 & 67:16 92:4 & suggested 69:7 \\
\hline 27:1,9,10,14 & step 46:14 & 39:13,22 43:8 & struggling 55:18 & suggesting \\
\hline 27:14,15,21 & steps 55:21 & 43:12 45:15,22 & 91:4 & 93:18 \\
\hline 28:1,5,8,12 & 155:5 & 46:18 47:16 & student 46:21 & suggestion 11:9 \\
\hline 44:2 57:18 & Steve 106:18 & 50:4 55:9,12 & students 27:16 & suggests 9:16 \\
\hline ss \(164: 3\) & 123:22 & 55:20 56:5,8 & 30:6 51:12 & 43:22 \\
\hline St 55:11,13 & Steven 1:7 86:6 & 60:13,13,14 & 58:8,22 59:6 & Sukkot 27:12,18 \\
\hline stab 11:17 & 89:13 90:7 & 61:22 63:3,5 & study 25:21 & 84:11 \\
\hline staff 16:6 19:1,3 & 92:16,17 96:6 & 64:7 65:1 66:4 & 54:12 & Sullivan 12:5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline sum 73:1 & survey 133:21 & 22:21 71:14 & 49:10 50:9,18 & 92:18,20,22 \\
\hline summarize 4:6 & susceptible & 111:3 112:4 & 50:19,19 51:11 & 96:17,19,19 \\
\hline 8:1 22:6 36:21 & 91:13 & 123:7,22 160:1 & 64:10 80:21 & 97:17 98:6 \\
\hline summary 5:16 & suspicious 72:14 & talking 15:1 & 89:5,13 122:15 & 99:1,10 107:14 \\
\hline 31:2 45:3 & sustainable & 30:22 51:12 & 123:2 137:1 & 107:19,20 \\
\hline 49:17 68:1 & 62:11 & 58:20,21 59:11 & Terrace 2:15 & 108:11 109:15 \\
\hline 82:6,6 & sympathetic & 59:12 84:7 & 75:9 111:15 & 109:16 113:1 \\
\hline summer 15:16 & 66:875:19 & 87:1 88:13,17 & 116:15 117:17 & 115:16 116:13 \\
\hline Sunday 55:12 & sympathy 79:9 & 88:18,19 & terrible 62:4 & 117:10 118:3 \\
\hline Sundays 55:14 & synagogue & 111:17 112:19 & tested 5:4 & 118:13,15 \\
\hline support 4:20,20 & 20:20 22:2 & 125:10 160:6 & testimonies & 119:9 121:1,2 \\
\hline 5:12,13 12:1 & synagogues & tall 18:11 & 62:20 & 127:19,20 \\
\hline 13:8 20:9,11 & 16:13 33:9 & taller 159:2 & testimony 8:6 & 128:7 132:3 \\
\hline 21:11 45:15 & 88:15 & tandem 72:15 & 10:12 13:7,8 & 133:7,9 136:3 \\
\hline 47:4 50:3 & system 21:1 & 94:16 95:18 & 13:19 14:6 & 136:15 137:3 \\
\hline 52:21 55:2 & 135:12 & tastefully 67:19 & 41:11 84:3 & 137:13 139:18 \\
\hline 57:13 60:11,14 & systems 21:2 & tat 79:12 & 116:20 126:2 & 139:19 143:20 \\
\hline 60:21 66:9 & 135:22 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a x }} 31: 21\) & 138:7 154:6 & 143:21,22 \\
\hline 69:16 86:6 & & tax-exempt 32:5 & 161:14 & 145:22 148:17 \\
\hline 87:9,19 90:7 & T & 32:10 & testing 5:1 & 153:4 156:5,6 \\
\hline 91:16 137:16 & table 117:12 & taxes 32:3 & text 116:2 & 156:18 159:15 \\
\hline supported 56:15 & 138:18 139:21 & taxpayer 83:4 & th 148:18 & 160:16 161:17 \\
\hline 66:10 69:8 & 151:16 152:3 & teaching 19:4 & thank 3:17 4:1,5 & 162:21 163:1,3 \\
\hline supporters 8:8 & 154:11 & team 3:20 4:15 & 4:13,14 7:8 & 163:5,10,11,13 \\
\hline supporting 5:8 & tacit 42:3 & 148:11 & 11:11 12:10 & 163:14,15 \\
\hline 9:17 19:3 59:6 & take 5:3 10:14 & technical 148:9 & 13:4 20:3 & thanking 83:18 \\
\hline 69:21 92:13 & 11:17 24:15 & 148:12 160:3 & 21:18 22:4 & thanks 22:4 \\
\hline 96:3 117:20 & 27:11 31:9 & technically 75:3 & 23:9,11 27:19 & 33:18 76:5 \\
\hline 127:1 140:6 & 37:10 41:13 & 151:18 & 34:2,6 36:10 & 97:22 98:2 \\
\hline 155:13 159:13 & 42:18 45:13 & tell 23:18 34:16 & 36:11 38:10,14 & 111:16 116:14 \\
\hline 162:11 & 50:12 53:18 & 35:20,21 59:3 & 40:14,15 43:4 & 139:19 156:6 \\
\hline supportive 61:4 & 78:11,17 79:6 & 61:19 80:5 & 43:5 45:7,8 & 163:16 \\
\hline supports 47:9 & 87:9 90:18 & 102:19 & 47:9,11,15,18 & that's 17:10 \\
\hline 55:22 & 92:1 106:12,22 & telling 35:10 & 49:20,21 50:1 & 25:6 42:20 \\
\hline supposedly 70:6 & 109:2 113:11 & 81:5 84:20 & 52:9,13,14 & 110:12 126:16 \\
\hline sure 20:2 22:18 & 135:2 138:8,16 & tells 16:16 80:8 & 55:3,4,22 58:3 & 132:4 140:10 \\
\hline 33:1 80:22 & 149:3,20,21 & 136:20 & 58:4 60:1,2 & they'd 44:11 \\
\hline 84:19 112:21 & 154:8 163:17 & temples 16:13 & 61:15,16 63:13 & thing 15:13 24:1 \\
\hline 117:2 122:5 & takeaway 7:14 & 17:7 & 63:13,14 65:20 & 42:15 60:22 \\
\hline 143:2 159:18 & 22:8 & tenants 71:15 & 65:21 66:7 & 70:4 74:22 \\
\hline surpassed 29:10 & taken 15:11 & 73:14 & 68:12,13 71:6 & 77:4 83:22 \\
\hline surprised 29:3 & 102:18 104:5 & tends 9:14 & 71:7 73:4,5,9 & 88:15 111:11 \\
\hline 30:16 56:9,22 & talk 10:17 14:21 & tent 22:13,17 & 73:22 74:1,5 & 113:17 \\
\hline 123:13 & 41:3 51:8 58:9 & 27:2,5,6,9,15 & 75:22 76:1 & things 15:5 22:7 \\
\hline surprising 15:13 & 59:3 84:21,21 & 28:6 51:9 & 78:6,7,12 79:5 & 34:16 35:7 \\
\hline 42:6 56:13 & 86:15 90:10 & 52:11 55:19 & 79:5 83:20 & 36:7 50:8,20 \\
\hline Surrey 38:12,13 & 147:11 & 57:8 62:17 & 84:2 85:18 & 51:5 60:7 \\
\hline 78:1 & talked 15:17 & terms 5:17 & 90:9 91:19 & 62:19 112:7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 158:6,8,8 & thoughts 160:2 & 149:20,21 & 73:10 & tweaks 110:14 \\
\hline 160:8 & thousands 27:14 & 153:16 158:3 & town 33:10 & twice 147:6 \\
\hline think 4:22 6:10 & 28:5,12 & 161:9 163:11 & 48:17,22 & two 4:22 34:4 \\
\hline 8:20 9:22 10:7 & threat 47:22 & timeframe & track 88:22 & 45:21 46:17 \\
\hline 11:19,20,21 & threatening & 10:18 & tradeoff 137:8 & 47:16 49:12 \\
\hline 13:19 15:5 & 82:22 & times 19:5 20:12 & 137:10 & 51:10 57:20 \\
\hline 19:22 22:8,20 & three 10:19 28:4 & 35:4,12 104:21 & traditions 38:21 & 60:7 70:6,11 \\
\hline 24:2,11,22,22 & 33:9 35:12 & Tingle 119:7,9 & traffic 34:11 & 72:15 78:14 \\
\hline 25:5,11,13 & 38:5 45:13 & 119:10,22 & 37:13 44:19 & 81:2 82:9 \\
\hline 28:4,10 33:5 & 49:14 59:16 & 120:3,6,9,14 & 76:21 90:3,5 & 86:17 93:4,5 \\
\hline 34:15 35:6,14 & 62:19 78:9,14 & 120:17,19,22 & transcends 9:9 & 94:22 95:1,6 \\
\hline 36:7,19 37:9 & 78:15,17,19 & 121:2,7,9,14 & transcript 164:6 & 102:6 105:18 \\
\hline 37:16 41:16 & 93:4 115:3 & 121:20,22 & trash 23:1,2 & 105:18 119:17 \\
\hline 42:6,13 46:17 & 125:22 130:2 & 122:5,8,12,17 & 34:9 35:9,10 & 119:20 158:18 \\
\hline 52:2,12 54:16 & 132:13 138:5 & 123:4,16 124:6 & 35:11 37:13 & 160:18 \\
\hline 58:12 63:2 & 140:11 153:18 & 124:11,14,17 & 54:5,6 62:16 & two-129:10 \\
\hline 70:13 71:1 & 158:18 161:12 & 125:2,5,7,12 & 70:12 72:11 & two-bedroom \\
\hline 75:7,10 85:21 & three-minute & 126:21 127:20 & 89:21 & 129:21 \\
\hline 86:1,14,20 & 10:18 & tiny 28:10 & traveling 22:14 & two-family 44:3 \\
\hline 87:12,16,16,19 & thrive 57:6 & tired 7:11 & treasures 66:10 & 129:6 \\
\hline 87:21 88:12,14 & 66:17 & tit 79:12 & treated 48:17 & two-part 138:10 \\
\hline 89:11,15 90:21 & Throughway & today 3:20 21:13 & 49:10 & type 33:2 122:1 \\
\hline 91:1,12,16,19 & 70:17 & 24:3 41:2 & tree 64:16,22 & 126:5 \\
\hline 93:10 94:4,19 & thunder 156:8 & 53:10 71:18 & trees 37:13 65:1 & types 51:17,19 \\
\hline 97:13,18,20 & thundered & 76:16 79:6,18 & 65:15 & typical 9:3,12 \\
\hline 102:18 106:19 & 156:16 & 79:20 80:13 & Tremont 82:11 & 28:16 63:2 \\
\hline 110:20 111:13 & thundering & today's 79:8 & trend 64:20 & 113:19 \\
\hline 113:3 115:20 & 156:11 & 126:21 & tried 71:20 & typically 30:7 \\
\hline 116:22 129:12 & thunderous & told 32:15 56:16 & 73:15 & \\
\hline 131:5,11,12 & 156:17 & 73:19 80:17,20 & Trilogy 3:16 6:4 & U \\
\hline 132:22 134:8 & THURSDAY & 81:19 82:3 & 108:9 & um 11:5 \\
\hline 135:7 142:1,2 & 1:3 & 123:5 152:1 & trouble 102:15 & unanimous \\
\hline 142:9 147:8 & tight 29:6 & Tom 43:7 & true 62:20 164:6 & 60:10 109:14 \\
\hline 155:4 159:10 & time 4:7 5:1 6:3 & tonight 3:6 & truly 53:2 & unattended 78:1 \\
\hline 159:20 161:16 & 7:9,11,22 8:7,8 & 80:20 83:22 & Trust 36:9 & uncertainty \\
\hline thinking 16:8 & 10:1,13,15 & 86:2 154:8 & try 4:2 80:14 & 91:14 \\
\hline 30:17 50:11 & 21:9,21 24:12 & 157:7 & 150:17 & unclear 40:13 \\
\hline 90:17,19 & 24:20 38:3,14 & tonight's 9:4 & trying 9:4 10:3 & 123:1 156:14 \\
\hline third 82:14 & 42:10 43:4 & top 63:4 122:2 & 14:20 17:15 & uncomfortable \\
\hline 105:11,16 & 47:10,18 60:1 & Torah 54:11,12 & 18:3 19:14 & 8:17 75:1 \\
\hline 151:14 152:5 & 63:13 66:15 & Tot 53:1 & 42:22 47:22 & underestimates \\
\hline Thomas 43:6,7 & 69:1 71:7 & total 28:4 & 50:12 52:21 & 18:10 \\
\hline 100:8 & 72:16 73:22 & totally 49:15 & 53:9 58:17 & Underground \\
\hline Thorkildsen & 79:5,22 80:19 & 89:6 & 114:2 115:9 & 108:19 \\
\hline 38:11 & 83:19 85:22 & touch 30:18 & 142:3 & understand 7:15 \\
\hline thought 84:16 & 96:20 115:1,22 & tough 88:9 & tuned 116:5 & 9:21 27:6 41:2 \\
\hline 90:2 151:21 & 118:13 125:20 & Toulopoulos & turn 39:2 72:19 & 54:19 62:9,14 \\
\hline 158:7 & 138:2 142:5 & 71:14 73:6,7,9 & 72:21 87:6 & 77:8,12 91:5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { 103:17 142:1 } \\
\text { 142:13 } \\
\text { understanding }
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 24:17 } \\
& \text { unquote-- 14:18 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{utmost 15:12} & Virginia 1:9 3:5 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 162: 18163: 7 \\
& \text { voting } 91: 18
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & & & 89:3 92:18,19 & \\
\hline & unreasonably & V & 96:8,9 97:4 & \\
\hline 7:7 23:20 79:9 & 49:15 & v 43:21 & 98:8,21,22 & W \\
\hline 148:14 & unrelated 11:21 & valid 35:17 & 100:4,11,12 & wait 102:17 \\
\hline understood 15:4 & unsafe 29:17 & value 56:17 & 101:4,17,18 & 141:20 \\
\hline 70:21 122:13 & 82:15 & 68:11 & 102:4 107:10 & waiting 74:6 \\
\hline unfamiliar & unsubstantial & van 73:17,19 & 107:11 108:4 & walk 14:8 46:16 \\
\hline 38:20 & 39:1 & VAR 67:2 & 109:5,6 110:4 & 46:18 53:3,18 \\
\hline unfortunate & untenable 13:18 & variance 2:7,10 & 118:1,2 119:4 & 53:18 55:11,14 \\
\hline 65:18 & untrue 56:13 & 7:2 9:13 15:7,7 & 127:8,9 128:4 & 90:6 122:3 \\
\hline unfortunately & untruth 51:2 & 17:20 25:1,2 & 140:12,13 & 129:19 133:8 \\
\hline 57:16 67:5 & updated 37:6 & 25:14 40:13 & 143:9,10 145:4 & 136:10 157:13 \\
\hline 88:14 104:2 & uploaded 72:18 & 41:14 44:6 & 145:14,15 & walking 22:20 \\
\hline UNIDENTIFI... & 72:20 & 45:4 57:12 & 147:4 155:18 & 53:21,22 58:19 \\
\hline 156:17 & upper 111:20 & 67:1 75:12,18 & 155:19 157:4 & wall 94:16 95:7 \\
\hline Union 2:10 & 134:13 & 81:2 85:21 & 162:19,20 & 95:19 151:6,6 \\
\hline 101:6 & Urban 44:22 & 92:2,2,6 93:3,6 & virtue 139:1 & 151:6,8,11 \\
\hline unique 67:2 & urge 62:9 68:1 & 94:11 96:17 & vis-à-vis 34:9 & wandering \\
\hline uniquely 48:18 & 88:7 & 98:11,12,14 & visible 125:1 & 77:22 \\
\hline 68:3 & urgent 5 & 100:7 101:7 & 159:9 & want 5:6 8:13 \\
\hline uniqueness & urgently \(82: 15\) & 117:2,15 & vision 86:12 & 9:2,8 11:1,4 \\
\hline 68:11 & 84:1 & 118:12 122:21 & visit 19:4 & 13:20,20 15:5 \\
\hline unit \(2: 8,16\) & URL 31:3 & 123:13 128:19 & visited 71:22 & 15:15 16:7 \\
\hline 100:6 119:6,16 & use 6:12,16 & 128:19 129:9 & vital 47:1 & 18:5 19:17 \\
\hline 129:21 130:22 & 15:10 16:12 & 132:2 138:10 & voice 92:16 96:6 & 23:5 43:10 \\
\hline units 129:3,19 & 18:14,14,15,18 & 138:15,16 & 98:17,20 & 45:17 50:13 \\
\hline 129:20,20,21 & 18:18 19:20 & 139:7,9,14,20 & 100:11 101:11 & 53:12 56:6 \\
\hline 130:9,20 137:7 & 27:8 32:5 33:3 & 140:9,22 & 118:1 127:8 & 60:22 62:6,14 \\
\hline 138:12 142:7 & 38:21 40:7 & variances 7:2 & 140:12 143:9 & 63:4 66:5 69:5 \\
\hline 142:12 & 44:3,11 48:2 & 87:1 113:5 & 145:12 155:16 & 70:20 71:18 \\
\hline university 17:5 & 49:14 62:16 & varied 57:13 & 162:18 & 72:8 75:14,16 \\
\hline 39:19 67:13 & 76:15,22 78:15 & various 27:16 & voices 79:7 & 76:12 77:19 \\
\hline 70:1 90:15 & 80:11 85:1 & 32:11 & vote 92:16 93:4 & 78:8,15,21 \\
\hline 128:22 & 86:14 87:18 & vastly 40:4 & 93:4 96:6,15 & 79:4,6 81:20 \\
\hline University- & 90:12 104:10 & vehicles 35:5 & 98:17,20 99:8 & 82:2,3,21 \\
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