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            P R O C E E D I N G S
            * * * * *
    (6:00 p.m.)
    Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, William Boehm,
                Daniel Hidalgo, Carol Agate, and Michael
                LaRosa
    JIM MONTEVERDE: Welcome to the May 23, 2024
meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is
Jim Monteverde, and I am the Chair.
    Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the Acts of 2023 adopted
by the Massachusetts Court, and approved by the Governor,
the City is authorized to use remote participation at
meetings of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal.
    This meeting is being video and audio recorded and
is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within
Cambridge.
```

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until
it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings. Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Present. Thank you.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Carol Agate?
CAROL AGATE: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Steven Ng?
STEVEN NG: Present.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Bill Boehm?
BILL BOEHM: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Michael Larosa?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Present.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Great. Michael, nice to see you.
(6:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Carol Agate, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. The first cases tonight are all continued cases that were started previously and not resolved.

And at the discretion of the Chair, if you don't mind, I'd like to take care -- we have two Extension Requests. I'd like to take care of those two Extension Requests and put those aside so we can move on to the discussion we'd like to have. Is that all right?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Fine.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, hold on. We have to hold on a second for IT. Anyway, is that -- We're supposed to chitchat, talk among ourselves?

Members of the Board that are present, is it all right if we switch around the Agenda and take the Extension Requests first, and then do the discussion, is that all right?

COLLECTIVE: All right with me. Sounds good. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. We just have some
technical glitch.
[Side conversations]
All right. The first case will be BZA 214584 --
88 Holworthy Street. Do we have the files for Holworthy?
This is a request for a Six Month Extension for
the Variance. This will bring the extension until December 22, 2024.

By voice vote, please? Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?

CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor; the
extension is granted.
(6:06 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Carol Agate, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Next one up is BZA 180779
-- 41 Hampshire Street. This one is a Request for an Extension for the Variance only.

Steven, Daniel, Carol, Michael, and me.
Again, the next file for the 180779. Okay. This an Extension Request for the Variance only. This will allow the variance to run until January 14,2025 . On the motion to grant the extension, Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?

CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The
extension is granted.
CAROL AGATE: Do we go ahead with the hearing on the special permit?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, this is only about the
variance -- for variance on this one.

All right. I'll just open it up to public comment on those two Extension Requests. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

All right. No one's calling in. I'm closing public testimony. We have made a motion, we've had a vote, we're done with the Extensions. Thank you.
(6:08 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Bill Boehm, Daniel Hidalgo, Carol Agate, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: Now, the other matter that's in the Continued column is for the Board members present to consider a proposed Zoning Petition. This is to amend the Ordinance to in essence roll in the dormer guidelines into the Ordinance, so that the dormer guidelines don't -- or no longer need to exist as a stand-alone document.

The reason we're doing it this way tonight is to explain is I think I mentioned something in one of our meetings months ago, asked if there was any interest.

I believe I did this during one of our meetings, or I sent an e-mail out to everyone. And I was notified that if $I$ contacted a quorum for a discussion, that it needed to be held as a public meeting.

So when I sent that message out months ago, Bill had replied back. And Bill and I have worked together to draft what you see on the agenda tonight; a simple statement as a proposal.

There are, as I understand, two ways to propose that we could propose it; either individually as a group we could propose an Ordinance amendment and one is that it can come from the Board of Zoning Appeal if once we get this document -- this is just a draft at the moment and subject to some changes, but once the document we feel is final, we have to put it to a vote to the members, and if there's a -if it passes, then we can submit that, and I have to go back and read the description that's in the Community Development file about what that process is; how it gets submitted.

That's one path.
Personally, I'm interested in doing this, so the other path that exists is if the Board -- there isn't a quorum of the Board that wants to do this, then I or someone else may do this individually, in which case we'd need -- I forget what it is, is 20 or 56 signatures in support.

But anyway, so Bill and I have been talking about -- and what you see on the printed agenda, can you -- sorry, when Stephen comes back if we can bring that up -- what we did, and this is really to help; there are people who come -- might have been doing this for eight years plus -- a number of people have come before us as proponents who
either say they never heard of the dormer guidelines or challenge it because it's a guideline, it's not in the Zoning Ordinance, and it seemed like it just put all that to bed.

And since they're -- in the near recent past there have been more of them than previously, and nine times out of 10 , they get if they comply with the Dormer Guidelines, we approve them.

The thought was to just agree to verbiage that could go in the Ordinance about describing the dormers.

And as this is phrased, if it conformed to the description here, then really it could be done and falls under Section 8.22.1.h.2, which is under the Noncompliance. It could be done as-of-right. They didn't have to come to the Zoning Board for review. Only if they wanted to vary from what the Ordinance would say.

So -- and what we've done was we took the existing language in 8.22.1.h.2 and revised it to say what is on that announcement. Okay. I'm going to bring that up on the screen.

And again, any of these are malleable, but it's about the face of the dormer not extending beyond the face
of the existing wall below, the length of the dormer not to exceed 15' in length or half the length of the roof upon which it is built, whichever is less. That was because we see some dormer proposals that happen on rather small houses and the $15^{\prime}$ seems to be large.

Side of the dormer does not extend closer to the 3.6 to the existing roof termination. Windows will cover 50 percent of the primary dormer wall, and top of the dormer should be no closer than one foot to the existing ridge.

So we took the very -- the most basic items out of the Dormer Guidelines and thought we could reword them to get to the essence of it and include it in the Ordinance and be able to potentially do away with the Dormer Guidelines.

So that's what we've been working on behind the curtain here. And in order to move forward, if it's to be a -- done as an Ordinance modification requested by the Board, then the Board has to be in agreement with it, or just agree and conceptually move ahead with this.

And that's all the discussion for tonight is, is to see if among the five of us present this evening there's a quorum that would want to pursue something like this.

And if you haven't had time to think about it,
that's fine, and we can come back to this at another -- if we're going to continue to have discussions about this, this will all have to happen as public hearing and as part of the Continued Agenda.

So hearing that, Steven do you have an opinion?
Would you be interested in --
STEVEN NG: Oh, yes. Thank you. First, thank you for you Jim, Chair, and Bill for taking that on and pulling that together. I think your explanation of making the guidelines embedded into the Ordinance is probably the best way to keep everyone aware of those requirements.

And I think we should pursue approval of that section as a Board together, since we do kind of -- are the Commission that deals with that discussion with applications.

So I'm in full support of taking it to the next step.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Great. Thank you.
Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah. Yeah. Thank you so much were found presenting this. I'm glad this has been happening behind the scenes.

So yeah, just to clarify, just so I know, and understand the changes, right now as you mentioned in -- let me pull up my notes here 8.22.1.h, they're sort of -- at least part of these dormer guidelines are already there, right?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
DANIEL HIDALGO: At least the 15', correct? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, correct.

DANIEL HIDALGO: And so, it would be a) elaborating that out a bit, so adding some requirements.

And two, my understanding is currently if you don't meet the yard and height requirements, you can -- but you meet the requirements but your dormer -- proposed dormer meets the requirements as laid out currently, then you can do it by right.

So this would be adding FAR. It would be adding the FAR exemption as well, or basically saying that it could be -- let's see, it could be yard and height requirement and FAR violations.

And then you could -- that's preexisting, and then you could -- but if you met the dormer guidelines as you laid out, then you'd be able to do it by right?

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's a suggestion. DANIEL HIDALGO: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: But it's really because just in
-- I think in past history, I don't know how many of these you've seen in your time on the Board, but I don't think I've seen one where we've refused the request, due to side yard setback, because it usually means the house is already in the side yard setback, or the height, because we'd basically say the dormer can't be closer to 1' from the ridge.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So there's already something that is either the highest element on the structure. And FAR, you know, usually the discussion is about adding room in an attic for a family that's growing; they don't want to leave Cambridge, they don't -- you know, there's a hardship. And we -- they -- I believe they've always been granted.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So it's really taking that into account. So -- but it's for every -- you know, again, it's for discussion -- each of these items is for discussion.

DANIEL HIDALGO: No, no, I agree that's been the
pattern, at least since I've been on the Board, and so I mean I'm supportive of that. And I like making it more consistent and predictable. I just wanted to make -clarify to make sure I understood.

And then the second point is just this is -- I don't really have a view on it, but more just to raise it is that, you know, I recall when I read the Dormer Guidelines there's a lot of other kind of recommendations maybe softer recommendations in there.

I assume you just don't think those are of importance and -- because you suggest, like, withdraw the Guidelines. So I assume that means, you know, just that kind of extra is not really that useful or relevant.

And so it's not -- doesn't need to still exist -at least those kind of recommendations.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That was our sense. I mean, reading the Dormer Guidelines, and I don't think I have my copy with me, but it's basically written to cover several different types of dormers, which in most of the cases we see, there's only one -- because they're 15' long, it's not going to be a -- it's going to be a shed roof.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's not going to be a pitch roof, it's not going to be a hip roof, because they're too big. So the fact that the previous description split that up into three separate categories with diagrams and this narrative really didn't seem to be necessary. You can do it once and be done with it. That's what we tried to, I think, distill out of the Dormer Guidelines.

But, again, that's for you all to look at and comment.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: But that was the intention. And it looked like a lot of the verbiage in there we didn't need. And I assume what this would do is if the proponent was subject to review by a local either Historical Commission or a Conservation Commission, that they would have the right to review a comment on a dormer.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: In terms of what it would look like; what color, what materials, et cetera. That we would -- and -- you know, so they could do that, even though this basically says, "Yeah, you've got the right to, but it would then be a condition on doing that."

All right. Anything else, Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: No, that's it. I mean I think
I'm supportive. I, you know, defer to my more --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
DANIEL HIDALGO: -- particularly -- you know, my colleagues, but -- and it makes sense to me.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right, thanks.
Carol, how do you feel about it?
BILL BOEHM: Excuse me, excuse me, Jim.
CAROL AGATE: Oh.

BILL BOEHM: I -- this is Bill. I just want to jump in on the last point about the Guidelines and to say that CDD reviewed this, and I don't know if you guys saw the markups or not, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
BILL BOEHM: One of the comments --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No one's seen that.
BILL BOEHM: Oh, okay. Well, I guess I'll jump ahead on that, then, just to say that one of their comments specially on what becomes the Guidelines is they recommended the Guidelines either remain in place or become just a reference document that people could use if they want to,
which may be useful to people who are trying to do a dormer that looks historic, and they find that document useful.

So it might be good for us to think of we're not eliminating the Guidelines, we're just transferring them into sort of a guidance role rather than a somewhat regulatory role, which has been confusing. So.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BILL BOEHM: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Carol?
CAROL AGATE: Okay. I think it's a tremendous improvement; the simplification of it and a lot of things are elicited that I don't think are necessary.

Some things that are eliminated might wind up being questions not answered, such as the 15' in length. It doesn't provide what happens, whether you combine two dormers, whether it's added on is something that's in the Guidelines, it's not in here.

So there are some things like that that you might want to put back in, but $I$ think most of what's in the Guidelines is good riddance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
Michael, what's your sense?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Same general comment. I think it's a move in the right direction of simplifying things. I'm a little confused at what Bill just brought up.

So if we're going to keep the Guidelines, what -even as a reference -- if we're saying here that we're going to try to eliminate Guidelines, but then keep the Guidelines, what -- I guess what would be the benefit of still having them as a reference when reviewing this stuff, if this is included in the code?

BILL BOEHM: So this, as I said, came from a comment from $C D D$ and $I$ took that to be that this guide, it might reside within the Historic Commission as a reference piece that people are welcome to refer to, or if the Historic Commission wanted to use that to sort of decide how they were going to treat dormers that were within their purview.

But we would -- they would no longer be part of our Zoning Board of Appeal process. But it's not that we're saying, you know, they're worthless, get rid of them. They may have some value, it's just they're not a good tool for our purposes. So that's how I thought about it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Again, $I$-- don't take what you
see up on the screen as the final word. It's really a draft, and it's just for discussion tonight to see if there's enough interest to move this forward.

So I think I'm hearing the interest and the support for the proposing something, some change, so that's good. Thank you all.

Then it's a question of how we go forward. Again, if it involves a quorum, this needs to be in a public meeting, which is kind of a -- probably not the most efficient format for us to talk all this out.

I know Bill and I are working on this. We've just gone back and forth on e-mail, but it may be helpful, Bill if you're still available, if you and I take a look at -- we did receive some comments, we did share this around.

And we should review those and see if we can tweak this. And then release it to the Board; release it publicly like we did here and have it as another public hearing and see if we can agree on the text.

And then if we're agreed on the text, then we can call for a vote. And if we get an affirmative, then we can submit it for implementation. And I'm sure there are other groups that will review it then, and we'll see what happens.

So I think that's what I'll do.
But thank you all. That's all we needed to do for this evening.

Any thoughts you have, if you share those around by e-mail or otherwise. Again, what $I$ was told if you released that more than a quorum or a quorum or more with the members of the Board, then that has to be in a public meeting. Anything less than that you can correspond by email or otherwise.

So that's the only advice I can offer.
All right? So we'll continue to work on this and tweak this a bit. Take a look at the suggestions and come back with a revised version. And we can see if we'll be in a more final form for us to all review and vote on.

I think this won't happen looking at the next meeting schedule. This probably won't happen until July 11 meeting at the earliest. So it's not moving fast.

All right. Any other comments? And I'm going to -- this is a public meeting; I'm going to open it to public comment. Anything else from members of the Board before I open it up for public comment?

BILL BOEHM: Jim, I guess I will just make one
comment. And again, jumping ahead because since we did hear some stuff from CDD, I think it's worth sharing just the general tenor.

One of the Urban Design Staff, which is part of the CDD Staff I thought made a good comment is that rather than pitching this as a way to make our lives easier administratively and get things -- less things in front of us, which is a good idea, it's also about encouraging what's becoming known as gentle density, which is how do we increase density in the city in a way that is reasonable and kind of appropriate?

And we know that expanding up into the -- your attic third floor to allow for a growing family is quite reasonable. And so, by making that process more straightforward and simpler, we encourage that, and that's a good thing.

And that's sort of -- I think that goes along with the general kind of sense within the city that we need to find ways to create density. And gentle density is easier than nongentle density. So --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
BILL BOEHM: -- I just thought I'd add that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Let me open it to public comment, to see if there's anybody calling in who wishes to comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Dan Anderson?
DAN ANDERSON: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. This is Dan Anderson, a Partner at Anderson Porter Design, 1972 Mass Ave. I hope you're having a good evening.

So I'm actually fully in support of this. I would just follow Bill's point of gentle density as one. The other is really, I think one of the other Board members was speaking about kind of clarity of language.

So I think some of the pieces are a little vague
in terms of length of the dormer, relation of side of the dormer to roof termination can also be a little bit vague in interpretation, whether it be cheek wall to gable wall as a distance.

But I think generally, besides just supporting it, we have the ability to approve nonconformities or extension of nonconformities such as height or setback already by special permit for one- and two-family homes.

And I'm wondering, following the gentle density, whether this ought to be allowable for a conforming one- or two-family dwelling; the limitations to strictly nonconforming as well as it sounds like it has to both violate yard height and FAR requirements the way that it's currently written.

So other than those kind of very glancing comments, I'm looking forward to seeing where this goes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Thanks, Dan for your comment.

Anyone else calling in?
STEPHEN NATOLA: John Hawkinson?
JOHN HAWKINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. John Hawkinson. I just want to commend -- without really
addressing the merits of the petition, I want to commend the Board for taking the time to think about zoning issues. Because that's not -- you know, the language of the Ordinance. Because historically the Board is, you know, of course the one who administers the exceptions to the Zoning Ordinance, but you're rarely involved in the drafting process.

And I think it makes a huge amount of sense to hear that you are communicating with, you know, CDD and ultimately with the Council, if you choose to submit the petition, just because you are the one with the boots on the ground.

You're the ones who deal with it day in and day out. It just makes a lot of sense for you to be involved actively in shaping the Zoning Ordinance. So I think that's a good thing.

And by the way, Jim, it's 10 registered voters, so that's all you need. Thanks very much.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you, John. Anyone else?

Okay. That's -- there's no one else on the line calling in, so $I$ will close public testimony. That's it. So like I said, continue to work on this to tweak it, based
on some of the comments we've received already. And send -post a draft out. I think I'll try for the July 11 meeting. All right? So stay tuned. All right. Thank you. And we have --

BILL BOEHM: Thank you. I'll sign off now. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thanks, Bill. STEVEN NG: Thanks, Bill.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And it's 6:31, so we have now

Steven, Daniel, Carol, Michael, and myself going forward.
(6:32 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Carol Agate, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: And we'll now open the Regular Agenda with Case No. BZA 266007 -- 26 Foch Street.

JOHN HARROLD: Hi. That's us. I'm John. This is my wife Sisi and her son Kai. And yeah, we are asking for a --

SISI NI: Special permit.
JOHN HARROLD: -- special permit in order to be able to do some renovations on our third floor. Currently, our third floor has a regular roof. You can probably see some of the -- yeah, I think we have some pictures. Our current floor has a roof. And there's the pitched roofline.

And we're looking to expand that to be more square shaped, so that we can better utilize our third floor space that when we moved in, like, two years ago, we renovated the bedroom, but $I$ kind of bump my head on it every day. And I'd like to stop.

Also, we're going to put in a room for our new baby, who's coming in September. So I'm going to get ready
some room for him.
But a lot of the houses -- actually almost every house in our neighborhood has some kind of modification to the third floor. So I think that it would fit in with the neighborhood pretty well.

And I think it's going to look good.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
JOHN HARROLD: But the real -- the reason we're needing a special permit is because we are less than seven and a half feet from our neighbor. Our neighbor has given us a letter of support.

Another thing that keeps in the spirit of the law: our neighbor has a driveway between their house and our house, so we actually have quite a distance between actually people living next to where we're going to be doing the renovation.

But where our house is on the property is not going to be moving at all.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Give me one second. I'm looking for your drawing, which I'm not finding.

JOHN HARROLD: Which, the property drawing?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, the ones that should be in our file.

JOHN HARROLD: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Just give me one moment, please.
[Pause]
Meanwhile, while I'm fumbling, any questions from members of the Board?

None? Okay. I have just a couple. You're seeking relief from the Table of Dimensional Requirements?

JOHN HARROLD: Yeah, between us and the neighbor, yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You know what you're asking relief for? Because it looks like you're compliant -- your added gross square footage is still under the ordinance. Your --

JOHN HARROLD: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- FAR is under the ordinance.
Your front, rear, left side, right side setbacks are staying the same as they are.

JOHN HARROLD: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The height is increased by a foot and a half, but it's still within the ordinance.

JOHN HARROLD: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Nothing else seems to change. So
is there anything that you -- any relief you need under the Dimensional Requirements?

JOHN HARROLD: The only relief I need is because the one border that is I guess westernmost, is three or three-and-a-half feet. It's under seven-and-a-half feet from the neighbor. So --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay. All right. Right, yeah. I hear you.

JOHN HARROLD: One dimension is there, and because we want to put square footage in that area because the small slope doesn't count as square footage towards the gross, that's what the relief is for.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Yeah, that's your --
JOHN HARROLD: Really small relief.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- right side setback?
JOHN HARROLD: Right side, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Again, I'll ask any questions from members of the Board? If not -CAROL AGATE: No.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- I'll open it up to public
commentary. Let me say that we have in the file seven pieces of correspondence in favor, none speaking against. And let me just summarize what we have in the file. Actually, $I$ think you have more than seven now, $I$ have eight. One that's undated from John Harrold, Sisi Ni, in favor; from Chris Atlanca (phonetic); they live across the street, in favor; David Paquette at 27 Foch Street, in favor.

JOHN HARROLD: Downstairs.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Goldberg, 17 Foch Street, in favor; John Carbone and Marlene -- I can't make out the last name, but 21 Foch Street, in favor.

SISI NI: Oh!
JIM MONTEVERDE: Drake Boyer (phonetic), 28 Foch Street, in favor; Xavier Benzan (phonetic) at 28 Foch Street in favor; and Alex Holman in favor. And none against.

So summarizing those, anyone else they want to talk, please any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Nope. No one is calling in. All right. I will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Just that I'm in favor of this. You know, it's really sending any nonconformities in any kind of meaningful way, and so I think this seems like a good addition. And it's consistent with the rest of the neighborhood.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
CAROL AGATE: And I agree with that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Anyone else have any discussion? Members of the Board? Let me, then, throw one in.

My only comment, and what troubles me, is looking at the neighboring houses up and down the street and really the neighborhood, the what's proposed as a -- everything else is two, two and a half stories, pitched roof, etc.

What's proposed here kind of maxing out that third
floor, I feel like it does not fit in with the neighborhood really to the detriment of the neighborhood and more proponents would come forward to want to do something similar.

So I'm perplexed. And I don't know that I can support it. But I'll leave it there.

STEVEN NG: I had a similar observation when I saw the elevation and the flat roof scheme to maximize the square footage for the residents. But I think when -- at the end of the day, the FAR, they're well below the -- you know, what the Ordinance offers for them.

And I don't -- I think just -- you know, the compliance with the FAR kind of convinces me to -- it is maybe more an aesthetic observation than something with density or what not.

So that's why I'm not really making that a concern. But that was an item $I$ was contemplating reviewing the application.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
CAROL AGATE: It didn't really bother me, because it's so tucked away and I mean, just this little street and
there's so much space around the house because of the weird way it's placed that $I$ can't see that it's really going to be disturbing anything in the neighborhood.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.
CAROL AGATE: Especially when the neighbors are in
favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Anyone else on the Board?
Any discussion, any comments?
MICHAEL LAROSA: The only thing -- I had the same first thought, Jim. I looked up and down the street. A lot of the other houses have dormers --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
MICHAEL LAROSA: -- that almost square it off.
And honestly, they comply with everything but that three feet. So for as much as we always talk about reasonable density or density that doesn't bother anybody in allowing families to stay, I can't see voting against it because they're off on one measurement by three feet where they have everything else; the FAR, square footage and really everyone else is semi-squared off with dormers.

So I think I'd be in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you, everyone
for your comments. Let me move, then, to a motion.
The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31 and that's specifically the right-side setback; for our Section 8.22.2.c for Alterations for Nonconforming Structure -- and this is a special permit, so Section 10.40 .

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "26 Foch Street," prepared by Kritikos (phonetic) Architects, dated -- undated, but I'll put today's date on there -- initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

On a voice vote, please, Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?

CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, I'm going to vote against.
[FOUR vote YES, ONE votes NO]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's four in favor. The relief
is granted. Thank you.
JOHN HARROLD: Thank you very much.
SISI NI: Thank you. Thank you for your time.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
[CHILD's VOICE]: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
(6:43 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Carol Agate, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-266282 -- 413

Broadway. Anybody calling in? Bill Harper, are you with us?

BILL HARPER: Yes, I am, hello.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Hi. Can you introduce yourself, and then in your presentation, tell us what you'd like to do and what relief you're looking for.

BILL HARPER: Yep. My name is Bill Harper. I'm an architect with Byggmeister Design Build. I'm here representing the homeowners Diana Yousef-Martinek and Sebastian Martinek. I think Sebastian may be trying to join us right now, but anyhow we have a --

SEBASTIAN MARTINACK: I'm on.
BILL HARPER: Oh. That was Sebastian.
So we have a basement renovation. The property in question is nonconforming in that its exterior wall is on the property line. It abuts an open alley with 411 Broadway across the alleyway.

We're hoping to install four basement-height fixed
windows. We are -- and we have approval from Historic, and yeah. So we're a nonconforming building. I don't think there's a -- nonconforming lot, rather. I don't think there's much else to it beyond that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. We're just going to bring up the one graphic that shows the -- it's the four windows that are being added, correct?

BILL HARPER: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: In your right-side setback?

BILL HARPER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's the extent of it?

BILL HARPER: That's the extent, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And that's the dimensional issue is you're hard against the property line?

BILL HARPER: Yes, it is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Anything else in terms of your presentation, or is that --

BILL HARPER: No, I think I had mentioned at the time of my application we were given the impression that we would need to seek a variance from the Building Code -state Building Code, due to fire separation issues.

But we've worked that out with the Building Inspector, and due to the definition of "Fire Separation," we meet compliance on that front. I mentioned that as a comment in the application, but that is no longer a concern.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. And that's a Building Code issue, not a Zoning Ordinance issue? So --

BILL HARPER: Yes. That's the only possible seen again or --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
BILL HARPER: -- change from what I submitted.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? If not, I will open it up to public comment.

And in the file, we have one letter in favor and none against. We have one from Geoffrey Peters, who lives at 411 Broadway. He is speaking in favor.

And as Mr. Harper mentioned, we have a Certificate of Appropriateness from the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission dated October 2, 2023 for the replacement of the windows.

And it states -- and we'll add this as a condition
-- that this certificate is granted upon the condition that
the work authorized is commenced within six months after the date of issuance; if the work authorized by this certificate is not commenced within six months after the date of issuance, or if such work is suspended in significant part for a period of one year after the time the work is commenced, this certificate shall expire and be of no further effect.

I will just enter that as a condition.
BILL HARPER: I can add comment to that if you like. So at the time at October, the original proposal was to replace two basement-level front windows, front facing Broadway, and then add only two windows to the alley side. We got approval for that from Historic. We moved ahead with a building permit to renovate the basement holding the alley -- and the alley window installation separate for the sake of this process, including the possible Building Code variance we were told we needed.

The two windows at the front were replaced, which I didn't mention until now, because that's a separate issue. But that certificate we got from the Historic Commission, that was slightly amended by we got a Certificate of NonApplicability added to our file by Allison Crosbie in
relation to the alley windows expanding in scope. You know, it was --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
BILL HARPER: So that's the only clarity there. JIM MONTEVERDE: Since that's not in our file, could you please send that right to the ISD office, please? BILL HARPER: Certainly. JIM MONTEVERDE: Do they have it there? Oh, we have it.

BILL HARPER: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. It's up on the
screen. Okay. Again, I read through and summarized the correspondence we have in the file. Let me open it to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that
you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

No one's calling in, so $I$ will close public testimony.

Any discussion among members of the Board?
CAROL AGATE: Mr. Peters is the only one affected by this and he doesn't mind. Simple enough change, and no reason to object.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Very good. Thank you. Any other members have any discussion, or are we ready to move for a motion?

MICHAEL LAROSA: I just have one question. Is the -- when you mentioned the renovations a minute ago, is that -- so the plan we have that showed the playroom and the study; is that post renovation or present?

BILL HARPER: So that is -- let me keep on going to the floor plan -- so that, the renovation is currently underway. And it is -- so prior to this, it was an unfinished basement. Prior to the ownership, it was a basement-level unit. Here we go.

And so, basically, we've proceeded with this renovation, but holding the bubbled windows, the windows in
question, aside. You know, we've sort of done some interior work to prepare for the possibility of these being permitted. So that's an ongoing, open permit unto itself. And so, this is -- these four will just be added as an addendum to that. So.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Right, but I guess my question was, so the permit was to build the playroom and study that's shown here, not something different?

BILL HARPER: Correct. That --
MICHAEL LAROSA: Okay.
BILL HARPER: -- that permit, yes. And I believe we have applied for a separate, second permit just for these four windows. We broke them out, because we've never gone for a variance with the state before and didn't know what that would be like. And happy we did not need to do that. And so --

MICHAEL LAROSA: Got you.
BILL HARPER: -- but with the uncertainty of the schedule. But that's why we did that; just moved ahead with the renovation as it was and pursued the separate permitting process for these.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Okay. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any other discussion from members of the Board? If not, we can move on -- I'll move on to a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, specially for the right-side setback; 8.22.2.c, Alterations to a Nonconforming Structure; and 10.40 for the Special Permit. And let me just flip to that criteria.

It appears that the requirements of the Ordinance cannot or will not be met. Correct.

Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change. No.

The continued operation of or the development of the adjacent uses as permitted in the zoning would be adversely affected. No.

Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety, or welfare. No.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining uses. And no to that as well.

So I think you meet all the Criteria for the Special permit under Section 10.43.

So on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "Yousef-Martinek Residence," prepared by Byggmeister Design Build and dated February 19, 2024; initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

On a voice vote, please, Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Carol?
CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in
favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative. The relief is granted. Thank you.

BILL HARPER: Thank you. Just can I -- one point of clarity? You mentioned drawings dated February 19, but
the drawings that were submitted and that were on the screen right now were from March 12. So just as a --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So noted.
BILL HARPER: Okay. So it's the March 12 drawings that were under agreement.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Correct. Which is up on
the screen. Yeah. Correct. Thank you.
BILL HARPER: Thank you very much. I appreciate
it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.

BILL HARPER: Thank you.
(7:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Carol Agate, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA 264148 -- 815

Somerville Avenue. Is there anyone calling in who wishes to speak about this case?

Yep. Johanna, are you calling in?

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. My name is Johanna Schneider; I'm Legal Counsel to the applicants; an affiliate of $K S$ Partners, which is a local developer with significant experience developing smaller scale life science projects in Greater Boston.

With me tonight on the Zoom, although I don't see them are Todd Greenfield from KS Partners, Jim Ronstock, one of our project architects and Scott Thornton from VAI, our traffic consultant.

We are here tonight in connection with the Adaptive Reuse of the existing office building at 815 Somerville Avenue to Small-Scale Lab and R\&D Use.

This building was most recently used as office
space for Lesley University, but it has been vacant for some years. As probably the Board is aware, the office market in our area is really struggling, but the demand for smallerscale, incubator type lab space remains quite vibrant.

The building itself was built in 1973 and it's just over 27,000 square feet. Our submission package includes existing conditions photographs that can give the Board a sense of the building that's the subject of the application.

In late February, the Planning Board unanimously voted to grant a special permit to convert the use. There's very little about the exterior of the building that's changing to accommodate the new views. And our submission package has a series of elevations and some renderings that illustrate the very limited work being done here.

As part of the Adaptive Reuse of the building, loading for the project will continue to be accommodated within an existing at-grade loading area on the image on the screen. You can see it on the bottom right-hand corner.

The loading area is $10^{\prime} \mathrm{x} 30^{\prime}$, and the ceiling of the loading height is $14^{\prime}$ at its highest point. The loading area is accessed by an existing 10' x 10' garage door.

We understand from discussions with ISD that even though no changes are being made to either the loading door or the loading area, because the use is changing from Office to Lab, a variance is required because the height of the loading door does not meet the Dimensional Requirements of Section 6.91 of the Ordinance.

I think page 25 of our submission package shows the existing loading for the building. And Page 24 shows the proposed loading. If we can scroll to those, it might be helpful.

What we can see from those is that dimensionally there is no change whatsoever. Operationally, panel vans and pickup trucks will continue to be accommodated in the interior loading area, as under existing conditions in the past.

Single-unit trucks are also loaded within that interior loading area, but as part of our Planning Board process, we've agreed that the single-unit trucks will use only the existing on-street loading zone in front of the building on Somerville Ave, instead of backing into a loading area as they have historically.

To be able to continue to use the existing loading
area to service the approved Lab use, we are requesting that this Board grant a variance from the requirements of Section 6.91, which requires a 14 ' high door.

I would note that it is only with respect to the height of the door, as the interior loading area is 14' high.

And because this is a change of use, we're not able to avail ourselves of Section 8.22.e.1 for preexisting, nonconforming. If it would be of assistance to the Board, I am happy to outline how our request meets the variance criteria or to pause and respond to any questions the Board may have.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Just for our edification, since this is a Variance Request --

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and there's always an issue of hardship --

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- can you speak to the hardship, please?

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Absolutely. So it's our position that a literal enforcement of Section 6.91 would
involve a substantial hardship -- in particular a financial hardship to the petitioner.

As I noted, this is an adaptive reuse of an existing office building, which has been vacant for some years now.

And due to post-pandemic market conditions and the transition to hybrid work, there really is no demand for office space in this area, but there does remain robust demand for lab space, especially for smaller, incubator-type life science tenants.

The building's existing off-street loading area is within an existing enclosed garage. And as I mentioned, the preexisting entry does not conform to the Dimensional Requirements.

It is, however, neither financially more practically feasible to enlarge this opening as to do so would require significant renovation, if not demolition and reconstruction of the existing building in order to make this opening conform.

It would be cross-prohibitive to do so, and it would also to a large extent defeat the purpose of the adapative reuse of the existing building, which was
something I know the Planning Board was very excited about because of its inherent sustainability.

In addition, the inability to provide off-street loading to future lab tenants would significantly decrease the future viability of this project as a lab space.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

CAROL AGATE: I'm curious as to whether there's some kind of warning above the door or something. I mean, if people are used to 14' being the limit and you're lower than that, then is there a warning that it is low?

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: So there is not a warning on it, but $I$ will say that for the history of this building, this opening has existed. And larger trucks than the kind that we're contemplating have gone in and out of it.

This is actually an improvement over existing conditions because single-unit trucks used to back into this loading in this entry, exactly as it is.

And as part of our Planning Board process, and as vetted by TP\&T and the Planning Board, we are now eliminating that rear entry of larger trucks. And so, it will only be smaller-size trucks that are permitted. Larger
trucks are going to do all of their loading out front.
CAROL AGATE: So they would be in effect parking there? Because there's really no space there on the street. JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Well, there is an existing onstreet loading area, and again I think that because we're talking about smaller lab users, we don't anticipate that there will be many large trucks entering. We're, you know, seeking to make delivers to this.

We really do think that -- you know, and again, this is based on the experience of this developer who has a number of smaller life science projects all around Greater Boston -- that the likely tenants of this building just given the size, location, the footprint, and the floor plates, are really only going to be taking deliveries through panel vans and pickup trucks. That's what typical for that type of tenant.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
CAROL AGATE: Okay. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, I will open it up to public comment. We have no commentary, no correspondence in the file. So I will open it up to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

No one is calling in, so $I$ will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board?

STEVEN NG: I think the -- for the adapative reuse transformation to a life science design, you always kind of make sure or look at the type of science or the size of the labs of a tenant space, and it seems very limited in terms of the amount of square footage, which is what makes it advantageous as an incubator type tenant space.

So, you know, the science is -- you're not needing the larger trucks. You're not transferring -- you know, liquid oxygen to something, so it's really, I think the loading dock as sized looks like it will be able to serve
the types of tenants for this type of life science space. So I'm in favor of the variance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you, Steven. I concur with Steven's comments as well.

Any other discussion from Board members?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Just with respect to the
variance, I find -- I'm convinced that given the adapative reuse and the change of use that has already gone through the Planning Board that this is kind of a unique condition, and it meets the requirements of the variance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, exactly. Thank you. Anyone else? Member of the Board? If not, move to a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 6.90 regarding the loading and loading dock height or the loading dock door height and 10.30 for a variance.

The hardship was discussed by the proponent, as in the required door width would require, or height would require significant renovation if not demolition and reconstruction.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "815 Somerville Avenue," prepared
by Embark KS Partners -- is that correct?
JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, and dated February 27, 2024,
initialed and dated by the Chair.
And further that we incorporate the supporting
statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

On a voice vote, please, Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Carol?
CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in
favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative. The relief is granted.

JOHANNA SCHNEIDER: Thank you very much. JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
(7:15 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Carol Agate, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-267118-2530-R

Mass Avenue. And is there anyone calling in for proponent for this relief? This is a Variance Request.

CAROL AGATE: If nobody shows up, do we decide based on the record, or just drop it?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't know. I'll seek an opinion from Staff. Let's give them a moment. We're trying to contact them.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Hector Penagos?

HECTOR PENAGOS: Yep. Hello.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Are you the applicant, Hector?

HECTOR PENAGOS: Hello? No, I am not. I
submitted comments --

STEPHEN NATOLA: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Hold on. Hold on.

HECTOR PENAGOS: -- for the petition.

JIM MONTEVERDE: We have them.
[Pause]

Give them another few more minutes.
STEVEN NATOLA: Euri, if you're in the Zoom, can you please raise your hand or speak?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hold on.
[Pause]
One more minute I'm going to call time.
[Pause]
Okay. 7:25. Is there a Euri Leguisamon or anyone else with the proponent calling in?

STEPHEN NATOLA: 617 --

GINA NASCIMENTO: Hi there, this is -- yep, this is Gina Nascimento on behalf of Walter Tauro, the landlord. It's my understanding that our tenant, Euri, is not on the call, is that correct?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Correct. Euri's not here.
GINA NASCIMENTO: Okay. I apologize. I thought he would be on this call, so -- you know, I'm happy to speak on behalf of the situation. But yeah, this whole thing came up as a surprise to us, so -- in terms of the letter against him. So we want to speak on that.

And I apologize for my tardiness.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, can you just back up a
second, introduce yourself?
GINA NASCIMENTO: Yep. So my name is Gina
Nascimento.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you.
GINA NASCIMENTO: I manage the estate for Walter Tauro; he's my father.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Yep. And can you describe what the relief is that is being sought?

GINA NASCIMENTO: Yeah. So essentially, the
tenant came to us saying that he got a letter saying that his business, you know, was not permitted to be done at that property. And we also got a letter.

And we spoke with the City, and they advised us to -- you know, have the tenant file an appeal, which I believe is what this is. So I apologize; I don't know the terminology of exactly what happens here.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
GINA NASCIMENTO: Our understanding was that, you know, his business was okay in that space. And, you know, if it's not, we're happy to, you know, comply with the City.

We -- you know, we like him as a tenant, he has an established business there, and we do have a license with
the garage. I don't know the name of that license, but it's -- you know, we renew it ever year so that the garage has a license to run businesses out of it. So --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
GINA NASCIMENTO: -- I'm interested to hear the City's take, of course, and -- you know, comply in any way. JIM MONTEVERDE: So they -- what's listed in the advertisement that you're seeking relief from from the Ordinance is Section 4.37.h, which are the Use Categories. And as I read that, it basically says in a Residence $B$ Zone an automobile repair facility is not allowed. I think that's the issue. That's why you're here. Okay.

EURI LEGUISAMON: Yes, hi. Hello?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, hello. Can you introduce yourself, please?

EURI LEGUISAMON: Hi, yes. how are you doing? My
name is Euri Leguisamon on the case that's 2530 Mass Ave. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. You're the proponent, then? EURI LEGUISAMON: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So the issue I think that's in front of us and why you're here tonight is that
our Ordinance says that in a Residence B zoning zone, the auto garage, repair is not an allowed use. So that's why you're here.

EURI LEGUISAMON: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And you're seeking a variance, and there are several conditions for a variance. And the most specific one is you need to present a hardship on why this -- the relief needs to be granted.

So can you tell us -- and I think there are two previous permits or actions that were granted by the City on this property?

GINA NASCIMENTO: Correct. I want to say
[unclear] permit. I don't have the permit in front of me, unfortunately.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
GINA NASCIMENTO: But we renew it --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I read those the other day. And there's one from 1928 which allowed a one-story addition -the house itself or the building itself must have existed in 1928.

And what was applied for at that time was to build a one-story addition in front of the existing building at

2530 Mass Ave, for the sale of auto accessories. And that was granted by the City.

And then in 1938, permission was granted to build a one-story building in the rear of 2530 , which $I$ believe is the lot -- or the address we're talking about now, 2530-R. And it was to be used in connection with the automobile business being conducted at 2530 Mass Ave.

So is that still the case? Is the same operation in both front part of that building facing Mass Ave and in the garage in the rear? Is that still the case?

EURI LEGUISAMON: So you're asking me, Euri? Yes, sir.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. You use both spaces as the --

EURI LEGUISAMON: I use the front. I have, like, I just put up an office, but the back space is a commercial space, and the front $I$ just put up signs and just the outside of it painted it, so when people on Mass Ave go by, they can actually see I'm in the back, you know?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Okay. Thank you. Give me one second. I'm going to consult with Staff for a moment. GINA NASCIMENTO: Thank you.

EURI LEGUISAMON: All right.
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: So I'm just consulting with our Staff, because the two previous permits basically allowed an automotive-related, not necessarily tire installation or sale, and they were -- give you the case numbers -- the 1928 case was No. 321, and that was the one that allowed the addition at the front of the building, at the front of 2530 Mass Ave for the sale of auto accessories.

And then second case in 1938 was File Case Z997, that permitted the construction of the one-story building in the back to be used in conjunction with the automotive business conducted at 2530 .

So really the use now is consistent with what those previous permits granted. And we need to go through this and understand the variance, again, the hardship, which I'd like you to talk about a bit.

And I think it's the -- we're here again because the use specifically is not allowed, and we just need to formally accept that use if we so vote to allow you to continue the use that this has had in one shape or another since 1928.

So can you talk a bit about the -- what hardship there is that requires the variance being granted, this relief being granted?

EURI LEGUISAMON: So yes. The location of the lot in the zoning district is a commercial zone along Mass Ave. so I believe it should be something that I can conduct business with.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm asking -- yep, I'll read it. EURI LEGUISAMON: Sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'll read you the Ordinance. Because it's really not --

EURI LEGUISAMON: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- about the commercial zone. EURI LEGUISAMON: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: The entire -- the lot's zone, Residence B, that's what it is. So the requirements for the variance say a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, financial or otherwise, to the petitioner or appellant.

And second, the hardship is owing to the circumstances relating soil conditions, shape or the topography of such lot or structures, and especially
affecting such land or structure but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located.

So just -- I think on the one page that was up on the screen a moment ago, you did discuss a rationale for the variance in terms of the hardship.

Can you bring that one up again? This sheet?
EURI LEGUISAMON: I'm not actually -- I'm not seeing it, so this is like the first question.

JIM MONTEVERDE: First question I think you -someone answered in your application, the supporting statement. And it says, "I will lose my livelihood."

EURI LEGUISAMON: Yes, yes, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: "It's my source of income, and I
am a father of three. My --" Is that the hardship?
EURI LEGUISAMON: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
EURI LEGUISAMON: Sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That I assume is the hardship.
EURI LEGUISAMON: Yes, that's it, I'm sorry. I'm not looking at the screen. I'm just listening to you guys. I can't really see it. But yes, that's the reason for the hardship.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
EURI LEGUISAMON: Yeah. I will lose my -- like,
that's my source of income to provide for my kids. I have three minors and it's my livelihood, you know? So I keep on going and try to do good for them, you know?

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.

GINA NASCIMENTO: Sorry to interrupt. This is -can everyone hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
GINA NASCIMENTO: May I add something? Didn't want to interrupt.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Can you introduce yourself? GINA NASCIMENTO: Yes. So this is Gina. I signed on earlier.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
GINA NASCIMENTO: Gina Nascimento.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You have something to add to that discussion?

GINA NASCIMENTO: Yep. I can definitely add to Euri's statement on it being a hardship, you know. He is a great tenant and has put a lot of work into that property to make it look a lot better than it did when he first moved
in. And, you know, with that, he had to put a lot of upfront costs into making it look as good as it does now, and to get it up and running.

So, you know, that being said, I never want to work against a tenant and say that they're not perfect in paying rent, but $I$ know -- you know, especially during the holiday season, you know, Euri did fall back in rent, but then he did quickly catch up.

So, you know, I would hate to see a tenant that's really put a lot of his -- you know, hard work -- hardearned money into this and upfront costs to get it up and running.

You know, I really would hate to lose a tenant like that -- you know, not just losing him, but something that's already been established and in a zone that, you know, has other commercial properties and businesses.

You know, I understand the zoning is what it is, but I would definitely agree with Euri that, you know, it would a really big loss -- not just for us as losing a tenant, but just having a good, established business that is doing better. He has fallen behind. He has caught up with his arrearage, and -- you know, we want to continue with a
good relationship.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah, Jim, I have a question. I'm slightly confused. So we have two older -- basically it looks like it's been used for automotive for almost 100 years.

So what -- I mean you're talking -- I forget which permit because $I$ don't have it in front of me, but from the thirties it said, "Automotive and Accessory." You know? It's not much more of an accessory than a tire.

So I'm confused as to why -- I understand it's now residential, but why wasn't it a continued use from the twenties or thirties?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, that's the discussion we had at sidebar with Staff. And all we know is that this is in front of us. It's been brought up that the proponent needs a variance since the Use Regulations under 4.37.8 specifically do not allow the automotive use in the Residence B district; that the proponent needs a variance to be able to continue that, even though they have been -that's what the previous actions by the city have allowed.

Thats study my best explanation at the moment. MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah, that's kind of my
confusion. I mean, it's kind of along the lines of -- not to equate it to what we just did, but the loading dock that's already there and being used --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
MICHAEL LAROSA: -- in that manner.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.

MICHAEL LAROSA: So we're talking about a garage that's been used as a garage for 100 years and just want to continue to do so.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's been an automotive-related use, both --

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah, I'm sorry. Not a garage, an automotive --

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and the garage, this is one is specifically about the garage, although I think it involves the front of the building as well, since that auto use is now allowed on that lot. And then this would basically formalize to allow the use on that lot -- to continue. MICHAEL LAROSA: Okay. so I guess that was my -that was -- I think that answers my question.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MICHAEL LAROSA: This is more or less for us to formalize what's already --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.
MICHAEL LAROSA: -- going on.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any --
CAROL AGATE: The first one was automotive parts, right?

JIM MONTEVERDE: The 1928 action was for the sale of auto accessories.

CAROL AGATE: Auto accessories.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CAROL AGATE: I mean, I really have no way of knowing whether tires are considered accessories. I'm thinking more of a shop that has, you know, window cleaner and stuff like that, not tires.

I mean, tires are -- it's -- there are houses near there where the smell as you walk by is just overwhelming. And yet, if they already have the permit, then $I$ really don't understand what we're even continuing it, if it's already been granted? If they already got the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Staff will help us with that
conundrum.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Can I just ask how long the current tenant has been there?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry? Oh, how long the current
tenant has been there?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, I'm sorry I don't know who
-- I don't have a name in front of me. Mr. Euri, is it?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Euri?

EURI LEGUISAMON: Yes, Euri. Yes, hi.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. How long have you been at this location?

EURI LEGUISAMON: Close to a year.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Okay, thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: A year. Okay. And what was it before that? The other person who was calling in?

GINA NASCIMENTO: Yep, that was a -- it was a window tinting company that we had there before, and --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, that's --
GINA NASCIMENTO: -- during COVID, they fell
behind, and then we went vacant for a few -- or close to a year, right before Euri.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I think that's the history.

So it -- I think some of the photos in the file show a little advertising flat out in front that says, "Tinting" so I guess that was the business a year or so ago. And then Euri came along and rented the property and to use it as for a wholesale tire and installation, correct?

EURI LEGUISAMON: Yes, wholesale retail, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Okay. Daniel, did that answer your question?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yes. It answered the recent history, yeah. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol, did that answer your question at all?

CAROL AGATE: Well, it answers it, but I really don't know quite what to do with it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
CAROL AGATE: You know, when this permit was granted, they didn't have electric tools, and the neighbors --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CAROL AGATE: -- had the noise coming from there.
It's -- it's really difficult. It seems so out of our realm.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, in a way, yeah. Hold on a moment. We'll do a little sidebar here with Staff. All right. Turn it over to Staff. Stephen?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Case 321 from 1928 allowed the appellant to erect a building thereon for the sale of autos. Case 997 from 1938 allowed for the construction at the rear of the premises to be used in connection with the automobile business now being conducted at 2530 Mass Ave in order to keep the same fully occupied.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So that's as much as we have in the record. Talks about accessories. I don't know what the use was or what they were doing in the building, in the front part of the building, in 1938, when they were granted the right to build a one-story addition in the back -basically the garage in the back, and to be used in connection with the business being conducted in the front building? Yeah. I don't know what that business was.

Yeah, it's just generically called an automobile business from 1938 -- could be anything.

So I agree, that's part of the issue we're resting with is just what do you think could be allowed?

And again, under the Ordinance, the 4.37.h
automotive garage just says it's not allowed. Doesn't differentiate. So I'm not able to answer your question too well, Carol.

Any other questions?
CAROL AGATE: Well --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Go ahead.
CAROL AGATE: I guess I would figure that auto accessory does not include tires, but I --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I would concur --
CAROL AGATE: -- the legal definition of that I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CAROL AGATE: -- really am not in a position to say.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I would concur, except that it certainly seems like that's morphed. And that was part of the relief that was granted in 1928.

Again, what $I$ just -- I have no idea what they were granting -- what the use was in 1938, where they were granted the right to build the garage in the back for "automobile business," it says; that's all it says. I don't know what they were doing, whether tires weren't involved or not, $I$ don't know. That's what we have in front of us.

CAROL AGATE: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from
GINA NASCIMENTO: May I ask --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- from members of the Board?
GINA NASCIMENTO: Sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board? No? If not, I think I'm going to go to public comment. We have several pieces of correspondence in the file. I will try to summarize them, but I suspect someone may be calling in.

We have a letter from Jennifer Woodfin dated yesterday, May 22, in opposition. Rationale -- is concerned about safety and cultural impact to the neighborhood. Driveway at the property is extremely narrow with poor visibility, not well-suited to vehicles entering or exiting. And vehicles are exiting and entering at high speed.

Also, that there are other tire stores nearby. They supply the graphic and basically there's not a rationale for this one in this location.

Let me continue. Hector Penagos from this -yesterday, May 22, in opposition. And there's a lengthier description here, which $I$ will just read the titles of.

They believe the previous cases that the petitioner cited that $I$ just read through are not applicable.

They cite poor business practice for Pikipola Tires \& Auto Service, saying the approval of this variance would nullify the intent or purpose of the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance because there's an increased likelihood of transmission to mosquito-borne diseases.

That's basically from tires being stored outside and rainwater accumulating in them, and that being a breeding ground for mosquitos.

Second, advertised business activities contradict the petition of the proposal. It says, "In addition to the sale of tires, this facility is used to sell car batteries and perform mechanical repairs."

There's an advertisement that says, "New and used tires as well as used radios and car batteries." So we're actually potentially doing more than they are requesting in their -- or stating in their proposal.

Third, it's a substantial fire hazard. And again, that's the tires being stored -- stored outside.

Four, potential health hazard through air pollution. Fumes from burning tires -- I don't know if
there are any burning tires on site.
Five, the potential soil --
EURI LEGUISAMON: No.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and water pollution -- excuse
me, let me just read through this.
And six, it is a blueprint to violate Zoning
Ordinance if we were to approve this.
In summary, the petitioner's business is located in a residential district not consistent with their business activities and approval of this petition carries several health and environmental hazards.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me keep going. There's more. One from Kathy Dutra, May 14, in opposition; saying they believe this site is not conducive to such a business.

They state the space is not adequate to store the number of tires that are on the property. The tires are piled behind house, and it's blocking a rear entrance of the building.

The business does not do enough business to warrant the number of tires sitting on the -- stored on the property.

Tires are not being put on manually; using air
compressors -- Carol, this was your point -- and air drills to install them, and this added noise to our residential neighborhood. Space also cannot accommodate multiple vehicles, putting a strain on already limited parking space. Tire stored on this property are extreme for their business needs. There are several stores around that do similar business.

And they're saying they do not need another location.

And the last time I checked -- this is from Kathleen Dutra -- tires behind the house are surrounding the residents' trash containers, not allowing access for the containers to be brought out on trash day.

Next Dr. Robert McGowan, May 8, writing in opposition. "The area is not large enough to store large amounts of new and used tires." They create a fire hazard. "Business also 'in the past' has stored tires on the roof of the garage until the city put a stop to it."

Same issue about using air compressors and air drills, and the noise factor for the residential neighborhood. The driveway is narrow, does not have the capacity to hold several cars without it impacting Mass Ave.

Tires are stored outside, could create a problem with rain accumulation, possible infestation of mosquito and larvae, and business is not in an industrial area, as stated in the application form.

And additionally, there are three businesses less than a quarter of a mile away that already provide tire service on the opposite side of Mass Ave.

And that is correct -- they appear to be former service stations that have been converted.

That is the sum and substance of the correspondence we have in the file. I'll now open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Hector?

HECTOR PENAGOS: Hi. My name is Hector Penagos, and I am on 18 Brookford Street. I sent a correspondence in opposition to this petition. And what I wanted to say is one of your members asked the distinction between, "Accessories" and "Parts." And the relief that you mentioned from the 1928 specifically is about things that you add to a car that is not needed for the car to function. That is not the function of tires; tires are parts.

And so, with the relief that is being sought right now, it's the installation of parts to a car. That's a different permission that was given in 1928.

And speaking with several neighbors, I think we're really concerned about the health hazards posed by this business. The idea of water being in those tires is a serious potential breeding ground for mosquitos, and that can end up in people dying.

The statistics are for the viruses that -- for the mosquitos that grow up in New England, it's 10- to 30 percent for the two types of mosquitos that are here.

So a pretty serious thing that is -- I think -- I believe the intent of the Ordinance of Cambridge is to
protect the citizens, provide good healthy environment to live, diminish traffic, and all these things are in clear opposition to the business that is being performed in this location.

I also noted that on the website, they actually do advertise oil changes, and they advertise brake repair accessories. Those are actual -- you know, mechanical services, which they explicitly said they wouldn't do at this location.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your calling in. Is there anyone else wishing to call in?

EURI LEGUISAMON: When can I speak?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry? No? No one else calling
in?
EURI LEGUISAMON: Can --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I will close public testimony.
EURI LEGUISAMON: Can Euri speak? Can I speak?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Who? Is this Euri?
EURI LEGUISAMON: Yeah, this is Euri. I just want to speak.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Go ahead.
EURI LEGUISAMON: So all I do there, and all I
specialize in is just tires, new and used. There was an add that I had from my previous business that it wasn't supposed to be there; my employees tore it up.

All $I$ want to do there is to just do tires.
There's not going to be oil changes; there's not going to be mechanics; it's just tires, you know?

I'm going to have tires -- I do wholesale;
sometimes I have the tires outside just for, like, hours. And then I push them all and bring them -- I do a lot of wholesaling.

And retail, when the customer comes in and asks for a tire, and $I$ can sell them, and if anyone wants to install it, I'll install it. My operation is mainly tires. It's not -- I'm not doing all of the stuff that he says I'm doing. 100 percent on that. I'm the owner. And it looks that way, but it's not going -- it's not that way.

You know, that's all I have to say.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So can I ask you just explain your -- you -- the application says, or the advertisement says, "Auto Retail of Wholesale Tires with Installation."

EURI LEGUISAMON: Exactly. I -- yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So wholesale tires, can I drive
up and buy a set of tires from you and have you install them?

EURI LEGUISAMON: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that the business, or do you
sell it wholesale --

EURI LEGUISAMON: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- to another distributor?
EURI LEGUISAMON: So I sell wholesale, and then if
you want -- if you come into my place and you want to take four tires, then you say, "Hey, can you put them on my vehicle?" I'll say, "Yes, why not?" It's part of the tires.

And accessories: I've been doing this for 23
years; accessory has to do with everything in the vehicle.
The main part is the tires. Can't move the vehicle without the tires.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Okay. Understood.
Thank you.
Hector, you have some additional comment?
HECTOR PENAGOS: Yes. I do. It is not that the tires are only set outside temporarily -- outside unprotected. I submitted a picture showing that -- which I took just a few days ago when it was raining so that you can

```
see how that's a potential -- a very likely potential source
of mosquitos. It's just like they're sitting outside the
whole time. It's not just during the day when they're
advertising the tires.
    So that's a really poor operation to that.
```

    JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. we understand. Thank you.
    Thank you for calling in.
Is there anyone else calling in? I'm going to
close public testimony. And time for a discussion among
members of the Board.
STEVEN NG: Jim, I'm wondering if there are other
similar businesses just down the street, did those
particular businesses have to go through the appeal process
as well with the Board?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't know. I know there are
-- or at least I thought I saw it. I went by twice. But
there are what looked like or had been service stations that
have been --
STEVEN NG: Yeah, yeah. they have --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- repair --
STEVEN NG: -- for days, and there's nothing
outside.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. they're on the opposite side.

STEVEN NG: -- some parking, yeah. Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't know what the -- and I -I don't know what the zoning -- if it's a similar zoning district or if it's been zoned otherwise. I don't know. So I can't answer that question.

CAROL AGATE: Well, I think the opposition was pretty persuasive. I feel for the petitioner, who has set up this business. I hope maybe he can set up a business selling auto accessories, which really could be used in the neighborhood. We don't have anything like that around here.

But I can see that there are so many homes around there, and that just isn't a suitable use of the property. And even though I can see that there is some hardship for him, hardship alone is not enough when it is that much of a disturbance to the neighborhood.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you, Carol. Anyone else for discussion?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah. I find this a very difficult case. Just as -- you know, given the -- possibly the historical record, I would feel much better if we knew a
little bit more about the history of the use of that space, so we can -- you know, if it had been used for more kind of - business more closely related to what the current business, then I feel like we would be obliged to grant it.

But without that kind of record, we're left interpreting what this -- you know, old variance or cases meant.

And so, I'm -- you know, I guess I'm inclined to deny because $I$ just feel like a positive case -- a strong positive case hasn't been made, while the neighbors have some legitimate complaints.

But I -- basically I just wish I knew a little bit more about the history of the use of the --

EURI LEGUISAMON: Sorry, this is Euri again.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, Euri, hold on. Euri, hold on
a second. This is -- you get a turn to jump in later. But this is -- this is just among the Board members for the moment. So anyone else have a -- any discussion?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah, Jim. Like Daniel just said, I'm sympathetic to the neighbors, but with the same respect, that's -- that stretch of Mass Ave is commercial storefronts, diagonal from Jack's Gas, diagonal from Mavis

Tire, Valvoline up the street. It's been used as commercial as long as I can remember, and I was born here.

So as much as I feel bad for residential
neighbors, I also -- it's like people who move next to Fenway Park and then complain about noise. I mean, it's been used for an automotive-related thing for almost 100 years. And now we're going to say no, you can't do that anymore.

It just doesn't feel right to me, I guess. I don't know how to say it, but --

And as to the conversation about accessories, I mean in 1920 -- I don't think there were any auto accessories other than tires and maybe horns. I don't think anybody was buying air fresheners or stereos. So I'm guessing the tires probably came with the territory.

I know that's a little bit of a leap, but it's not like I'm dying for the business to be there, but I feel like we are already not doing great with small business in Cambridge by limiting it.

So to be honest, despite the neighboring complaints, if they can make a good argument, I'd be more likely to go for it -- just for that reason alone. But it
is already what $I$ would think is an existing use.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
STEVEN NG: I'm kind of feeling similar to
Michael's position; is that in this immediate area, there are commercial businesses selling tires. And there is some historic precedent at this particular site that it's been allowed. But maybe, you know, 100 years ago but in between maybe not so much, but it has happened or been decided upon.

But that doesn't give -- you know, license to the current proponent that, you know, similar to the other businesses, he's got to run a cleaner shop. You know, it's got to be done properly -- tires stored, everything indoors.

So I mean I'm -- want to be supportive of his application, but $I$ would be inclined to put a -- quite a few qualifications in there to satisfy the neighbors' concerns about their safety -- health and safety. So that's kind of where I would stand.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
MICHAEL LAROSA: Steve, I was thinking the same thing, but what -- we said he already has permits, right? JIM MONTEVERDE: Well. MICHAEL LAROSA: Not zoning wise, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't know what he has as a license or a permit. I don't know --

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- what it is.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, I don't. I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: So --

DANIEL HIDALGO: -- am not sure. But I'm just --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. So I was thinking along the lines as what Steven just mentioned; so that would be conditions. And the conditions would be that the tires be stored inside the garage that had -- and I think this is a question for the proponent, but -- if he's doing tire installation, if that can be done indoors with the door closed, and if he can -- that's basically to address the noise, that addresses the water and the health concern.

And I think the last thing, I don't know how to put this as a condition, but just to control the traffic on the driveway so that people are entering and exiting that -exiting particularly into Mass Ave slowly, not doing so at high speed.

I think if we could agree on some conditions that we think would satisfy the neighbors, or help resolve some
of issues for the neighbors, then I think there's a way to -- at least I could see my way to granting approval with conditions.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Jim, yeah. I just want to say that I would feel much better about supporting this if we could work something out like that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Carol, would you be amenable to a positive vote, assuming there are conditions that address the neighbors' concerns?

CAROL AGATE: I really don't know that much about enforcement of conditions. Does the Staff actually check regularly, if the neighbors would have to complain? I mean, how would it work once you put in conditions?

Also, it's hard to believe that on that site it would be possible to work inside.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, I'm looking at the photo that was supplied -- one of the photos that was supplied. And it looks like -- again, controlling the number of tires that are stored there, there is space for a vehicle inside. Do you have this photo as part of the record?

CAROL AGATE: Is this something the Staff can inspect and come back to us with what --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't think that's going to happen.

CAROL AGATE: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We just have --
MICHAEL LAROSA: Carol, I think that was why I mentioned earlier licensing. Because if the business requires an annual license, then $I$ would imagine licensing would be on top of -- that was the only reason $I$ brought it up earlier.

If he's already got to get licenses annually, I would imagine there are inspections and other checks and balances. But I don't know for sure, because I don't know what license he has.

CAROL AGATE: Well, what would be the procedure? Right now, we would wind up drafting all the conditions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: So I think at the moment just from listening to everyone, that would be of the five of us - and I'm assuming, Carol, you're not comfortable with the conditions or the enforcement thereof, but the other four of us are -- it would still be enough of a -- if we can agree on conditions, then that would be -- we'd have enough of a -- we'd have a quorum to be able to --

CAROL AGATE: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- accept this with you being in opposition. So with that in mind, Michael, do you have any suggestions for conditions?

MICHAEL LAROSA: I was just Googling to look at the location, even though I think I know it. But I mean with the two biggest complaints outside of the use itself seem to be potential traffic, although it looks like the driveway might fit one or two cars if that. So maybe put up a backup mirror and a sign to exit slowly or something. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Or something that makes a noise, I don't know. And then maybe something that says either they've got to be stored inside or if they're outside, they're covered, or inside of -- well, I don't think he has room for a shed, I don't know.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MICHAEL LAROSA: So maybe stored inside.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MICHAEL LAROSA: And I think that would address most of outside of the general use, that would seem to address most of the concerns.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And the other thought I had just from the photo that was up on the screen previously where it looked like there was a bay available, looks like it's got a lift in it to -- for a vehicle to go inside.

So when they do the tire installation, I assume if they would close the door, I'm just trying to deal with the acoustic, the noise that the neighbors had complained about.

If we'd say they just have to -- you know, get it inside, get the vehicle inside and do the tire installation with the doors closed just to keep it as quiet as possible. Does that make any sense to anyone else?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yep.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, that sounds sensible.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
STEVEN NG: Yeah, that makes sense.
JIM MONTEVERDE: They're not going to enforce it anyways. So leave it. Sorry. I'm getting input from my -from Staff.

Euri, are you still on the line?
EURI LEGUISAMON: Yeah, still here.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So what's happening here is we can vote to approve the variance with conditions, basically
meaning if you don't comply with the conditions, technically the variance is withdrawn.

So -- and they will be -- and this is in order to address the issues from the neighbors -- one, to address the driveway, the neighbors' issue about concern; and we'd ask that you install a backup mirror so that someone can safely enter and exit the drive, and also a sign in the drive that tells your customers to exit slowly, that's one.

Two, that all the tires are to be stored indoors, inside, not outside.

And three, that installation of tires will happen inside your facility, door down. And again, that's for the noise that the neighbors -- some of the neighbors have complained about.

Do you think you can --
EURI LEGUISAMON: So --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- you can operate with those conditions?

EURI LEGUISAMON: I just want to add something. My working grounds -- like, there's -- what I use, see, I have a -- that place can hold let's say -- it's not that busy now because I'm trying to establish a business, it's
not that $I$ have a business rolling and cars are in there every day, five or six cars a day. If I get a couple a day throughout the whole year, I'm lucky enough to be -- you know, two or three cars. I don't understand how the neighbors say that.

And no one in there -- no one would back up speeding. Why would they do that? Because Mass Ave is right three. Everybody that goes in there they back up as slow as a turtle. They don't want to hit the highway. They don't want to hit -- no one's going to exit, you know -JIM MONTEVERDE: I understand.

EURI LEGUISAMON: So what -- say outside, I do something with the tires, for example. It's a -- it's not an air gun with a compressor, it's an electrical gun. You can't hear that. Know what I mean?

I think that -- and the main issue that I'm with them -- with you guys, okay, the tires are stored inside, I can do that. No tires outside, fine. You know? Because the ones that $I$ keep them outside is the wholesale. And I'll move them. I don't know why I have to bring in a vehicle, shut the door when it's -- when I use electric guns.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's for the noise that your neighbors are complaining about. We're just trying to address the neighborhood. I mean, your choice is --

EURI LEGUISAMON: Yeah, but --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- we either can come to some conclusion or agreement on conditions, or on the flip side, it sounded like you may -- go to a vote; you may not have the votes to pass this, in which case you could be denied. I'm just trying to be polite and let you move forward.

EURI LEGUISAMON: Exactly. I will do that. GINA NASCIMENTO: I think those conditions are more than fair. This is Gina. Those conditions are more than fair and if we need to -- you know, build any type of shed or storage for these tires to be indoors, we can comply with that. So I would imagine --

EURI LEGUISAMON: All right.
GINA NASCIMENTO: -- you could say that these are, you know, acceptable conditions.

EURI LEGUISAMON: All right. No problem.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay?
EURI LEGUISAMON: I just wanted to express myself, but I'm -- I accept the conditions, yes, I do. I just
wanted to say that just so you guys have an idea how it operates there, you know? But yeah, I accept them.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So any other discussion among members of the Board? Otherwise, I will make a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 4.37.h, Automotive Garage, which does not allow the automotive garage use in the Residence B district; and Article 10 Section 10.30 granting of a variance -- the proponent is talking about the hardship if they're not granted the variance -- on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings -- there are no drawings.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms -- there are none -submitted as part of the application.

And further that we that the variance is granted incorporating the following conditions:

One, that the proponent install -- this is relative to the driveway -- backup mirrors so that someone can see oncoming traffic as they back up onto Mass Ave and that they post a sign to basically tell their patrons to
exit slowly.
Two, that all tires are to be stored inside. No tires are to be left outside.

And three, and that tires will be installed inside the building with the door closed.

Lastly, I have one question for you -- sorry, that I forgot to ask, Euri, if you're still there.

EURI LEGUISAMON: I'm here.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Did you visit the -- did you
apply for a signage permit?
EURI LEGUISAMON: For a signage permit? You mean
the signs that I have outside?
JIM MONTEVERDE: The signage permit, yeah, for
everything you've put outside the building and on the garage?

EURI LEGUISAMON: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. I suggest you apply for a signage permit.

EURI LEGUISAMON: I didn't --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I suggest you apply for a signage permit.

All right. On the motion, then.

EURI LEGUISAMON: Okay. Board members, we'll do this by a voice vote. Carol?

CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. That's five in favor. The relief is granted with the conditions we just mentioned. Thank you. And I think that's it for the night.

Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you, Jim.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. See you later in
June. Bye-bye.
COLLECTIVE: Bye-bye. Goodnight.
[8:16 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| 98:10 | 58:5 63:5 |  |  |
| 10.40 36:6 45:7 | 262:12 28:6 | 7 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 3 ~ 4 5 : 2 2 ~}$ | 36:8 | 7 2:8 |  |
| 100 69:6 70:10 | 264148 48:5 | 7:00 48:2 |  |
| 83:15 88:6 | 266007 28:6 | 7:15 58:2 |  |
| 89:7 | $2732: 7$ 57:3 | 7:25 59:8 |  |
| $1122: 1627: 2$ $1247 \cdot 2,4$ | 27,000 49:6 | $8$ |  |
| $1247: 2,4$ $147: 1178: 13$ | 28 2:12 32:14,15 | 879:14 |  |
| $144^{\prime}$ 49:21 51:3,5 | 101:18 | $\text { 8.22.1.h } 14: 3$ |  |

